BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION



STATE OF CALIFORNIA



COMMISSIONER CLIFF RECHTSCHAFFEN, In attendance ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE PETER V. ALLEN, presiding

)	EVIDENTIARY HEARING
)	1111/11(11)(
Order Instituting Investigation on)	
the Commission's Own Motion to)	
Consider the Ratemaking and Other)	
Implications of a Proposed Plan for)	
Resolution of Voluntary Case filed)	
by Pacific Gas and Electric Company,)	
pursuant to Chapter 11 of the)	Investigation
Bankruptcy Code, in the United)	19-09-016
States Bankruptcy Court, Northern)	
District of California, San)	
Francisco Division, In re Pacific)	
Gas and Electric Corporation and)	
Pacific Gas and Electric Company,)	
Case No.19-30088.)	

REPORTERS' TRANSCRIPT
San Francisco, California
March 2, 2020
Pages 797- 1074
Volume - 5

Reported by: Andrea L. Ross, CSR No. 7896
Jason A. Stacey, CSR No. 14092
Carol Ann Mendez, CSR No. 4330
Rebekah DeRosa, CSR No. 8708

1	INDEX	
2	MITHINECCEC	DAGE
3	WITNESSES:	PAGE
4	JULIE KANE	809
5	Direct Examination By Ms. Grove Cross-Examination By Mr. Long Cross-Examination By Ms. Kelly	810 823
6	Cross-Examination By Mr. Abrams Examination By Commissioner	838 888
7	Rechtschaffen	000
8	TRACY MARATUKULAM, DEBBIE POWELL and MATT PENDER	
9	Direct Examination By Mr. RUTTEN: Cross-Examination By Ms. Kasnitz	891 894
10		
11	TRACY MARATUKULAM, DEBBIE POWELL and MATT PENDER (Resumed)	000
12	Cross-Examination By Ms. Kelly Cross-Examination By Ms. Sheriff	923 936
	Cross-Examination By Mr. Long	948
13	Cross-Examination By Mr. Alcantar	959
14	Cross-Examination By Mr. Strauss Cross-Examination By Mr. Abrams	965 971
	Examination By ALJ Allen	974
15	Cross-Examination Resumed By Mr. Abrams	
16	Examination By ALJ Allen Examination By Commissioner Rechtschaffen	1000 1002
17		
18	CATHERINE YAP Direct Examination By Ms. Sheriff	1009
19	Cross-Examination By Mr. Allred Cross-Examination By Mr. Alcantar	1014 1045
20	Cross-Examination By Mr. Bloom Redirect Examination By Ms. Sheriff	1048 1059
21	ROBERT S. KENNEY	
∠ ⊥	Direct Examination By Mr. Manheim	1061
22	Cross-Examination By Ms. Sheriff Redirect-Examination By Mr. Manheim	1063 1067
23	<u> </u>	
24		
25		
26	Exhibits: Iden. Evid.	
27	TURN-X-07 818 MCE-X-02 828	
28	ABRAMS-X-10 843 CLECA-02 1010	

```
1
     PG&E-X-01
                               1015
     PG&E-X-02
                               1015
 2
     CLECA-01
                                         1060
     CLECA-01-E
                                         1060
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 1 2. MARCH 2, 2020 - 9:03 A.M. 3 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE ALLEN: 4 On the record. Good morning. This is the 5 continuation of evidentiary hearing in 6 7 Investigation 19-09-016. I'm Administrative Law Judge Peter Allen returning. Many thanks 8 9 to Judge Cooke for filling in for me while I 10 was noticeably under the weather. With that, 11 let's start with some housekeeping matters. Mr. Manheim. 12 Thank you, your Honor. 13 MR. MANHEIM: 14 Welcome back. In connection with the cross-examination of PG&E witness Jason 15 16 Wells, there was a question raised about cost 17 recovery for short-term debt. ALJ Cooke 18 asked that PG&E clarify that through 19 statement of counsel, which I'm prepared to 2.0 do this morning. 21 ALJ ALLEN: Go ahead. 22 MR. MANHEIM: As part of PG&E's 2.3 proposal, it is proposing a short-term bridge 24 as an insurance option effectively. 25 question came up how is short-term debt 26 typically recovered in rates, so I will 27 address how the interest cost of short-term

debt is typically addressed and then how

2.8

1 issuance of other costs are typically 2 addressed. So, with respect to interest on 3 short-term debt, the actual interest rate of 4 PG&E's short-term debt is generally not 5 passed through to customers. 6 Rather, 7 consistent with Commission policy, PG&E receives the three-month, Tier 1 commercial 8 9 paper rate on approved balances which includes undercollections in memo and 10 11 balancing accounts and certain other 12 nonrate-based assets such as inventories of 13 natural gas used to meet peak demand and 14 inventories of diesel fuel. 15 With respect to the issuance or 16 other costs associated with short-term debt, costs associated with credit facilities and 17 18 letters of credit are recovered through 19 balancing accounts or, for credit facilities, 2.0 also partially recovered through the GRC as 21 administrative and general expense. 22 So apart from this normal process in 2.3 this proceeding with respect to the bridge, 24 PG&E is asking the Commission to authorize 25 recovery of a portion of the cost of the 26 bridge through PG&E's authorized cost of debt 27 and, if the bridge is funded, actually used,

to update its authorized cost of debt to

1	reflect the interest rate on the bridge.
2	So specifically, this applies to the
3	portion of the bridge that would finance rate
4	base, not any amounts used for contributions
5	to the wildfire fund or for the payment of
6	wildfire claims.
7	We will be addressing this as stated
8	in Mr. Wells' testimony through an advice
9	letter filing in the cost of capital
10	proceeding.
11	ALJ ALLEN: Thank you.
12	Does anyone have clarifying
13	questions for Mr. Manheim on his statement?
14	Mr. Alcantar.
15	MR. ALCANTAR: Yes, your Honor. Thank
	MR. ALCANTAR: Yes, your Honor. Thank you.
	-
16	you.
16 17	you. Mr. Manheim, will the tier advice
16 17 18 19	you. Mr. Manheim, will the tier advice letter be a Tier 3 advice letter, so subject
16 17 18 19 20	you. Mr. Manheim, will the tier advice letter be a Tier 3 advice letter, so subject to comment or complaint by stakeholders?
16 17 18 19 20 21	you. Mr. Manheim, will the tier advice letter be a Tier 3 advice letter, so subject to comment or complaint by stakeholders? MR. MANHEIM: I'll have to get back to
16 17 18	you. Mr. Manheim, will the tier advice letter be a Tier 3 advice letter, so subject to comment or complaint by stakeholders? MR. MANHEIM: I'll have to get back to you on that. I believe it's yeah, I'll
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you. Mr. Manheim, will the tier advice letter be a Tier 3 advice letter, so subject to comment or complaint by stakeholders? MR. MANHEIM: I'll have to get back to you on that. I believe it's yeah, I'll get back to you on that, Mr. Alcantar.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you. Mr. Manheim, will the tier advice letter be a Tier 3 advice letter, so subject to comment or complaint by stakeholders? MR. MANHEIM: I'll have to get back to you on that. I believe it's yeah, I'll get back to you on that, Mr. Alcantar. ALJ ALLEN: Mr. Long and Ms. Kelly.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	you. Mr. Manheim, will the tier advice letter be a Tier 3 advice letter, so subject to comment or complaint by stakeholders? MR. MANHEIM: I'll have to get back to you on that. I believe it's yeah, I'll get back to you on that, Mr. Alcantar. ALJ ALLEN: Mr. Long and Ms. Kelly. MR. LONG: Your Honor, the attorney who
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	you. Mr. Manheim, will the tier advice letter be a Tier 3 advice letter, so subject to comment or complaint by stakeholders? MR. MANHEIM: I'll have to get back to you on that. I believe it's yeah, I'll get back to you on that, Mr. Alcantar. ALJ ALLEN: Mr. Long and Ms. Kelly. MR. LONG: Your Honor, the attorney who is handling those issues for TURN is not

1 questions. 2. ALU ALLEN: That's fine. My ideal preference would be that, if there are 3 questions, those can be given to Mr. Manheim 4 off-line and then he can do a further 5 6 clarifying statement at a later time. 7 Ms. Kelly. MS. KELLY: Yes, thank you. 8 9 further clarifying question. You had stated 10 that with respect to the bridge financing, 11 there is a portion of the cost of debt that 12 will be applied to the rate base and a 13 certain portion that is not; is that correct? 14 MR. MANHEIM: The current expectation 15 is that it would be used to finance rate 16 base, but to the extent the bridge is sized 17 to potentially include contributions to the 18 wildfire fund, then PG&E would not be seeking 19 cost recovery associated with that --2.0 MS. KELLY: Okay. 21 MR. MANHEIM: -- with that portion. 22 MS. KELLY: Okay. Sorry, one further clarification, which is so at the current 2.3 24 moment in time, the expectation is that the 25 bridge would go to finance rate base; is that 26 correct? 27 MR. MANHEIM: Yes. 2.8 MS. KELLY: So then 100 percent of the

1	issuance and other costs would be applied to
2	ratepayers?
3	MR. MANHEIM: So if the bridge is
4	funded it's not even clear it would be
5	used but if funded, we will then address
6	in the advice letter to what extent in
7	finance rate base and other items, and we
8	would only seek recovery of fees associated
9	with the portion used to fund rate base.
10	MS. KELLY: Your Honor, I just
11	ALJ ALLEN: I think the answer is yes.
12	MS. KELLY: Yes, thank you.
13	And my one last clarifying question
14	is are there any costs of that facility prior
15	to draw on that facility?
16	MR. MANHEIM: So my understanding is
17	even if the bridge is not used, there are
18	some fees and costs that PG&E would seek cost
	Some rees and coses that road would seek cose
19	recovery of through the advice letter
19 20	
	recovery of through the advice letter
20	recovery of through the advice letter process.
20	recovery of through the advice letter process. MS. KELLY: And do you know the scale
20 21 22	recovery of through the advice letter process. MS. KELLY: And do you know the scale of those fees and costs?
20212223	recovery of through the advice letter process. MS. KELLY: And do you know the scale of those fees and costs? MR. MANHEIM: No.
2021222324	recovery of through the advice letter process. MS. KELLY: And do you know the scale of those fees and costs? MR. MANHEIM: No. MS. KELLY: Thank you. No further
20 21 22 23 24 25	recovery of through the advice letter process. MS. KELLY: And do you know the scale of those fees and costs? MR. MANHEIM: No. MS. KELLY: Thank you. No further questions.

reiterate I think what you've offered to us. 1 I think there are several additional 2. clarifying issues to raise with Mr. Manheim, 3 including one I don't want to belabor the 4 record on, but there's a discovery response 5 6 with a rather expensive spread sheet in 7 support of the supplemental testimony that I think also bears on this that we're going to 9 seek to work with Mr. Manheim to get into the record as well. 10 11 ALJ ALLEN: Yes. If there's clarifying information either through a document or 12 additional statement, feel free to work 13 14 off-line with Mr. Manheim. 15 I think my question is MR. GEESMAN: 16 fairly short and easily answered. Does PG&E 17 characterize the pollution control bonds, 18 both historically and going forward, as 19 short-term debt or long-term debt? 2.0 My understanding, it is MR. MANHEIM: 21 not considered short-term debt. MR. GEESMAN: So it would be considered 22 2.3 long-term debt? 2.4 Yes. MR. MANHEIM: 25 MR. GEESMAN: Yes. 26 MR. MANHEIM: And if that's not 27 correct, I'll correct later. 2.8 ALJ ALLEN: Any other preliminary

1	matters before we call PG&E witness Kane?
2	Ms. Koss.
3	MS. KOSS: Yes, your Honor, thank you,
4	just a scheduling matter. Counsel have
5	agreed to have Mr. Dalzell, CUE's witness,
6	take the stand first on Wednesday. He was
7	originally scheduled to be last. No parties
8	have objected to that, so I just wanted to
9	clarify that.
10	ALJ ALLEN: That would be on Wednesday
11	the 4th that Dalzell would start?
12	MS. KOSS: That's correct.
13	ALJ ALLEN: Okay. Thank you.
14	MS. KOSS: Thank you.
15	ALJ ALLEN: Any other preliminary
16	matters?
17	Mr. Abrams.
18	MR. ABRAMS: At the end of Friday, I
19	put forward a motion for a public
20	participation, or renewed my motion, given
21	the lack of participation from the TCC and
22	feeling it's very important that we get
23	information out and get the pulse of the
24	public regarding the Plan of Reorganization.
25	I just wanted to make sure that that was
26	restated.
27	ALJ ALLEN: Sure. Let me address that,
28	and two things; first off, TCC can determine

how best to represent its clients, whether 1 2. that's through cross-examination or not doing cross-examination. 3 How do you propose that public 4 5 participation hearings could be conducted in 6 a way that would be meaningful and that could 7 be completed in the deadline set by AB-1054? MR. ABRAMS: I would suggest holding 9 public participation hearings particularly in 10 those areas where they were affected by the 11 wildfires. I would expect that PG&E would have folks there and be able to answer 12 questions about the Plan of Reorganization. 13 14 I would expect, to whatever extent 15 that the TCC wanted to have representation at 16 that, to be able to explain the Plan of 17 Reorganization, that that would be there. Ι 18 would also expect that there might be a 19 ratepayer advocate who would be present there 2.0 and that there could be questions from the 21 public regarding impacts to the Plan of 22 Reorganization on public safety, on 2.3 affordability, and all the issues before the 24 Commission. 25 ALJ ALLEN: Thank you, Mr. Abrams. 26 was not asking how the public participation 27 hearings would be conducted. I'm asking how

they could be conducted in the time frame set

1 by AB-1054. 2 MR. ABRAMS: I would suggest, given that we were able to consolidate the 3 evidentiary hearings between non-financial and financial issues and we've been 5 streamlining this at the direction of PG&E to 6 7 make this as expeditious a process as possible, that we take the time given that 8 9 time savings that was applied there and apply 10 it to having public participation hearings so 11 that we can have some meaningful dialog with 12 the public to understand their concerns and 13 we can understand whether the concerns of the 14 public are addressed in this Plan of 15 Reorganization or they're not. 16 ALJ ALLEN: I'm sorry, Mr. Abrams, but 17 there's not what I see as significant time 18 I'm going to deny your request for savings. 19 public participation hearings. 2.0 Anything else before we call Witness 21 Seeing none. PG&E, please call your 22 next witness. 2.3 And may I introduce MR. MANHEIM: 24 Skylar Grove from Munger Tolles who will be 25 handling Ms. Kane. Thank you. 26 ALJ ALLEN: 27 Ms. Grove. 2.8 MS. GROVE: We'd like to call Julie

1	Kane, your Honor.
2	ALJ ALLEN: Thank you. Off the record.
3	(Off the record.)
4	ALJ ALLEN: On the record.
5	Ms. Kane.
6	THE WITNESS: Good morning.
7	ALJ ALLEN: Please stand.
8	JULIE KANE, called as a witness by Pacific Gas & Electric Company, having
9	been sworn, testified as follows:
10	THE WITNESS: I do.
11	ALJ ALLEN: Thank you. Please be
12	seated, state your full name and spell your
13	last name for the record.
14	THE WITNESS: My name is Julie Kane,
15	K-a-n-e.
16	ALJ ALLEN: Please make sure to
17	remember to use the microphone and that the
18	green light is on.
19	THE WITNESS: Yes.
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION
21	BY MS. GROVE:
22	Q Good morning, Ms. Kane.
23	A Good morning.
24	Q What is your position at PG&E?
25	A I am the Senior Vice President and
26	Chief Ethics and Compliance Officer and
27	Deputy General Counsel.
28	Q Do you have in front of you PG&E's

Prepared Testimony, Volume I, which has been 1 2 marked as PG&E's 1? Yes, I do. 3 Α 4 0 Are you sponsoring Chapter 8 of 5 that testimony? 6 Α Yes, I am. 7 Was that testimony prepared by you or under your direction? 9 Α Yes. 10 Do you have any corrections to your Q 11 testimony? I do not. 12 Α 13 0 Is your testimony true and correct 14 to the best of your knowledge? 15 Α Yes, it is. 16 MS. GROVE: Ms. Kane is available for 17 cross-examination. 18 ALJ ALLEN: Thank you, Ms. Grove. 19 Mr. Long. 20 MR. LONG: Thank you, your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION 21 22 BY MR. LONG: 2.3 Good morning, Ms. Kane. I'm Tom Long of TURN. 24 25 Α Good morning. 26 To begin, you would have been with 27 PG&E since May 2015; is that right? Α That's correct. 28

Q And you've had the same position
since you joined?
A Generally speaking. The compliance
position has been the same. Some of the
legal aspects of what I do have adjusted a
little bit.
Q And has your position always
reported to the chief executive officer of
the corporation?
A Yes, it has, although there have
been some changes in his role. When I
originally came to PG&E, for example,
Mr. Tony Early was the chief executive
officer and chairman so I was reporting to
the CEO and chairman. Now I'm reporting to
the CEO and certain committees of the board
of directors.
Q Okay. But always reporting at the
corporate level; is that right?
A Correct.
Q Now I'd like to direct your
attention to a document I left at your table
there. It's an excerpt from what has been
marked as TURN Cross-Examination Exhibit 2,
TURN-X-2. Specifically I'd like you to turn
to the response, the question and response to
Data Request TURN 17-4.
Do you have that in front of you?

1	A I do.
2	Q There I asked for information about
3	your role in relation to the Locate and Mark
4	enforcement proceeding before the CPUC.
5	Do you see that question?
6	A I do.
7	Q The Locate and Mark proceeding, I
8	assume that was a proceeding that you needed
9	to inform the probation officer about in the
10	criminal proceeding?
11	A Yes.
12	Q That's because it was an
13	administrative proceeding against PG&E is
14	that right?
15	A That's generally correct, yes.
16	Q That was one of the conditions of
17	probation?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And what did informing the
20	probation officer about the proceeding
21	entail?
22	A It will vary. I don't remember
23	specifically with respect to this matter, but
24	we either would have called our probation
25	officer or written to her or maybe both.
26	Q Okay. And you're the person
27	responsible for informing the probation
28	officer; is that right?

1	A My team and I, yes.
2	Q Okay. All right. So you had to
3	have some knowledge and involvement in this
4	proceeding; is that fair?
5	A Yes.
6	Q In fact, your response says you had
7	a general supervisory role with respect to
8	the positions that PG&E took in that case; is
9	that right?
10	A That's correct.
11	Q Are you aware that one of the
12	things that went wrong with Locate and Mark
13	was that in May 2016 PG&E's senior vice
14	president of gas operations, Jesus Soto, was
15	directly informed about the problem of late
16	responses to 811 tickets being counted as on
17	time when, in fact, they were not?
18	Are you aware of that?
19	A I am aware that Mr. Soto received
20	some information along those lines at that
21	time.
22	Q And that Mr. Soto then asked his
23	subordinate Mr. Higgins to deal with the
24	problem, but Mr. Soto did not follow up with
25	Mr. Higgins.
26	Is that your understanding?
27	A I am aware that Mr. Soto asked
28	Mr. Higgins to follow up, yes.

1	Q And that he assumed, that Mr. Soto
2	assumed Mr. Higgins would handle the problem?
3	A My understanding is that Mr. Soto
4	delegated that inquiry and did expect that it
5	would be completed.
6	Q Can you agree that Mr. Soto should
7	have followed up with Mr. Higgins to see
8	whether the problem had been addressed?
9	A I think it's hard for me to put
10	myself in the shoes of Mr. Soto given all
11	that he had on his plate running our Gas
12	Operations as the Senior Vice President of
13	that organization. So I'm reluctant to agree
14	to that statement.
15	Q My understanding is that the
16	settlement agreement does, in fact,
17	ultimately take that position that Mr. Soto
18	should have followed up and did not?
19	A I think with the benefit of
20	hindsight, that is a view that Mr. Soto had.
21	Again, I'm just extremely reluctant to take a
22	position for Mr. Soto.
23	Q So from a standpoint of serving as
24	PG&E's Chief Ethics and Compliance Officer.
25	A Yes.
26	Q And having let me make sure I
27	understand. In your position, you have
28	responsibility for trying to ensure that PG&E

2.0

2.3

has a sound enforcement regime. And that if
there are problems that are going to
potentially lead to violations, that your job
is to help prevent that, is it not?

A I wouldn't phrase it guite the way

A I wouldn't phrase it quite the way you did. If I may just try to explain a bit. As the Chief Ethics and Compliance Officer, I in my role direct the Ethics and Compliance Program from the corporate center, and we work with the lines of business to promote their Ethics and Compliance Program. So a line of business would be Gas Operations, Electric Operations.

And a compliance program has many elements. And so that's the Code of Conduct, training individuals in the leadership, many other things including promoting an effective investigations program and then taking action once an investigation is complete as appropriate.

Q Getting back to Locate and Mark. If Mr. Soto had followed up with Mr. Higgins and taken effective action to address the Locate and Mark problems in May of 2016 instead of, as it turned out, nothing really got resolved until the attention was focused on that problem sufficiently until 2017.

So if Mr. Soto had focused on the

Q

issue and followed up in May of 2016, almost 1 2. a year of violations could have avoided; is that right? 3 I think your question is asking me 4 5 to speculate what would have happened if 6 Mr. Soto had done something, and I'm not in a 7 position to do so. Well, my question was asking you to 8 9 assume that Mr. Soto followed up and ensured 10 that the problem had been handled and that he 11 was directly informed. So with that 12 assumption, would it be fair to say that the 13 problem could have been addressed much sooner 14 than it in fact ended up being addressed? 15 It just feel like you're Α Perhaps. 16 asking me to speculate. I can't really say 17 sitting here today in 2020 what would have 18 happened if something had happened in 2016. 19 Okay. Now, are you familiar with 2.0 the fact that in March of 2019, this is after 21 the Locate and Mark Investigation had been 22 It was a formal docket at the PUC. opened. 2.3 And almost three years after Mr. Soto was 24 directly informed about the Locate and Mark 25 problems, PG&E was required to file a 26 document called a 90-Day Report? 27 Α I'm aware of that.

And that that 90-Day Report was

essentially PG&E's first written response to 1 2. the Safety and Enforcement Division's, or 3 SED, allegations in that case? Yes, in that case. I will share 4 that at the time I became involved in the 5 6 matter, PG&E undertook its own investigation. 7 We hired a third-party investigator, and we asked them to look at the issues. And that 9 is information we also shared throughout the 10 process of that investigation with the third 11 monitor and SED. 12 So although I think it's probably correct to say, if I understood your question 13 14 correctly, that that would have been the 15 first major filing in the OII. There were 16 other pieces of information we shared with 17 SED. 18 That was my question. That was 0 19 PG&E's first written response to the 2.0 allegations of SED; is that right? 21 Α Yes. That's my understanding. 22 Now, was that a pleading that you would have reviewed? 2.3 24 Δ Yes. I believe that I did review 25 that. 26 So now I'd like to direct your 27 attention to the other document up on the 2.8 stand for you.

1	MR. LONG: And, your Honor, at this
2	time, I'd like TURN's cross-examination
3	exhibit, which is titled Excerpt from PG&E's
4	90-Day Report in I.18-12-007 Locate and Mark.
5	I'd like that to be marked as the next
6	cross-exhibit for TURN.
7	ALJ ALLEN: Thank you, Mr. Long. That
8	exhibit will be marked as TURN-X-07.
9	(Exhibit No. TURN-X-07 was marked
10	for identification.)
11	MR. LONG: Thank you, your Honor.
12	Q Ms. Kane, do you have that in front
13	of you?
14	A I do.
15	Q May I have just a moment, your
16	Honor?
17	And I supplied that to the service
18	list last night hoping your counsel would
19	share it with you. Did you have a chance to
20	look at that excerpt?
21	A I did rather briefly. It was sort
22	of late.
23	Q Yes. Okay. The excerpt consists
24	of the title page and the table of contents.
25	And two pages from the pleading, pages 80 and
26	81. I'm interested in having you look at
27	page 81 if you could turn to that?
28	A Yes.

And that's where there's a 1 0 2 discussion of Mr. Soto's handling of the information he received about the Locate and 3 Mark problems in May of 2016. Do you see 4 5 It's a full paragraph with an indented 6 quote. Do you see that on page 81? 7 Α I do. Okay. Why don't you take a moment 8 0 9 just to review it now, and then I'll have 10 some questions for you. 11 Α Thank you. 12 Okay. 13 0 All right. So I'm going to share 14 my perception of this paragraph and ask you 15 whether you agree or disagree. 16 My perception is that this 17 paragraph, including the indented quotation, 18 treats Mr. Higgins' behavior as unacceptable. 19 But it attempts to excuse the behavior of 2.0 Mr. Soto, the Senior Vice President of Gas 21 Operations. Do you see it the same way? 22 MS. GROVE: Objection, your Honor. The 2.3 document speaks for itself. 24 ALJ ALLEN: Overruled. 25 THE WITNESS: I do not see it this way. 26 BY MR. LONG: 27 Let's look at the indented quote. 2.8 That's an excerpt from Mr. Soto's deposition

27

2.8

that PG&E chose to include. It didn't 1 2. include other quotes. It included that 3 particular quote. And that quote is basically saying that Mr. Soto is a very busy 4 5 Isn't that the point of that? 6 Including that quote he had a lot of things 7 to do. Well, I don't see that it says 9 Mr. Soto is a very busy man. I think it is 10 designed to convey that in his role as the 11 senior leader in the organization, he's 12 managing a number of priorities and does 13 delegate certain aspects of his work to those 14 in the organization. 15 And then it goes on to say that 16 Mr. Higgins was responsible for investigating the issue. And that if Mr. Higgins -- based 17 18 on the working relationship that Mr. Soto and 19 Mr. Higgins had -- that if Mr. Higgins did 2.0 not return to Mr. Soto, Mr. Soto thought that meant it was handled. Isn't that what --21 22 that seems to be making a case for Mr. Soto's 2.3 lack of follow-up as being reasonable. Do 24 you not see it that way? 25 I don't see it that way in part 26 because of my own experience, and that is

that at PG&E, and in all other organizations

I've ever been in, leaders delegate work to

other individuals in the organization 1 2. frequently. And sometimes there may be an expectation in fact that if an individual 3 doesn't come back, the matter has been 4 handled. 5 6 So again, I can see as reasonable this notion that if I'm Jesus Soto, and now 7 I'm speculating, I've delegated this. 8 9 expect it has been handled unless the individual comes back to me. 10 11 And so it was okay for Mr. Soto not 12 to follow up then? Is that what you're 13 saying? 14 I don't -- that is not what I'm 15 saying. 16 Okay. Well, then I'm afraid I'm Q 17 failing to understand your point. 18 So maybe I'm not communicating А 19 effectively. Let me take a step back. I 2.0 think the Locate and Mark matter, which is 21 now closed, is something PG&E took extremely 22 seriously. We have accepted responsibility 2.3 for the shortcomings with respect to this 24 matter. 25 I was sitting, I think, in this 26 chamber when ALJ Allen presided over that 27 OII, the settlement hearings. We held employees accountable. And we know we did 28

```
not meet the mark with respect to Locate and
 1
 2
     Mark.
               What I'm having trouble with is
 3
     speculating about the motives or thinking of
 4
 5
     Mr. Soto or things along those lines.
 6
     feel like that's what you're asking me to do,
 7
     and I'm just kind of struggling with that.
               Okay. Well --
 8
           0
 9
           ALJ ALLEN: Let's limit it to
10
     additional cross, Mr. Long.
11
           MR. LONG: Okay. All right.
12
           ALJ ALLEN:
                       I have some understanding
     of this issue.
13
14
     BY MR. LONG:
15
               So in retrospect, Ms. Kane, do you
16
     -- do you feel like this meeting, in
     particular page 81 we've just been looking at
17
18
     sets the right tone for managerial
19
     expectations with respect to preventing
2.0
     serious regulatory violations such as this
21
     one that led to $110 million in penalties?
22
           Α
               So this -- the piece of paper that
2.3
     I'm looking at is one page in a record of
24
     probably thousands.
                          I'm not sure.
25
     tens of thousands of pages in a proceeding
     that is closed.
26
27
               Should I pause?
2.8
           Q
               I'm sorry. Please go ahead.
```

1	A Okay. So I'm just reluctant to
2	characterize this as a PG&E position. It's
3	one page as a excerpt. As I mentioned a
4	couple of minutes ago, PG&E has taken
5	responsibility for the Locate and Mark matter
6	and acknowledged that we fell short of our
7	high expectations and we held individuals
8	accountable. And so I think that's my view.
9	MR. LONG: Those are all of my
10	questions. Thank you, Ms. Kane.
11	ALJ ALLEN: Thank you, Mr. Long.
12	Ms. Kelly?
13	MS. KELLY: Thank you, your Honor. Is
14	this is microphone on?
15	ALJ ALLEN: If you tap it, we can tell.
16	Tap on it. Just try to get close to it.
17	CROSS-EXAMINATION
18	BY MS. KELLY:
19	Q Thank you, Your Honor.
20	Thank you, Ms. Kane. I have a
21	question for you. If you would please turn
22	to page 8-7 of your testimony.
23	A Yes.
24	Q Down starting on line 24, it talks
25	about additions to PG&E's conditions of
26	probation. Would you read the item No. 1?
27	A Yes. That says:
28	The utility must fully

1	comply will all applicable
2	vegetation management and
3	clearance-related laws.
4	Q And is PG&E currently in compliance
5	with applicable vegetation management and
6	clearance-related laws?
7	A PG&E is in substantial compliance,
8	as we have explained in pleadings in other
9	forum
10	Q Thank you. Could you please define
11	what you mean by "substantial compliance?"
12	A I was just about to do that.
13	Q Okay.
14	A So, we believe we are in
15	substantial compliance. What we are not
16	comfortable doing is certifying perfect
17	compliance because of the dynamic nature
18	MS. KELLY: Objection, your Honor. My
19	question relates to
20	ALJ ALLEN: Ms. Kelly, she's trying to
21	answer the question you asked. Please let
22	her answer the question.
23	Go ahead, Ms. Kane.
24	THE WITNESS: Thank you. So because of
25	the very dynamic nature of our service
26	territory which has millions of trees and
27	thousands of individuals working to ensure
28	that we do adhere to veg management and

clearance-related laws and the fact that at 1 any given moment in time, in fact even after 2. an inspector has just looked at a tree or 3 someone has just trimmed a tree because of 4 5 natural occurrences in the environment; as an 6 example, winds blowing which brings a branch 7 close --MS. KELLY: I object to this answer at 8 9 this point as it is not responsive to what is 10 "compliance." 11 ALJ ALLEN: Ms. Kelly, I thought you asked her what the difference was between --12 what substantial compliance meant and I think 13 14 she's explaining why they are in substantial 15 but not perfect compliance --16 MS. KELLY: Okay. Please proceed. 17 ALJ ALLEN: -- which seems directly 18 related to your question. So I'll let her 19 finish answering the question. 2.0 Go ahead, Ms. Kane. 21 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 22 In any case, because conditions can 2.3 change so quickly and the environment is so 24 dynamic that for us to certify that any given 25 tree is in compliance with let's say a clearance standard would be impossible. 26 27 would have to actually have people posted at

each tree day and night all the time and we

just can't comfortably do that. So rather 1 2. than certify perfect compliance, we are 3 stating that we are in substantial compliance. 4 I will also add that we have some 5 6 experts coming up next from our wildfire team 7 and they can probably talk to you more about some of these issues. 8 BY MS. KELLY: 9 10 0 So my question was: How does PG&E 11 define "substantial compliance?" I would 12 assume that in order to say "we're in substantial compliance, " PG&E has determined 13 14 what substantial compliance means to PG&E. 15 Can you define what that is? 16 Α I don't think I am the best person 17 to define it. Maybe you can talk to the 18 wildfire people. What I do know is the vast 19 majority of the work that we attempted to 2.0 complete last year to comply with this 21 requirement was completed. 22 Correct. And to what quality 2.3 standard was that work completed? 24 Α To what quality standard? I am not 25 sure I understand the question. 26 Let's think about a single mile of 27 lines and those lines are worked and then

inspected. You are going to end up with a

2.8

1	figure of something along the lines of number
2	of exceptions per mile. Has PG&E determined
3	how many exceptions per mile are acceptable?
4	A I have to tell you I don't know the
5	answer to that. I would defer to colleagues
6	who are more expert with respect to wildfire
7	mitigation.
8	Q And this is a condition of
9	probation, though?
10	A A condition of probation is that we
11	fully comply with all applicable vegetation
12	management and clearance-related laws, as I
13	said earlier, yes.
14	Q And it also in your testimony it
15	also says that:
16	The utility must fully
17	comply with the targets and
18	metrics set forth in the
19	utility's
20	(Interjection by court
21	reporter.)
22	ALJ ALLEN: Slow down, please.
23	BY MS. KELLY:
24	Q I'm sorry.
25	An additional condition of PG&E's
26	probation is that:
27	The utility must fully
28	comply with the targets and

1	metrics set forth in the
2	utility's CPUC-approved
3	2019 Wildfire Safety Plan,
4	including with respect to
5	enhanced vegetation
6	management.
7	Is that correct?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Okay. Would you please turn to
10	that document, the Wildfire Safety Plan? I
11	had circulated this as a cross-examination
12	exhibit.
13	ALJ ALLEN: Ms. Kelly, this is an
14	excerpt from the PG&E Wildfire Safety Plan
15	you marked as a cross-examination exhibit?
16	MS. KELLY: Yes, your Honor.
17	ALJ ALLEN: That will be marked as
18	MCE-X-2.
19	(Exhibit No. MCE-X-02 was marked for identification.)
20	identification.)
21	THE WITNESS: I am not sure I see that.
22	Do you know if I have that?
23	ALJ ALLEN: Off the record.
24	(Off the record.)
25	ALJ ALLEN: On the record.
26	Ms. Kelly.
27	MS. KELLY: Thank you, your Honor.
28	///

If you would please turn to 1 0 2. page 135 of that document? This relates to 3 quality assurance results in high fire threat district areas. And I assume that these 4 5 types of targets are essential to comply with 6 the condition of probation; is that correct? 7 I think I might frame it Α differently. I know that this plan -- this 8 9 is the PG&E plan. And I think on probation 10 we're asked to comply with it. 11 And so here the target that PG&E 12 has set for itself is achieving 92 percent of 13 trees correctly worked to the EVM or drought 14 and tree-mortality scope identified during, 15 it's divided by all, in-scope trees reviewed 16 through audits. 17 So basically you're saying --18 MS. GROVE: Can you show her what 19 you're identifying, what you're addressing? 2.0 BY MS. KELLY: 21 Yes, up at the top of -- you kind 22 of have to read it together, but at the top What is the target? 2.3 of 136 it talks about: 24 What is the quality target that PG&E needs to 25 meet for HFTD areas? And then the bullet 26 right before that, that's how the percentage 27 is defined. 2.8

I am not sure I caught the

1	question.
2	Q So what this is in essence saying
3	is PG&E has met its target if it has
4	correctly trimmed 92 percent of its trees; is
5	that correct?
6	A I think so, but honestly I am going
7	to defer to my colleagues who are more
8	knowledgeable about all of these aspects and
9	they're on panel next.
10	Q Right. I will ask that. Thank
11	you.
12	So, but just as a big picture
13	matter, so you're saying that eight percent
14	of noncompliance this would mean that
15	eight percent of noncompliance with
16	appropriate tree trimming is considered
17	compliance under this plan?
18	A I don't know that to be accurate,
19	but again I am going to defer to the experts
20	on this.
21	Q And since it is a condition of
22	probation, did you check how whether this
23	is consistent with industry standards?
24	A I don't know the answer to that.
25	Q And would the panel after this know
26	the answer to that?
27	A I don't know the answer to that
2.8	either But I think they!re better people to

This is -- this requires in-depth 1 ask. expertise about wildfire mitigation that I 2. 3 simply don't have in my role. And similarly there was -- my 4 5 understanding that there was representation 6 to Judge Alsup's court that there are 7 approximately 1,000 trees per mile in PG&E's service territory; is that correct? 8 9 MS. GROVE: Objection, your Honor. We 10 have a panel testifying after, who are 11 subject matter experts in this area. Overruled. If the witness 12 ALJ ALLEN: knows the answer, she can answer. 13 She's so 14 far been very good at deferring questions to 15 the panel. 16 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the 17 question or read it back please? 18 BY MS. KELLY: 19 Approximately how many trees Yes. 2.0 per mile are there in PG&E's high threat fire 21 district? And this does not need to be 22 specific, just a ballpark. 2.3 I don't know the answer to it. Α 24 MS. KELLY: Your Honor, may I move my 25 set of questions to the subject matter experts? 26 27 ALJ ALLEN: Sure. BY MS. KELLY: 2.8

So, just so we don't end up in a 1 0 loop, where I had missed questions with you 2. and we end up with another set of witnesses, 3 would you -- I had identified as a -- that I 4 would refer to one of TURN's exhibits in 5 their testimony, the monitor letter. 6 This is 7 identified in PG&E's testimony in its attachments, Attachment F. 9 MS. GROVE: I don't think that was an 10 attachment to PG&E's testimony. 11 MS. KELLY: To TURN's testimony. 12 ALJ ALLEN: Off the record. (Off the record.) 13 14 ALJ ALLEN: On the record. 15 Ms. Kelly. 16 Thank you, your Honor. MS. KELLY: 17 Turning to the monitor letter that 0 18 had been attached to TURN's testimony as an 19 appendix, so could you read the third 2.0 paragraph under "Background" on page -- it's page 18 of the letter and page I believe 117 21 22 of the Bates numbering at the bottom. 2.3 Is that the paragraph beginning --Α ALJ ALLEN: Hold on a second. 24 Before 25 we do that, is that the paragraph that 26 starts, "In summary, the monitor team's 27 inspections are generating significant actionable findings for PG&E, " et cetera? 2.8

1	MS. KELLY: Yes.
2	ALJ ALLEN: Okay. Let's not
3	necessarily have her read that out loud.
4	Have her read it to herself and if you have
5	questions, go ahead with those.
6	Do you have that paragraph?
7	THE WITNESS: I do have it, yes.
8	ALJ ALLEN: Go ahead and read it to
9	yourself.
10	THE WITNESS: Okay.
11	BY MS. KELLY:
12	Q So what that letter found was that
13	individual trees had been missed and
14	significant gaps in processes, including
15	systemic recordkeeping differences; is that
16	correct?
17	A That is what this paragraph says in
18	part.
19	Q And then in the next section it
20	talks about the number of exceptions per
21	mile. Do you see that?
22	A Yes.
23	Q And it says that "the monitor team
24	found an average of 61.32 exceptions per
25	mile."
26	MS. GROVE: Objection. The documents
27	speaks for itself.
28	ALJ ALLEN: Foundational. Overruled.

THE WITNESS: I see that. 1 2 BY MS. KELLY: So subject to check, that would be 3 Q one exception tree every 86 feet? 4 5 ALJ ALLEN: Just a general thing for all counsel, I don't like questions "subject 6 7 to check" because it's never clear to me who is checking or how it will be checked when we 9 come back here. 10 So lay your foundation, ask your 11 question and keep going, Ms. Kelly. 12 BY MS. KELLY: 13 0 Thank you. Okay. So based on my 14 calculation, that means it would be one 15 exception every 86 feet for any mile that had 16 already been worked. Is that considered 17 compliance under PG&E's plan? 1 18 So I'm not sure about the 19 calculation. I think what I can tell you is 2.0 that when PG&E received this letter from the 21 monitor, which it did not receive directly, 22 it went to the court, but we were in the meantime in conversations with the monitor 2.3 24 about a number of issues related to our veg 25 management program and the work that they 26 were doing. We took all of these findings 27 extremely seriously. 2.8 In fact, many of them we had become

aware of ourselves and were already working 1 2. We also sat down with them immediately. 3 I was part of that, but also the team of experts who oversaw the wildfire mitigation 4 5 program, safety program, and really went through in detail to determine how we could 6 7 improve what they had raised, so it was very important to us. And we did take a number of 8 9 steps. 10 ALJ ALLEN: Ms. Kane, I think it was a 11 yes-or-no question. 12 THE WITNESS: Can someone repeat the 13 question. 14 I think you were asking if ALJ ALLEN: 15 that was substantial compliance? 16 BY MS. KELLY: 17 Yes; is that compliance? 0 18 Α It may be substantial compliance. 19 It doesn't look like it's perfect compliance. 2.0 So substantial compliance would 21 mean that one tree every 60 feet in a high --22 of tree exceptions in a high --2.3 Instead of doing the math, ALJ ALLEN: 24 why don't you just use the actual numbers 25 that are here. 26 MS. KELLY: Okay. 27 That way she doesn't have ALJ ALLEN: 2.8 to calculate and figure out whether you've

done your division properly. 1 2. MS. KELLY: Right. Thank you, your 3 Honor. So you were saying that 61.32 4 0 exceptions per mile is substantial 5 compliance? 6 7 Α I actually don't know the answer to that. What I can tell you is that we aren't 8 9 in perfect compliance. I believe the company 10 takes the position we are in substantial 11 compliance with aspects of the Wildfire 12 Safety Program and we are working to improve 13 all the time. 14 I think I'm confused. So who defines what is substantial compliance? 15 16 Α All I can tell you is I do not. 17 think the company -- people working with the 18 people on the team who do this work, 19 discussions with the CPUC and others but 2.0 it's -- part of why I'm struggling here is 21 that I'm the chief ethics and compliance 22 officer and you're asking me a lot of 2.3 detailed questions including numeric 24 calculations about the Wildfire Safety 25 Program and I'm just not the right person to 26 answer that. 27 So you are not the right Okav. 2.8 person to ask about how substantial

compliance is defined for purposes of 1 2. oversight by the federal monitor; is that 3 correct? Α No, that's not what I said. 4 5 0 So is the answer yes? 6 Α I've been trying to explain myself. 7 Clearly I'm not satisfying you. There are 8 people who are expert in aspects of our 9 Wildfire Safety Program, whether it's -- and 10 specific regulatory requirements and I am not 11 that expert. 12 Of course we are seeking to comply 13 fully as required by our probation. Nothing 14 is more important than that from where I sit, 15 other than safety, which is the most 16 important thing, and that's what we're 17 striving to do. We have a number of experts 18 in the company, really many, many who do this 19 work and seek to deliver it. We can't 2.0 certify perfect compliance. We believe we're 21 in substantial compliance and I'm just not 22 the wildfire safety expert. 2.3 Ms. Kelly, let's do a time ALJ ALLEN: 24 Do you have more on this specific 25 line of questioning or do you have other 26 questions? 27 That is actually the extent MS. KELLY: 2.8 of -- actually I have -- so I have one last

1 question. 2. Let's say that PG&E assigns -determines that substantial compliance is 3 like getting a C or a D in a class instead of 4 5 An A would be perfect compliance and a C or a D is substantial compliance. 6 7 You know, no further questions, Your Honor. I'll leave that there. 8 9 ALJ ALLEN: Thank you, Ms. Kelly. 10 I believe we have Mr. Abrams. 11 Mr. Abrams, go ahead. 12 MR. ABRAMS: Thank you, your Honor. 13 CROSS-EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. ABRAMS: 15 Q Thank you, Ms. Kane. 16 Α Good morning. 17 I have some 0 Good morning. 18 questions for you and I want you to keep me 19 honest in terms of my questions in terms of 2.0 what is your area of responsibility. Can you 21 give me a sense in terms of employees, the 22 board, what's the scope of your oversight in 2.3 terms of ethical responsibilities? 24 Α So as the leader in the company 25 responsible for the compliance and ethics 26 program, I oversee from the corporate part of 27 the organization the strategy and design of

the program. So, that would be things like

2.

2.0

2.3

2.8

the Code of Conduct, for example, which is sort of the bible for purposes of the compliance and ethics program.

And then pursuant to the federal sentencing guidelines, which is how organizations typically define an effective ethics and compliance program flowing from that, we follow the seven or eight elements in the federal sentencing guidelines that are set that the Department of Justice uses to look at whether or not your program is effective.

And so that's things like a Code of Conduct -- some of this I mentioned earlier -- senior leadership of the program, training and communications on the program, auditing and monitoring of certain compliance and ethics matters, and then investigations and discipline and enforcement as appropriate. I may have missed one in there.

Q Okay.

A But that's the basic overview. And then what we do is work in a matrixed way to ensure that each of the lines of business, which I mentioned earlier, things like gas operations or electric operations, are developing their own program consistent with the corporate guidance and advancing their

work in that regard. 1 2. Thank you for that explanation. So it was asked before and I just clarified 3 before that you started your role in 2015; is 4 that correct? 5 6 Α Yes. 7 0 And as the chief ethics officer, how do you assess the ethical position of the 8 9 company since you've come on board? 10 Α So I think my goal is to be forward 11 looking in this work and understand where the 12 company is and look for opportunities where we can improve and so I'll give you a 13 14 specific example. 15 One of the things we work very 16 actively on at PG&E is our Speak Up, Listen 17 Up, Follow Up culture. We want to be sure 18 people are raising issues. And then we need 19 to be sure, when they do, that management is 2.0 listening and following up. 21 Q Okay. 22 And so that's an area where we are 2.3 working on improvement and continue to 24 improve and need to continue to work on that. 25 I appreciate the forward looking. 26 I, myself, am also trying to be forward 27 looking after the wildfires. However, I

think it's important to look back as well and

that's sort of where my question is. 1 So from 2015 and when you came on 2. 3 to today, given all the wildfires, given all of the criminal activity, how would you 4 assess the ethics of PG&E over that time 5 6 period, not forward looking? 7 Α From 2015 to the present? 8 0 Yes. 9 Α So I think I mean we have in the 10 record the San Bruno conviction. Clearly 11 that was watershed for PG&E. What I will 12 tell you, though, is that in the nearly five 13 years that I have been with the company, I 14 think there is an absolute deep commitment to 15 improving our compliance and ethics culture. 16 That's what I was hired to do and that's what 17 we're working on. So I would say we're 18 definitely improving and deeply committed. 19 Okay. 2.0 I think I take that as an ALU ALLEN: 21 answer to your question that she found room 22 for improvement. 2.3 I'm gathering that too, MR. ABRAMS: 24 your Honor. Thank you. 25 So, you know, in preparation for 26 this hearing, I looked over the Code of 27 Conduct the best I could. Α Uh-huh. 2.8

Is it under your purview both the 1 0 2. Employee Code of Conduct and there's a Supplier Code of Conduct and a Director Code 3 of Conduct, are all of those Code of Conducts 4 under your purview? 5 The Employee Code of Conduct and 6 Α 7 the Director Code of Conduct, yes; the Supplier Code of Conduct is technically owned 8 9 and implemented by our sourcing organization, 10 supply chain, but we stay closely connected 11 on that. We want to be sure our contractors 12 are behaving ethically and in compliance with 13 requirements as well. 14 So, I'm not sure in my review of 15 the manual that I was able to get on line 16 whether it's the most up to date, but it was 17 about 40 pages and I think it was a few years 18 old. Is that the latest? 19 Probably without knowing exactly 2.0 what you looked at, that probably is the latest, yes. 21 22 And then, you know, as an exhibit 2.3 that I put forward, I think it's X-10, I put 24 forward the Director's Code of Conduct. 25 ALJ ALLEN: Mr. Abrams, hold on. Has 26 that already been identified? MR. ABRAMS: Yes, I put that forward, 27

yes, X-10, I believe.

1	ALJ ALLEN: Okay. I think it doesn't
2	seem to have been marked for the record yet.
3	Let's make sure it's clear for the record.
4	This is exhibit cover page OII on PG&E
5	Bankruptcy, Abrams Cross-Examination Exhibit
6	Director Code of Conduct, that's marked as
7	Exhibit Abrams-X-10.
8	(Exhibit No. Abrams-X-10 was marked for identification.)
9	TOT Identification.
10	ALJ ALLEN: Thank you. Go ahead,
11	Mr. Abrams.
12	MR. ABRAMS: Thank you, your Honor.
13	THE WITNESS: I don't think I have that
14	up here. I know you handed that to me
15	earlier.
16	ALJ ALLEN: Off the record.
17	(Off the record.)
18	ALJ ALLEN: On the record.
19	Go ahead, Mr. Abrams.
20	MR. ABRAMS: Thank you.
21	Q So in looking over the Employee
22	Code of Conduct as we described earlier, you
23	know
24	ALJ ALLEN: Actually, Mr. Abrams, just
25	so I'm clear, this is the Director Code of
26	Conduct; is that correct?
27	MR. ABRAMS: That's correct, your

ALJ ALLEN: 1 Okay. BY MR. ABRAMS: 2 So as I looked through the Employee 3 Code of Conduct. I understood it was a 4 5 fairly meaty 40-page document. As I look at 6 this Director Code of Conduct, you know, I 7 think it's about 10 pages, each page is sort of cut in half here, but it's substantially 9 smaller in size and less weighty, less 10 specific in terms of what ethical standards 11 are for directors. 12 Can you help explain why there 13 would be such a focus and have such a lengthy 14 document for the employees but that the 15 Director Code of Conduct is more loosely 16 defined and more pithy? 17 Α I don't know about pithy, but 18 anyhow. Let me try to explain what we have 19 The Director Code of Conduct is indeed 2.0 somewhat more truncated than the Employee 21 Code of Conduct, in part because some of the 22 obligations that are in place have -- are not 2.3 necessarily applicable to our directors and 24 so we chose to have a separate Code of 25 Conduct for the directors. 26 That said, we are also looking at 27 merging the Codes of Conduct going forward 2.8 and that is a conversation that is underway

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

26

27

2.8

with the board. We've done a bunch of 1 2. benchmarking on this issue and companies -and it's about 60/40 last time we checked, 603 with a single code and about 40 or maybe 5 around there having separate codes and there are pros and cons to each of those. 6 7 But what I can tell you, for example, is I had mentioned training earlier 8 9 as an element of an effective compliance 10 program, so the web-based training on 11 compliance and ethics that every employee in 12 our company takes each year. Our board, for 13 example, is going to be taking that this 14 year. So there's a lot behind this 15 16 Director Code that isn't reflected in this

document.

Do you think victims might feel a 0 little more confident in the ethics of PG&E if there was more detail through the Plan of Reorganization that perhaps some of the work that you just described in terms of a focus on ethics would be really baked into the Plan of Reorganization so there would be a confidence that coming out of this reorganization process that you would be more ethically minded?

> I can't say what victims might Α

2.8

contemplated.

What I can tell you is we are deeply 1 feel. 2. committed to having an ethical culture at PG&E, whether on the part of our board or 3 suppliers as we mentioned earlier, and that's 4 5 a big part of what I spend my time doing. 6 Given that the Plan of 7 Reorganization that we're asked to cross-examine you on is a moving target and 8 9 not complete yet, are you considering 10 incorporating that into the current Plan of 11 Reorganization? 12 Are we considering incorporating a 13 more expensive Director Code? 14 So you mentioned a number of 15 improvements that you're looking at in terms 16 of the Code of Ethics and perhaps merging 17 these documents and providing more 18 specificity and more equal footing across the 19 board for the organization. 2.0 Those types of improvements would 21 you consider having that incorporated into 22 the Plan of Reorganization so that victims 2.3 and other stakeholders might be a little more 24 understanding regarding how this is going to 25 shape up moving forward? 26 It's actually not something I have

I think what's most important is that the

I don't know that others are.

2.

2.0

2.3

2.8

Code of Conduct for all employees exists, as well as the plan, is something that we ask them to focus on. It's something we hold them accountable to. And I think that's the most -- and same for the Director Code, same for the Supplier Code.

I think those are the most important things and those are the best ways for us to signal not only the victims, but all of our stakeholders that we take this very seriously and are going to continue to work on it.

Q So in another proceeding before the CPUC, Richard Kelly -- I guess he's the former chair of the board -- presented in that room and indicated that the safety culture was difficult to get to the employee level but felt confident -- and I'm paraphrasing, you can state it how you'd like -- but that the corporate culture at the top, at the board level, was very solid in terms of that safety culture but driving it to the employee level was difficult.

Can you, I guess, talk to that in reference to what we just described, that there aren't as many stringent safety requirements or ties to safety or ethical constraints in documented language for the

directors, but more at the employee seems to 1 2. be counter to what Richard Kelly perhaps presented to the Commission. 3 MS. GROVE: Objection, lacks 4 foundation, and object to form. 5 I qot a bit 6 lost in the question. 7 ALJ ALLEN: I'll sustain it as somewhat compound. If you could kind of rephrase that 8 9 more concisely, Mr. Abrams. 10 MR. ABRAMS: Sure. 11 0 So there was a North Star Report 12 that came out about PG&E. Richard Kelly was 13 looking to assess who would make up the board 14 of PG&E. There was an expression that 15 driving the strong safety culture of PG&E 16 down to the employee level was really the 17 stumbling block and that the, as the review 18 stated, that the safety culture at the top 19 was very solid. 2.0 I quess what I'm trying to understand is that because of some other 21 22 foundational document that isn't here or --2.3 explain why there's --24 ALJ ALLEN: Here, let me try this a 25 different way if I can. 26 MR. ABRAMS: Thank you. 27 ALJ ALLEN: According to Mr. Kelly, if 2.8 the problem is to drive the safety culture

```
and ethical culture down to the employee
 1
 2.
     level, is it consistent to have a smaller
     Code of Conduct for the directors than the
 3
     employees?
 4
               Is that close enough?
 5
 6
           MR. ABRAMS: Yes, thank you, your
 7
     Honor.
           THE WITNESS:
                        My view is that the
 9
     Director Code of Conduct as it currently
     exists does the trick, and I want to be
10
11
     sure -- I'm trying to answer your question.
12
     I also have the view that our directors, and
13
     in my view our employee population at large,
14
     are deeply committed to a safety culture and
15
     an ethical culture. And I think the Codes of
16
     Conduct, whether one or two, are vehicles by
     which we advance that.
17
18
               That said, a Code of Conduct is
19
     mostly -- mostly relates -- it certainly, as
2.0
     you can see on --
21
           ALJ ALLEN: Tell you what, let's not go
     too far into the weeds here.
22
2.3
           THE WITNESS:
                          Okay.
24
           ALJ ALLEN:
                       I think this is a point you
     can make in your brief that the Director Code
25
26
     of Conduct is shorter than the Employee Code
27
     of Conduct.
           MR. ABRAMS:
2.8
                        Yes.
```

ALJ ALLEN: 1 And you can use that to 2. make whatever argument you want. I think 3 they may have a counter argument, but go 4 ahead with your next question, Mr. Abrams. 5 MR. ABRAMS: Thank you, your Honor, I will do that. 6 7 You know, I'll come back to that question at the end after my questions to see 8 9 if perhaps you would contemplate including it 10 in the Plan of Reorganization, but I'll move 11 on to my next question here. In February 2020, you reported that 12 13 two employees and a possible contractor were 14 found to have committed fraud. This was Bay 15 Area Concrete Recycling. Can you go into how 16 PG&E responded to the situation and what 17 corrective actions were taken. 18 А So here I have to take some care 19 because our internal investigation is 2.0 underway. 21 0 Uh-huh. 22 It is also a privileged and 2.3 confidential investigation, so I do want to be careful. For that reason, there's only so 24 25 much I can say; however, there's also some 26 information that is publicly available 27 because we did issue a letter to -- Bill

Johnson sent a letter to our employees about

a general overview of what was happening. 1 2. So I'm just going to think 3 carefully to be sure I don't go astray. had a relationship with Bay Area Concrete. 4 We have now terminated that contractual 5 6 relationship. They were engaged in some 7 improper conduct in work that they were doing, which was removing spoils and hauling 9 them. It is also our view that two of our 10 11 employees were part of the problematic 12 behavior and they are no longer with the 13 company. I don't think at this point, 14 because the investigation is ongoing, that I 15 can go much further than that. 16 Understood. Thank you. Okay. 17 Also in those public statements -- and I just 18 want to confirm this, that there was --19 Mr. Johnson stated that there were large sums 2.0 of money that had been paid to these two 21 employees; is that correct? 22 We believe improper payments were made from Bay Area Concrete to the employees 2.3 24 or other activities in kind. 25 Did PG&E take these steps before 26 this came out publicly or did they take these 27 steps to terminate the employees and to end

the contract with this company after it went

public?

2.

2.0

2.3

2.8

A PG&E became aware of these issues because of complaints that we had received, another good example is when the ethics and compliance program is working, how it can really work well.

So, we received some complaints about these issues, undertook an investigation, made a determination that we needed to terminate this relationship with Bay Area Concrete and then Mr. Johnson wrote the letter to employees. So, this was done at our initiative because of complaints we had received.

I should also mention at this point that this is something we have notified our probation officer of, shared with the monitor, and speak to the monitor with some regularity about.

Q Great. Great. Thank you. In October 2019, it was reported that there was systematic falsification of documents and PG&E has received a fine of this. I believe it's \$65 million from the CPUC regarding this falsification of documents; is that correct?

- A Did you say October 2019, sir?
- O I did. Is that --
- A No, I'm just checking. I wasn't

1 sure I caught that. 2. Yes, sorry. I think you may be referencing the 3 Locate and Mark OII resolution; is that 4 5 correct? I think that's correct. 6 0 7 Α So that was the initial -- that was the initial settlement amount. It was since 9 increased. 10 0 Okay. In this case, were you 11 taking -- well, let me just say what 12 corrective actions did you take associated with that incident? 13 14 Α So we've taken excessive corrective 15 action in response to Locate and Mark. 16 actions take a number of forms. Again, part of our goal is to have an effective ethics 17 18 and compliance program. So, we have used 19 what we learned from Locate and Mark and 2.0 training of employees, some web-based, some 21 live. 22 We have undertaken significant 2.3 remedial measures to ensure -- well, to 24 improve the Locate and Mark program itself, 25 improving the technology, training of 26 employees, hiring more locators, things of 27 that sort. And then in addition in the 2.8

2.

2.0

2.3

2.8

training and discussions about Locate and Mark, we're using this as an example to, again, re-emphasize to people the importance of our Speak Up, Listen Up and Follow Up program so that people continue to feel safe raising issues and we address them when they are raised. I think that's the best way I can answer that.

Q And were you taking these corrections to these falsified documents and what transpired with the falsified documents before it became public or once it became public?

A I'm struggling a little with the when-it-became-public piece of the question, but we undertook corrective actions from my standpoint, certainly at the time I became involved in this matter corrective actions were being taken.

I had mentioned earlier that there was an investigation. We had hired a third party to investigate this matter. As we were learning about what was going on in the Locate and Mark program, through that investigation, but also in our own work there was a special attention review at some point what we call in PG&E parlance a SAR.

ALJ ALLEN: Actually, I'm very familiar

with this one. From my understanding of the 1 2. record of this case, PG&E started taking steps before it became public, but was 3 continued to do other steps later. So it was 4 an ongoing thing, but the initial steps, I 5 6 believe, happened before it was public based 7 on information that PG&E received from both the Commission's Safety and Enforcement 9 Division and the Pipeline Hazardous Materials 10 Safety Administration. 11 I believe those occurred before it got any significant publicity. Is that 12 13 consistent with your recollection, Ms. Kane? 14 THE WITNESS: That sounds generally 15 consistent. 16 ALJ ALLEN: And there is some record of this in the Commission decision on that 17 18 Locate and Mark. 19 Thank you, your Honor. MR. ABRAMS: 2.0 In preparation for this, were you 21 familiar with Mr. Johnson's testimony earlier 22 last week? 2.3 I saw a summary of it. Α 24 0 So in that testimony, I asked him 25 about when he would or would not have the company acknowledge an incident, I used as an 26 27 example the Kincade Fire, whether you would

wait to have clear evidence come forward

2.8

1 before the company would sort of take 2. ownership for in part or all of those types 3 of incidents. In response to that, he stated: 4 Those discussions are in 5 fact bound by SEC 6 7 disclosure and gap accounting. When you 9 have a situation, and I'm 10 not an accountant, that 11 is likely versus probable versus possible, it all 12 13 requires different 14 disclosures in both your 15 SEC and in your 16 accounting. 17 Is that statement in keeping with 18 the Code of Ethics, are employees asked to, 19 when they see a problem or find out that 2.0 something has caused a safety issue, are they 21 to consider SEC disclosures? Are they, you 22 know, is that their foundation for when 2.3 something is ethical to report or not? 24 Α I'm going to take my best stab at 25 I think with respect to rank and file 26 employees, what we really want to have happen 27 is that they raise issues when they come up on them. 2.8

1	Considerations about SEC
2	disclosures and the like, I don't think an
3	employee in the field or most people even
4	behind a desk are equipped to deal with.
5	And so there are other people in
6	the company who are expert on that and will
7	make decisions about whether or not something
8	is appropriate or required for disclosure. I
9	think that's the best way I can answer your
10	question.
11	Q Thank you. So to what degree as
12	the chief ethics officer do you see that as a
13	problem?
14	In other words, an employee comes
15	and feels that something is very unethical or
16	a safety hazard and reports that to the
17	Board. And the Board in turn looks to make
18	sure whether it's a SEC disclosure or
19	shouldn't be and makes an ethical
20	determination along those lines.
21	How does that get remedied where an
22	employee says, "This is an ethical issue.
23	I've read my Code of Conduct. I'm reporting
24	it. It's a safety issue. I'm concerned for
25	my employees. I'm concerned for the public
26	welfare."
27	And then the Board gets it and
28	says, "Well, this is not something that's

disclosured. You know, it doesn't rise to 1 the level where I feel it needs to be 2. 3 disclosed. So we're not going to let that out." 4 5 How do you get through that as a ethics officer? 6 7 Α So typically these things aren't in It's not as though front of the Board. 9 frequently employees walk to the Board and 10 say, "I have an issue I want you to look at." 11 So typically what will happen is an 12 employee raises an issue through one of our 13 multiple avenues for reporting. Again, an 14 element of an effective compliance. They can 15 call a help line, they can raise it with a 16 compliance and ethics persons, security, HR, 17 their supervisor, the monitor help line, and 18 we investigate it. 19 And we investigate all allegations 2.0 of misconduct. And then we make the determination about what to do in some cases. 21 22 And we do with some regular frequency give cumulative reporting to our Board about 2.3 24 incidents. We also raise certain matters 25 that seem like they are or maybe significant to their attention directly. And then if an 26 27 issue is also something that perhaps should

be brought to the attention of authorities,

we do that always hopefully as required by 1 law and sometimes in other cases. 2. So for example under probation, we 3 report certain investigations -- most 4 investigations actually to our monitor and 5 frequently to our probation officer if it 6 7 meets one of the elements of what's required to be reported. 9 Then there's all the SEC stuff you mentioned, and that's a whole other train. 10 11 So there's a lot of consideration in 12 reporting that goes on. 13 Right. So I'm -- let me try to ask 14 this a different way. The company sees a 15 jumper that has gone awry. They see C hooks 16 that are in disrepair. They feel like this is an ethical problem. They're concerned 17 18 about their neighbors. They've reported it up through the very communication pathways 19 2.0 that you described. It lands on the executive's desk. 21 They say, "You know what? This is 22 2.3 not something that needs to be disclosed." 24 What happens? What do you do? 25 It's a problem for the public. It's a safety 26 concern. What do you do with that ethically? 27 I mean, I think our foreman's job

is to make the system safe. That's the most

2.8

2.

2.0

2.3

2.8

important thing. So if an employee's raising an issue about a safety matter, what has to happen first and foremost regardless of what disclosures are required or made is that we take the steps from an operational standpoint to address the issue.

There were hypothetical parts of the disclosure. And so I think -- I'm trying to bring it back down to brass tacks, which is it's our job to run a safe system. And if people are raising issues about it, we have to address them whether it's through an investigation or an operational phase.

O So --

ALJ ALLEN: Mr. Abrams, let me interject a couple of things. One of them is I think in a moment we'll take a recess. I think there's a distinction. I'm not sure if you're trying to raise it or if you're not clear on it. But that there's distinction between what an employee's duty is to report to their management versus what a public corporation's reporting duty is to report to the public. And those are in fact different things, and I think most of us want them to be different things.

Certainly if an employee believes that the company has not adequately disclosed

that this is when you end up with a 1 2 whistleblower situation. But there is a very different level of what's most appropriate 3 for the employee to report to their 4 5 management or safety officer or with the 6 Board of Directors or whatever their 7 structure is. And what a publicly-traded corporation can and should disclose to the 8 9 public. So that mismatch I don't see as 10 11 necessarily a problem. If in fact the 12 employee believes that the corporation hasn't handled it properly, then you have your 13 14 classic whistleblower situation. 15 MR. ABRAMS: Appreciate that 16 explanation. And I'm not an attorney so I 17 always appreciate legal explanations around 18 things that I'm not aware of. 19 You know, my question is really 2.0 around the ethical implications of this for 21 the public. 22 ALJ ALLEN: I'll tell you what. -- if you want to revisit this, why don't we 2.3 take our morning break. Let's do 15 minutes. 24 25 We'll be back at 10:40 by the clock on the 26 wall. 27 Off the record. 2.8 (Off the record.)

1	ALJ ALLEN: On the record.
2	Mr. Abrams?
3	BY MR. ABRAMS:
4	Q Thank you, your Honor.
5	Ms. Kane, I just wanted to, I
6	guess, continue where we left off before the
7	break and try to get at, sort of, how what we
8	just discussed translates to the public who
9	are living beneath the PG&E lines. So that
10	is what I'm trying to get at.
11	So there's a structural issue with
12	component parts in a section of lines that
13	are over a particular neighborhood. That
14	information is reported up through the chain,
15	through the communication paths that you've
16	just mentioned. It lands on the executive's
17	desk.
18	What are their decision criteria?
19	What are their ethical decision criteria for
20	alerting the public as to a safety risk that
21	would be over their heads?
22	A So I'm not sure I'm the best person
23	to answer this. But if there's a safety
24	issue on a piece of equipment, PG&E has the
25	responsibility for trying to determine what
26	the issue is and to address it.
27	In addition, certain things are
28	required to be self-reported to this

Commission or perhaps to others, and so we 1 2. have an obligation to do that. And I think those are the basic obligations. 3 But in any given scenario, things 4 may vary; right? 5 Is something an emergency? Is it in fact routine work that has to be 6 done? And all kinds of calculations have to 7 go into these things. So without knowing the 8 9 exact specifics of any given situation, I don't know the answer to that. 10 11 Then in addition, again, I'm going 12 to have to defer to people who are engineers and experts on what is safe on our lines. 13 14 Excuse me, Ms. Kane. ALJ ALLEN: 15 think he asked a fairly specific question 16 which was what was the ethical criteria in the situation? 17 18 And so I understand that there's 19 different engineering and accounting things. 2.0 But his question was what is the ethical 21 criteria to be applied. 22 THE WITNESS: Thank you for the 2.3 clarification, your Honor. 24 I think the ethical obligation is to 25 comply with what is required of the company 26 at the very least and perhaps more. 27 sorry. BY MR. ABRAMS: 2.8

I interrupted you. I am sorry. 1 0 Go 2 ahead. I think the ethical А Yeah. 3 obligation is to comply with what is 4 5 required. And then perhaps there are other considerations. 6 7 ALJ ALLEN: What would those other ethical considerations be? 8 9 THE WITNESS: So I'm in a hypothetical 10 realm here, your Honor. But for example: 11 Has the person who raised the issue done so 12 with an expectation of confidentiality? 13 it an investigation perhaps already underway? 14 Have we notified the people who need to be notified? Is there imminent threat of harm? 15 16 I think there are a number of factors that go 17 into kind of this decision-making. Are we 18 going to -- are we going to undermine the 19 integrity of an investigation by going public 2.0 Things of that sort. before we should? 21 So there are a number of factors 22 that get considered in any given situation. 2.3 But first and foremost, again, always safety is the leading consideration in any matter 24 25 being reported or raised. And then secondly, 26 what are we doing to comply with the 27 requirements? 2.8 ALJ ALLEN: Thank you.

Go ahead, Mr. Abrams. 1 2 BY MR. ABRAMS: The reason why I'm trying to get 3 more granular here is I want to make sure 4 that this rings true for you is that 5 6 customers have been hearing safety first for 7 a very long time from PG&E throughout all the fires, throughout all of the incidences. 9 so getting more specific I think will help 10 build confidence. So to that point, if you 11 can, please try to be a little more specific. 12 Mr. Johnson's testimony said that 13 for his reporting purposes, he's going to 14 affect whether it's likely, it's probable, or 15 it's possible. 16 So if someone comes to you or a reliable source within the organization says, 17 18 "There's a 10 percent chance within the next year that this stretch of 15 miles of line is 19 2.0 going to cause a fire." What are your 21 ethical considerations of letting the public 22 know that that is a safety risk? 2.3 So a couple of things about this. Α I'm going to do my best. I think -- I did 24 25 not read the transcript of Mr. Johnson's testimony. But I think these references to 26 27 probable, likely, reasonably, possible,

whatever they are. I think in that respect,

on.

he was referring to directly to securities 1 2. laws, which govern what the company is required to disclose under securities laws. 3 Correct. 4 0 And I think you're asking me what 5 А other considerations are brought to bear on 6 7 decision-making? Is that it? It's just -- you know, I am sorry. 9 This is a question that I've asked for 10 multiple executives. And the problem is is I 11 think -- what I'm trying to articulate is 12 that PG&E has tried to represent themselves 13 as a forward looking, as you described, 14 ethical corporation. And so, you know, for a 15 regular citizen, ethics isn't how many laws I 16 broke. Or what is the laws? What -- I'm a ethical good citizen. 17 18 So to be a good corporate citizen, 19 I'm trying to understand what are your 2.0 ethical standards that you hold yourself to 21 in addition to what are you obligated to 22 disclose by law? 2.3 So we're going to be truthful with Α 24 our stakeholders. That's an ethical 25 commitment. I don't think that means in 26 every case we're going to tell the public 27 every detail about everything that's going

2.

2.0

2.3

2.8

As I just tried to describe to ALJ Allen, if there's an investigation underway -- as I mentioned to you with respect to Bay Area Concrete, that investigation is underway. We will undermine the integrity of that investigation if we begin communicating publicly all aspects of it potentially. And that's true in many investigations.

And so I think --

ALJ ALLEN: I think -- you haven't quite answered his question. I think the question was, as I understood it, was essentially he's looking for the difference between being ethical and complying with the law. And what additional requirements are there to be ethical as opposed to just doing what's required.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. So let me try again. I think being ethical requires us to tell the truth. And as we've said in many places in many times to do what we say we're going to do.

And so for in a scenario for example where there's an issue on an overhead line, if we say we're going to fix it because it has to be fixed because it may present a safety hazard or it's time to fix it, we have to do that. If we're going to do that, we're

probably also going to need to communicate 1 2. with people who are impacted by it depending on what's going on. So if somebody's going 3 to lose power, we fix something hypothetical. 4 5 ALJ ALLEN: Ms. Kane, just focusing 6 back on his question, isn't it correct that 7 fixing an overhead line that needs to be fixed is something that you're required to 9 And telling the truth is also something 10 you're required to do under SEC regulations? 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. Definitely. 12 ALJ ALLEN: Go ahead, Mr. Abrams. BY MR. ABRAMS: 13 14 Thank you, your Honor. Q 15 There's a huge -- would you -- let 16 me state it in a question. 17 Would you agree there's a huge 18 cavernous gap from what you just described, after going at this a few different ways, and 19 2.0 what a person might expect an ethical company 21 to do in that if you understand that the risk 22 is 10 percent or 90 percent to those homes 2.3 that are underneath those lines. By not 24 saying anything, you're not saying an 25 untruth. You're holding to your principles. 26 "I didn't say it wasn't true." You're 27 truthful because you haven't said anything. 2.8 And you do what you're going to do, because

1 you haven't done anything. 2. So a neglected infrastructure that 3 people are living under, what are your ethical responsibilities? 4 5 I'll try again. What are your 6 ethical responsibilities to the public? To 7 your customers? Beyond what you absolutely have to say because it's in the law. 8 9 MS. GROVE: Objection. Argumentative. 10 Compound. 11 MR. ABRAMS: It is argumentative. Ι 12 apologize. Be careful of the tone in 13 ALJ ALLEN: 14 the speech. But I think at the end, he came up with a valid question. So I will overrule 15 16 the objection. 17 So I don't agree with THE WITNESS: 18 some of the characterization that led up to 19 the question. What I think may be helpful to 2.0 try to articulate here is that the public is 21 made aware of an awful lot of information 22 about our program. 2.3 There are countless filings with 24 this Commission, filings with the federal 25 There's an awful lot of information court. out there. Much of that information is 26 27 probably really important to certain people

in the public who are interested in it. And

we are attempting to provide all that we are 1 2. required to provide. And sometimes we are raising issues that we believe ethically are 3 important for people to know. 4 BY MR. ABRAMS: 5 So let me translate this into the 6 7 public and ask you a question regarding public perceptions. 8 9 In part of your role, are you 10 tasked with trying to communicate PG&E's 11 ethics to its customers? Infrequently directly to customers. 12 But derivatively, yes. Because through 13 14 things like our Code of Conduct, which is 15 publicly available, we are attempting to 16 share our compliance and ethics commitments 17 to the public. 18 Do you understand that victims, 19 wildfire survivors -- who are becoming 2.0 increasingly more prevalent in PG&E territory 21 -- are increasingly concerned about their 22 safety and their financials living under PG&E lines and would like to understand that PG&E 2.3 24 coming out of reorganization has a higher 25 standard of ethics than what is legally 26 required for them to report? 27 Α I'm not sure I know what the 2.8 wildfire victims expect or feel. I do not

disagree with the notion that we should live 1 2. up to our requirements and be an ethical company and perform ethically. As we've said 3 before, tell the truth and do what we say 4 we're going to do and all of those things. 5 6 Absolutely. And not just coming out of 7 bankruptcy. Now. So I appreciate -- and I do 8 9 appreciate that. You know, you don't understand what -- and neither do I 10 understand what all victims feel. And 11 12 certainly there's some disagreement there. 13 But if you had your family 14 underneath a stretch of PG&E lines where 15 there was a problem, if it's a 10 percent 16 chance over the next year that your house 17 will catch on fire because of that line, 18 would you like as a consumer, as a customer, as a person who is looking out for their 19 2.0 family, would you like to have a corporation 21 telling you when incidences occur like that 22 that are above and beyond what they are 2.3 obligated to do legally? Would you like that 24 information as a customer? 25 I just -- I would like to clarify if possible. I feel like there were two 26 27 questions in there. One is that an incident

occurred. And there's a 10 percent

possibility that something might happen. 1 ALJ ALLEN: Let's focus on the 10 2. 3 percent. THE WITNESS: Okay. So I think part of 4 what's challenging me here is that things are 5 They're dynamic. And we talked 6 not static. 7 a little bit about this earlier, and we have work plans and work that we're doing. 8 9 ALJ ALLEN: I don't think he's asking 10 from that perspective. He was asking as a 11 person or individual how you feel. 12 THE WITNESS: As a person or individual 13 under a piece of PG&E equipment that had a 10 14 percent chance of something happening, I 15 don't actually think I would have an 16 expectation. 17 BY MR. ABRAMS: 18 It wasn't something happening. 19 Sorry. Just to clarify my point. 2.0 It was a 10 percent chance that 21 your house, your house, would catch on fire 22 in the next year. A 10 percent chance. 2.3 Would you want that company as a good 24 corporate citizen to go perhaps above and 25 beyond the law to let you know? Would you want that as a citizen? 26 27 I don't think I would have that 2.8 expectation. I think we live in a very high

1	risk territory. And there are and what I
2	expect is that the corporation I am a
3	customer of will be truthful of me and do the
4	work it needs to do.
5	Q Can you understand that victims of
6	wildfires might not sleep too well based on
7	that answer?
8	A I don't know the answer to that.
9	Q Well, I'd appreciate in your Plan
10	of Reorganization that you consider those
11	implications.
12	On page 156 of Mr. Johnson's
13	testimony, last week he stated and I quote:
14	I am proud of a corporate
15	culture that says we abide
16	by the law, which is what
17	I'm saying to you here. We
18	abide by the law. If you
19	want to deviate from that
20	practice as a social norm,
21	we're going to be in
22	serious trouble in this
23	country.
24	In contrast with this, PG&E
25	attorneys February 12th stated to Judge
26	Alsup:
27	Certification of perfect
28	compliance with state law

1	standards would require
2	technologically infeasible
3	around the clock
4	surveillance of tens of
5	millions of trees.
6	So on the one hand, we have
7	Mr. Johnson saying, "The law. That's it. If
8	we don't live by that law, we're going to
9	have serious trouble."
10	ALJ ALLEN: Let's give less
11	characterization and focus on the question,
12	Mr. Abrams.
13	MR. ABRAMS: Okay.
14	ALJ ALLEN: I think you've laid a
15	foundation for a question.
16	MR. ABRAMS: I have. I hope.
17	Q So he's indicating that it's
18	serious trouble, serious trouble, if you
19	deviate from the norm of adhering to the law.
20	Then your attorneys go in and say,
21	"Well, it's infeasible to be held to account
22	to the law."
23	Then you in your prior testimony,
24	say, "Well, substantial compliance."
25	So how do you square that? How do
26	you square the fact that the law's the law,
27	and we adhere by the law. That is our
28	foundational standard. And it's infeasible?

So there is no doubt that we have 1 Α 2. committed to compliance with the law. we said in our filing with Judge Alsup that 3 you are referring to, which I mentioned earlier, is that it is impossible for us to 5 6 certify perfect compliance with the laws that 7 regulate line clearance and vegetation management because of the breadth and depth 8 9 of our service territory and the dynamic nature of the environment. 10 11 It would simply require people to 12 be posted at each tree that is regulated for us to know that at any given moment in 13 14 time -- including the day after or an hour 15 after it was serviced potentially -- is in 16 That's how I parse the compliance. 17 difference. 18 So my questions are coming from a 19 point of not being an attorney. I'm trying to understand as a person, as a member of the 2.0 21 public, as a customer, as a wildfire 22 survivor, what all of these implications are. 2.3 And so what are your communication 24 responsibilities to someone who -- you know, 25 they're pulled over for speeding by the police and say, "You know, you were 26 27 speeding." 2.8 "Well I was partial compliance."

Partial compliance. Doesn't that for the 1 2. regular person who has to live and considers themselves a good citizen seem like we're 3 playing under different sets of rules that as 4 5 a citizen I can't say I was partial 6 compliance? 7 I can't hedge in that way. But it seems like I can't say, "Well, you know, it 8 9 was infeasible for me to adhere to that 65 10 miles per hour speed limit. It was 11 infeasible." 12 I can't get away with those things. 13 But somehow PG&E as a corporation can get 14 away with saying that as a minimum. How do 15 you square that in your communication with 16 the public? 17 I think the way we square that is Α 18 to do what I said. Which is we are striving 19 to comply fully with the requirements that 2.0 apply to our corporation. We are also 21 striving to make our customers in our service 22 territory safe. And we are committed to 2.3 doing what we say we are going to do. We 24 want to communicate those things. 25 What I cannot unfortunately tell 26 you is that that's going to equate in the 27 context of -- in the context you're describing to perfect compliance with every 2.8

veg management requirement in our service 1 2. territory for the reasons that I've tried to explain; that we have explained to Judge 3 Alsup in a recent filing; and others have 4 explained. 5 So we're here today to talk about a 6 7 Plan of Reorganization. Which, you know, by its nature is implying that there's change in 9 course, a redoubling of efforts, a --10 something more to rely on than the past 11 history that got you into bankruptcy. 12 Is there a way that you can quantify what's been said over and over again 13 14 by PG&E that we're striving or committed to 15 safety and all of that? Because part of this 16 is trying to understand how a Plan of Reorganization is going to be relied upon, 17 18 it's going to be trusted. 19 Can you have some metrics around 2.0 Some performance metrics? 21 outcomes that say, "We are going to do X, Y, 22 or Z." And commit to that in your Plan of Organization? 23 24 Α So other than my appearance here 25 today on the chapter that I sponsored, I am 26 not deeply involved in details of the Plan of 27 Reorganization.

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

What I can tell you is that my

1	understanding is that that is a financial
2	document overseen by the bankruptcy court.
3	And it is for PG&E with guidance and
4	oversight by the CPUC and others who have
5	authority over us to work on the issues you
6	have raised.
7	Q David Pomerantz, Executive Director
8	of the Energy Policy Institute, recently
9	stated on November 11th in a Washington Post
10	article that:
11	Every dollar that PG&E
12	spends on a campaign
13	contribution right now is
14	one they should be spending
15	to hasten the transition to
16	a safer more-distributed
17	electrical grid.
18	Would you agree with that
19	statement?
20	MS. GROVE: Objection, relevance and
21	lacks foundation.
22	BY MR. ABRAMS:
23	Q Let me provide that foundation.
24	Thank you for the clarification.
25	Do you see any ethical issues with
26	that?
27	ALJ ALLEN: Okay. Go ahead.
28	BY MR. ABRAMS:

1	Q Do you see any ethical issues or
2	would you agree with the ethical premise that
3	was put forward by that statement?
4	A I do not agree with it.
5	Q As a monopoly organization that
6	doesn't have competitors mostly, how do
7	political contributions help PG&E provide
8	safe and reliable service?
9	MS. GROVE: Objection, relevance and
10	beyond the scope.
11	ALJ ALLEN: Sustained. I think that is
12	outside of the scope of this particular
13	witness' testimony, unless you find a
14	reference to it.
15	Let's do a time check. So you're at
15 16	Let's do a time check. So you're at your estimated cross time, Mr. Abrams. How
	-
16	your estimated cross time, Mr. Abrams. How
16 17	your estimated cross time, Mr. Abrams. How much more do you have?
16 17 18	your estimated cross time, Mr. Abrams. How much more do you have? MR. ABRAMS: Another 10 minutes.
16 17 18 19	your estimated cross time, Mr. Abrams. How much more do you have? MR. ABRAMS: Another 10 minutes. ALJ ALLEN: Okay. Try and concise it.
16 17 18 19 20	your estimated cross time, Mr. Abrams. How much more do you have? MR. ABRAMS: Another 10 minutes. ALJ ALLEN: Okay. Try and concise it. MR. ABRAMS: I will try to be
16 17 18 19 20 21	your estimated cross time, Mr. Abrams. How much more do you have? MR. ABRAMS: Another 10 minutes. ALJ ALLEN: Okay. Try and concise it. MR. ABRAMS: I will try to be abbreviated. Thank you, your Honor.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	your estimated cross time, Mr. Abrams. How much more do you have? MR. ABRAMS: Another 10 minutes. ALJ ALLEN: Okay. Try and concise it. MR. ABRAMS: I will try to be abbreviated. Thank you, your Honor. Q In an October 2019 news conference,
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	your estimated cross time, Mr. Abrams. How much more do you have? MR. ABRAMS: Another 10 minutes. ALJ ALLEN: Okay. Try and concise it. MR. ABRAMS: I will try to be abbreviated. Thank you, your Honor. Q In an October 2019 news conference, Governor Newsom stated about your safety
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	your estimated cross time, Mr. Abrams. How much more do you have? MR. ABRAMS: Another 10 minutes. ALJ ALLEN: Okay. Try and concise it. MR. ABRAMS: I will try to be abbreviated. Thank you, your Honor. Q In an October 2019 news conference, Governor Newsom stated about your safety problems and all the issues that have led you
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	your estimated cross time, Mr. Abrams. How much more do you have? MR. ABRAMS: Another 10 minutes. ALJ ALLEN: Okay. Try and concise it. MR. ABRAMS: I will try to be abbreviated. Thank you, your Honor. Q In an October 2019 news conference, Governor Newsom stated about your safety problems and all the issues that have led you into bankruptcy:

1	mismanagement. It's about
2	focusing on shareholders
3	and dividends over you and
4	members of the public.
5	Do you agree with Governor Newsom's
6	statement?
7	A I do not.
8	Q Given that your prior statements
9	have been that your ethical standards, at
10	least at the executive level, are don't go
11	above and beyond what is legally required, if
12	someone made the determination that that is
13	not in the safety interests of Californians
14	to have a company managing power with that
15	ethical standard as their requirements, do
16	you think that they would be ethically
17	justified to perhaps not have PG&E managing
18	power?
19	MS. GROVE: Objection.
20	Mischaracterizes testimony.
21	ALJ ALLEN: Try something else. I will
22	sustain it on other grounds.
23	BY MR. ABRAMS:
24	Q Let me try asking it a different
25	way.
26	If there is an expectation that the
27	Plan of Reorganization will provide a higher
28	standard of safety and security than those

2.

2.0

2.3

that are limited to what is required to be reported by law, if that's the standard for what California and wildfire survivors who are actually going to vote on this Plan of Reorganization, if they want a higher standard and they want a corporation who is going to report when there is a 10 percent issue that might start a fire in their home over the next year and they want that to be the standard, do you think that they should vote down this plan because you haven't stated that you would go above and beyond what is required by law?

A I don't think I said we won't go beyond what is required by law. I think what I tried to say, at least, and maybe it's not clear, is that we are obliged to comply with legal requirements. And, in addition, sometimes overlapping with, probably always overlapping with, but I have to think about that, we have certain ethical standards like we're going to do what we say we're going to do; we're going to tell the truth, which is also a legal requirement, as ALJ Allen pointed out.

And so it's not solely limited to compliance and I do think it's reasonable for people to expect us to behave in compliance

and ethically.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

26

27

2.8

Q As the Chief Ethics Officer, how do you determine the ethical way the corporation should treat victims of your fires?

A So, here, these are matters that are litigated among and between parties. Of course we want wildfire victims to be paid and that's why we entered into settlements.

And I think that's what drives us to ensure that victims get paid.

Q Let me restate the question. So I'm not sure -- let me restate.

So what I am asking is how you treat the victims. So certainly payment is one way to treat victims. How are you ethically-bound to treat them, the victims of fires that your company has caused?

A I am struggling a little bit with the question. In most cases, these are individuals who are represented by counsel. We don't have a lot of direct interaction as a result of that as customers. We need to treat them with the same high standards we treat all customers. And we have to interact with them to the extent we are, the same way we would interact with any customers which is honestly and fairly and appropriately.

Q Let me be more specific. Do you

1	feel like you need to treat them with
2	empathy?
3	A Absolutely.
4	Q Good. Do you think you need to
5	treat them with respect?
6	A Absolutely.
7	Q Do you think those might be things
8	that could be incorporated into a Code of
9	Conduct that might go above and beyond the
10	law?
11	A I think our Code of Conduct
12	actually does do that. I think we have the
13	Director Code in front of us. I don't think
14	we have the other code in front of us, but I
15	think actually if you and I just if I
16	may take a quick look at something in the
17	Director Code which is our mission, vision
18	and culture, in the culture statement you
19	will see it says:
20	We have put safety first.
21	We are accountable. We act
22	with integrity,
23	transparency and humility.
24	We are here to serve our
25	customers.
26	And it goes on from there. And so
27	I think and if I may, so this is the mission,
28	vision and culture of the corporation. It

Α

applies to all employees and quides us. 1 in the Director Code but it's also in the 2. Employee Code, so I actually do think it's 3 incorporated. 4 Just a clarification. 5 ALJ ALLEN: Ts this the mission, vision and culture of PG&E 6 7 the utility or PG&E the corporation? THE WITNESS: It applies to both. 8 9 ALJ ALLEN: Okay. Thank you. BY MR. ABRAMS: 10 11 0 And just I quess for the record, 12 none of the things that I just mentioned in 13 terms of empathy or respect are reflected in 14 what you just read off, but I will move on. 15 May I add something? Α 16 Sure. Please. 0 17 I do think, and again we don't have Α 18 the full Code of Conduct in front of us, but 19 I do think, at least the concept of respect, 2.0 as best I can remember, is incorporated. would have to check and have the document in 21 22 front of me. 2.3 As part of your responsibility, do 0 24 you have any ethical oversight associated 25 with the bankruptcy process and the Plan of 26 Reorganization? Do you look at it for 27 ethical concerns?

Not specifically, no. In my job, I

2.0

2.3

2.8

am responsible for compliance and ethics at PG&E and the oversight thereof. There are lots of things that go on in the organization, like the work on the Plan of Reorganization that I don't have day-to-day responsibility for. And so that's why I answered that to that.

Q So, have you reviewed the Plan of Reorganization for ethical considerations?

A No, although if I may?

Q Sorry. Let me move on to the next question, please. If I were to tell you that within the Plan of Reorganization there was some type of exploiting a class of victims, and that plan that you haven't reviewed, if you were to then to review that Plan of Reorganization for ethical consideration and you found that there was a class of victims that were being exploited, what would be your path forward as the Chief Ethics Officer to deal with that issue?

A I think I would speak with the lawyers who were responsible for interactions with counsel working on the settlements and ask if everyone was satisfied that everyone was being treated appropriately in the broader context of the settlement environment.

1	Q And if you found in that Plan of
2	Reorganization that there was a class of
3	victims that were being exploited, from your
4	discussions as the Chief Ethics Officer, what
5	would you then do?
6	A I would continue with further
7	conversations, although it is my
8	understanding that a fair and appropriate
9	settlement has been reached with wildfire
10	victims. So I view this as highly
11	hypothetical.
12	Q All right. But you have testified
13	that you haven't reviewed the Plan of
14	Reorganization from an ethical standpoint.
15	So I'm not sure how you could come to that
16	conclusion, but I'll move on.
17	Have you familiarized yourself with
18	the letters that have been sent in from
19	victims into the Bankruptcy Court concerned
20	about the ethical implications of the plan
21	and restructuring?
22	A Not in any detail, no.
23	Q Not in any detail. Have you looked
24	at them all?
25	A I am aware that letters have come
26	in.
27	Q How are you in touch with the
28	ethical concerns of your customers?

2.

2.0

2.3

2.8

A So, there are numerous ways. There are many, many employees in our company who have responsibility for direct interactions with customers all the time. I am in touch with them.

As I mentioned earlier, we have a matrix organization. So, for example, one of our lines of business is our customer care line of business. It has its responsibility as you can imagine from the title, issues related to customers. When I am interacting with the customer organization, we talk about their compliance and ethics activities. So that's one way.

Another way is more direct and that is that I participated last year in public participation hearings with respect to various aspects of the company. I was there in my capacity as the Compliance and Ethics Officer and it gave me a direct opportunity, and I want to say I was in Bakersfield and San Jose, but don't quote me on that, hearing directly from customers about issues in the company.

So some of it's derivative; some of it's direct. That's my best answer to that.

Q Last question, if I may. The last statement in your -- what is this called here

-- your Core Value Statement, at least what I 1 2. was to gather online, is to foster a climate 3 of trust and openness between people. that's a quote from your document. Do you think that what you have discussed today and 5 6 in the way you have discussed it fosters a 7 climate of trust and openness between people? I'm not sure what document you're Α 9 referring to. In any case, I do think so. 10 And I can certainly assure you it's what we 11 are attempting to do. 12 No more questions. MS. ABRAMS: 13 ALJ ALLEN: Thank you, Mr. Abrams. 14 Commissioner Rechtschaffen has 15 questions. 16 EXAMINATION 17 BY COMMISSIONER RECHTSCHAFFEN: 18 Good morning, Ms. Kane. 0 19 Α Good morning. 2.0 0 What do you think is the most 21 important thing that PG&E's done to ensure 22 that it stays in compliance with the 2.3 conditions of probation that the court's 24 imposed on it? 25 The most important thing -- there's 26 really a few things, but one is to ensure 27 that we are in regular communication with

those who have oversight over the probation.

And so that would be in particular our 1 2. federal monitor and our probation officer. 3 And then there are many other things that we are doing to achieve compliance with the 5 terms of probation, certainly complying with all the questions that Judge Alsup is asking, 6 7 which he is doing frequently, and then perhaps first and foremost doing the 8 9 underlying work that is required. 10 COMMISSIONER RECHTSCHAFFEN: Thank you. 11 ALJ ALLEN: Thank you. That should 12 complete all the cross-examination for 13 Ms. Kane. Am I correct? 14 (No response.) 15 ALJ ALLEN: Seeing no disagreement, Ms. Grove, do you have any redirect? 16 17 MS. GROVE: Can I have just a minute, 18 your Honor? 19 ALJ ALLEN: Ms. Grove. 2.0 MS. GROVE: We have no redirect, your 21 Honor. 22 ALJ ALLEN: Thank you. Thank you, 2.3 Ms. Kane. You are excused. 24 Up next will be I believe the 25 Chapter 6 panel. The cross-examination 26 matrix that was set up, set up for reference, 27 shows both the panel and individual 2.8 witnesses. My thinking or my understanding

1	is that that will be just as a panel. We are
2	not going to have separate individual
3	witnesses in addition to a panel; is that
4	correct?
5	MR. MANHEIM: That's right. Just the
6	panel.
7	ALJ ALLEN: Okay. Let's go off the
8	record.
9	(Off the record.)
10	ALJ ALLEN: On the record.
11	PG&E, call your next witnesses,
12	please.
13	MS. RUTTEN: Your Honor, PG&E calls the
14	panel of Debbie Powell, Matthew Pender and
15	Tracy Maratukulam.
16	ALJ ALLEN: Please stand.
17	TRACY MARATUKULAM, DEBBIE POWELL and
18	MATT PENDER, called as a witnesses by Pacific Gas and Electric Company,
19	having been sworn, testified as follows:
20	THE WITNESSES: (Collective affirmative
21	response.)
22	ALJ ALLEN: Thank you. Please be
23	seated and one at a time give your full name
24	and spell your last name for the record.
25	WITNESS MARATUKULAM: I am Tracy
26	Maratukulam, M-a-r-a-t-u-k-u-l-a-m.
	malacukulam, m-a-1-a-c-u-k-u-1-a-m.
27	WITNESS POWELL: Good morning. I am

1	WITNESS PENDER: And Matthew Pender.
2	Last name P-e-n-d-e-r.
3	DIRECT EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. RUTTEN:
5	Q I will start with you, Ms. Powell.
6	What is your role at PG&E?
7	WITNESS POWELL: I'm Vice President of
8	Electric Operations Asset and Risk Management
9	and the Community Wildfire Safety Program.
10	Q How long have you been in this
11	position?
12	A One month last Friday.
13	Q Do you have before you what's been
14	marked for identification PG&E-1, the volume
15	of prepared testimony?
16	A I do.
17	Q And within that volume, are you
18	sponsoring Chapter 6, parts A through C?
19	A Yes, I am.
20	Q Do you also before you what's been
21	marked for identification as PG&E-7 which
22	contains supplemental testimony including
23	errata?
24	A I do.
25	Q And does that volume include the
26	errata to your testimony in Chapter 6?
27	A It does.

1	that you are sponsoring. Was that material
2	prepared by you or at your direction?
3	A Yes, it was.
4	Q Do you adopt it as your testimony?
5	A I do.
6	Q Is it true and correct, to the best
7	of your knowledge and belief?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Mr. Pender, turning to you, what is
10	your role at PG&E?
11	WITNESS PENDER: I'm the Director of
12	the Electric Operations Regulatory Strategy
13	and Community Wildfire Safety Program
14	Organization.
15	Q Do you have before you what's been
16	marked for identification as PG&E-1, the
17	volume of prepared testimony?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And within that volume, are you
20	sponsoring Part D of Chapter 6?
21	A Correct.
22	Q And do you have before you what's
23	been marked for identification as PG&E-7
24	which contains supplemental testimony
25	including errata?
26	A Yes.
27	Q Does that volume include the errata

1	A It does.
2	Q So I have identified the material
3	that you are sponsoring. Was that material
4	prepared by you or at your direction?
5	A Yes, it was.
6	Q Do you adopt it as your testimony?
7	A I do.
8	Q Is it true and correct, to the best
9	of your knowledge and belief?
10	A It is.
11	Q Turning to you, Ms. Maratukulam,
12	mart what is your role at PG&E?
13	WITNESS MARATUKULAM: I am the Director
14	of the Public Safety Power Shutoff Program at
15	PG&E.
16	Q Do you have before you what's been
17	marked for identification as PG&E-1, the
18	volume of prepared testimony?
19	A I do.
20	Q And within that volume, are you
21	sponsoring Part E of Chapter 6?
22	A I am.
23	Q Was that testimony prepared by you
24	or at your direction?
	A It was.
25	
25 26	Q And do you adopt it as your
	Q And do you adopt it as your testimony?

1	Q Is it true and correct, to the best
2	of your knowledge and belief?
3	A Correct.
4	MR. RUTTEN: Thank you, everyone.
5	Your Honor, the witnesses are
6	available for cross-examination.
7	ALJ ALLEN: Thank you. The first
8	cross-examination is Ms. Kasnitz.
9	MS. KASNITZ: Thank you, your Honor.
10	CROSS-EXAMINATION
11	BY MS. KASNITZ:
12	Q I believe all of my questions are
13	going to be directed to Ms. Maratukulam
14	regarding the Public Safety Power Shutoff
15	Program.
16	(Interjection by court reporter.)
17	BY MS. KASNITZ:
18	Q I'm sorry. I believe all of my
19	questions are going to be directed to
20	Ms. Maratukulam, but if the other witnesses
21	believe they're the appropriate people to
22	respond, I will leave it to their discretion.
23	My name is Melissa Kasnitz. I
24	represent the Center for Accessible
25	Technology whose role is to represent the
26	interests of PG&E customers with disabilities
27	and medical needs. This group is
28	disproportionately low income. So I also

27

2.8

1 generally represent the interests of 2. low-income customers, to the best of my 3 ability. This group is also highly-dependent 4 5 on affordable and reliable energy to live independently and they are at extremely high 6 7 risk of serious harm due to extended power So they're very concerned about the outages. 9 Power Shutoff Program. 10 I'd like to start by referring to 11 page 6-10 of the relevant chapter, 12 Ms. Maratukulam's testimony that specifically 13 notes that de-energization creates hardships 14 for individuals and communities. May I ask 15 specifically what you have in mind when you 16 acknowledge the hardships that are created by 17 extended power outages? 18 WITNESS MARATUKULAM: PG&E recognizes 19 that de-energization creates hardships for 2.0 all of the communities that we serve. 21 live in a modern society that relies on 22 electricity for daily needs. And that's 2.3 particularly true of vulnerable communities, 24 especially those who rely on electricity for 25 medical needs.

Q So when you acknowledge that hardships are created, do you specifically have in mind the risk of immediate physical,

difficult harm to medically-vulnerable 1 2. customers, such as those who rely on 3 respirators? We recognize those that are 4 medically-vulnerable and sensitive are at 5 6 risk when they lack access to power. 7 So was that part of what you had in mind when you acknowledged the hardships of 8 9 PSPS events? 10 Д Yes, in addition to all of the 11 communities we serve. And did you specifically have in 12 13 mind, when you identified the hardships that 14 power shutoffs create on individuals, the 15 risk of longer-term physical harm; for 16 example, someone who relies on a C-PAP 17 machine for sleep apnea who might not be in 18 immediate risk of dying if they don't have 19 power for a night but whose long-term health 2.0 will be compromised? 21 We recognize generally that these 22 hardships do exist. We are not the experts for all medical conditions that our 2.3 24 communities face or the customers face. 25 So that was an example, but 26 generally-speaking, when you say "hardship," 27 do you have in mind long-term risk of

physical harm to people who are

2.

2.0

2.3

2.8

medically-	vulnerable?
------------	-------------

A We consider broadly that de-energization affects medically-sensitive customers, specifically focused on the duration of our power shutoffs, but that's where our focus is in ensuring that they are aware of the potential for de-energization and are able to prepare accordingly.

Q When you recognize hardships, were you considering the risk of fire from other ignition sources that people might rely on during an extended power outage such as generators?

A We recognize that that risk exists.

And part of our outreach efforts in our preparedness materials to all customers does include generator safety information.

Q When you acknowledged the risk of hardship, did you consider lost wages, lost time in school, spoiled food for households who were affected by an extended outage?

A We generally recognize that, yes, hardships include many things, including what you have mentioned.

Q And did you specifically consider the lost business suffered by small businesses and larger businesses during an extended power outage?

Again, we recognize that these 1 Α 2 hardships across the communities affect our 3 customers in many ways. And did you consider as part of 4 5 your hardship acknowledgment the costs to 6 local governments and community based 7 organizations in attempting to assist in preparedness and response to an extended 8 9 power outage? 10 Α Again, we realize that there are 11 broad implications and hardships felt across 12 our communities. 13 Still on page 6-10 of the material, 14 you lay out a list of factors that PG&E 15 evaluates to determine whether to execute a 16 PSPS event. I'm looking at the bullet list 17 on page 6-10. Do you see that? 18 Α I do. 19 This list is comprised only of weather-related conditions. 2.0 Does PG&E 21 consider the public safety risks created by 22 power outages in its decision to execute PSPS events? 2.3 24 Α We do. 25 0 To what extent does PG&E attempt to balance the risks of an extended power outage 26 27 against the risks that a public safety power

outage is intended to mitigate against?

2.

2.0

2.3

2.8

A Ensuring that we have awareness of the populations that would be affected, the number of customers and broken down by different classes, critical as well as medical baseline customers, is part of our PSPS protocol.

We are evaluating, yes, both the weather and the potential size and scale of these events. We work to ensure that our customers are aware of the potential for de-energization far in advance of PSPS season and work diligently to ensure that they are notified and aware of the potential during an event as well.

Part of that outreach includes, when reaching out to critical customers, finding out their capabilities in terms of back-up generation support and where we can supporting our communities through a variety of means, including Community Resource

Centers that are energized and that customers can come to for small device and some medical device charging needs.

Q Is there any scenario that you can foresee where you would determine that the risk of harm that people might suffer because of an extended power outage outweighs the factors that would lead you to consider

2.

2.0

2.3

2.8

turning off the power and, thus, cancel an otherwise planned PSPS event?

A It's difficult for me to picture a hypothetical scenario. We do recognize that there is risk on both sides of de-energization. There is the risk of catastrophic fire from potential admission from our assets, as well as, as I mentioned, risk of de-energization and the hardships that are felt by our communities.

Q Do you have authority to override the call for a PSPS event because you believe that the risks to the customers that would be affected are too severe to allow the event to go forward?

A I personally do not have the decision making authority. As mentioned in my testimony, our senior vice president of electric operations serves the role as the officer in charge during our PSPS events.

He or his delegate has the authority to make the decision to de-energize which does weigh the balance between both sides of that position.

Q Whose job is it to provide information about the risks to customers from an extended outage to that officer in charge so that that person can consider the risk of

2.

2.0

2.3

2.8

harm from an extended power outage?

A During activation of our emergency operation center during PSPS events, there are sections across our incident command team that is informing our officer in charge of both the weather risk that is coming through and the potential effects on the populations that may be de-energized.

Q Your colleague Mr. Vesey testified about a chief risk officer role who would be involved in these considerations. Can you tell me how the chief risk officer would interact with the officer in charge.

A There's no current role within our EOC structure for the chief risk officer. Broadly, in advance of the season, we are looking at where there is risk across our service territory. There isn't a specific protocol during the decision making for the chief risk officer right now.

Q But the officer in charge would be empowered to call off an otherwise planned PSPS event if they believed that the risk of harm to customers from an outage outweighed the risk of the wildfire conditions?

A Correct.

Q Turning to page 6-12 to 6-13 of the testimony, you state that PG&E has

implemented measures to mitigate the impact 1 2. of PSPS on its customers and, in particular, vulnerable customers. Do you see that? 3 А I do. 4 But the only vulnerable customer 5 6 group that you identify in the second bullet 7 point on page 6-13 are customers enrolled in the medical baseline program. Do you see 8 9 that? Δ I do. 10 11 0 Does PG&E consider the needs of any of its accessed and functional needs 12 customers beyond its medical baseline 13 14 customers in evaluating the need for 15 mitigation? 16 PG&E recognizes that serving the needs of our accessed and functional needs 17 18 communities does not -- it's not core 19 capability of the electric utility. So, 2.0 we're working diligently to partner with 21 community based organizations that are the trusted organizations within these 22 communities to serve the needs of those 2.3 24 populations. 25 We'll get to that in a moment, but 26 my question was actually whether you 27 acknowledge that the accessed and functional

needs community extends beyond those

Α

customers who are enrolled in the medical 1 2. baseline program? We recognize that there are 3 Α other populations outside of medical 4 baseline. 5 6 Are you aware that PG&E has an 7 obligation to ensure that certain customers who are self-identified as having a person with a medical vulnerability in their 10 household receive an in-person field visit 11 prior to disconnection for nonpayment? Are 12 you aware of that classification? I am not familiar with the details 13 14 of our disconnection procedures. 15 Has there been any effort within 16 the parameters of the PSPS program to use the 17 list of customers who have been identified as 18 medically vulnerable for the purpose of 19 disconnection protections as a way to 2.0 identify AFM customers who are not enrolled in medical baseline? 21 22 I admit to not having familiarity 2.3 with that program. I would assume that that is strongly overlapping, if not directly tied 24 to our medical baseline program. 25 26 On what basis do you make that 27 assumption?

The term that you were using, that

2.8

they are medically sensitive. That is the 1 2. visibility that PG&E has into medically 3 sensitive customers is through our medical baseline program. 4 So you are not aware of any effort 5 6 to use the list of medically sensitive people 7 that's been identified with regard to disconnections in terms of preparation for 9 PSPS events; is that accurate? 10 Д That is accurate. 11 0 Are you aware that PG&E provides bills and other materials to certain 12 customers in nonstandard format to 13 14 accommodate their disabilities? I believe I have heard that is the 15 Δ 16 I do not have direct familiarity with 17 those formats. 18 As the person in charge of the PSPS 19 program, have you made any effort to obtain the list of customers who receive nonstandard 2.0 materials in order to identify those 21 22 customers as AFM customers? 2.3 The PSPS program is very focused on Α 2.4 ensuring that we are able to notify in 25 advance of an event all of our medical baseline customers. Those are the customers 26

that we are aware of through the medical

baseline program as relying on electricity

for medical needs. 1 2. So, the program has been refocused on ensuring that we have notification, 3 priority notifications, going out to them as 4 well as an additional level of notification 5 if they do not confirm receipt of our 6 7 notification. So I understand your answer is no, 9 that you have not, with regard to the PSPS 10 program, made an effort specifically to 11 target outreach to those customers who receive bills or other materials in 12 13 nonstandard format; is that accurate? 14 Α If there isn't a direct overlap 15 with our medical baseline program, then I am 16 unaware. 17 And are you aware that PG&E for a 0 18 long time has invited customers to 19 self-identify if a member of their household 2.0 has a disability in all of their interactions 21 with customer service representatives or 22 other contractors? 2.3 Sorry, could you repeat the Α 24 question. 25 Are you aware that PG&E has a 26 long-standing commitment to invite customers 27 to self-identify if a member of their household has a disability at any time that 2.8

2.8

the customer is in contact with a customer 1 2. service representative or other company 3 representative? I am not specifically aware of that 4 commitment. 5 6 So it would be fair to say that you 7 have made no effort with regard to the PSPS program to obtain lists of customers who have 8 9 self-identified as having someone in the 10 household with a disability in order to 11 target those households for notice of PSPS 12 events? I wouldn't disagree with -- or I 13 14 wouldn't agree with that statement. I do 15 believe there are medical baseline targets 16 doing exactly that. 17 I'm not talking about medical 18 baseline customers. I'm talking about a 19 separate list of customers who have 2.0 voluntarily self-identified outside of the 21 medical baseline program that someone in 22 their household has a disability. 2.3 Have you made any effort to access those lists from elsewhere within the company 2.4 25 to target notification about PSPS events to those households? 26 27 I have not personally. As Julie

mentioned in the prior testimony, our

2.

2.0

2.3

2.8

customer care organization is the one that manages our outreach to customers during events and in advance of them so they would be more familiar with specifically the populations that we are tagging for priority notifications during a PSPS event.

Q But as the head of the program, you're not aware of any targeted outreach except to customers who are involved in medical baseline; is that accurate?

A That is accurate.

Q Thank you. Turning back to your description of the outreach to medical baseline customers on page 6-13 of your testimony, you only describe additional notification of measures for these customers. Are you aware of any mitigation beyond additional notification that PG&E is targeting to keep those customers safe during an extended power outage?

A Our partnerships through community based organizations that are better served to meet the direct needs of those customers is our primary means right now of providing support to them during events.

Q And is PG&E providing financial resources to those organizations to allow them to serve customers during PSPS events?

1	A We have. We are working on
2	partnering with, for example, the California
3	Foundation of Independent Living Centers.
4	Q Do you happen to know how many
5	customers are served by CFILC during the
6	extensive power outages of 2019?
7	A I don't know that number off the
8	top of my head.
9	Q Would you agree that it was in the
10	dozens of people?
11	A I am unfamiliar with the specific
12	numbers so it's hard for me to comment on the
13	quantity.
14	Q But you would agree that thousands
15	of people enrolled in the medical baseline
16	program are without power during the extended
17	outages last fall; correct?
18	A There were, yes. That was during
19	the various especially larger scale events
20	that we experienced during last fall that
21	were affected by the de-energization events.
22	Q Do you anticipate for the 2020 fire
23	season that you will have agreements in place
24	with community based organizations sufficient
25	to serve the needs of all medical baseline
26	customers impacted by power outages?
27	A We're working to set up as many
28	agreements as we can in partnership with

2.8

those community based organizations and we'll 1 2. continuously do so throughout the year and into the following years. 3 Are you aware of any effort 4 whatsoever to provide information to the 5 community about the existence of these 6 7 programs? Α We're working directly with 8 9 those community based organizations for 10 outreach on the potential services that they 11 can provide as well as utilizing that as a 12 mechanism to further encourage enrollment where appropriate for the medical baseline 13 14 program that PG&E runs. 15 So people who don't already receive 16 services from these organizations that you 17 partner with would have no way of knowing 18 that the program even exists; is that 19 accurate? 2.0 Α No, that is not accurate. 21 working to ensure that across mass media 22 market campaigns our potential support 2.3 options are known and, again, to promote 24 enrollment in our medical baseline program, 25 awareness and enrollment where applicable. 26 And what about for people who have

for medical baseline? What do you propose to

medical vulnerabilities but aren't eliqible

do for them? 1 2. А Again, our partnerships through the 3 community based organizations are more familiar with the populations that you're 4 referring to and their potential needs and 5 6 how to serve them is our means of working to 7 support them where we can. Is PG&E attempting to learn about 8 9 this population? 10 ALJ ALLEN: Let's make sure everyone 11 slows down a little bit and speaks up a little bit. 12 Ms. Kasnitz. 13 14 MS. KASNITZ: Thank you. 15 THE WITNESS: Sorry, could you repeat 16 the question. 17 BY MS. KASNITZ: 18 Is PG&E making an effort to learn 0 19 about these populations? 2.0 А Yes, through our engagement with 21 those community based organization, we are 22 learning about these populations. Does PG&E's ADA coordinator have a 2.3 0 24 role in preparing for PSPS events? 25 Deirdre Walke on our team А Yes. 26 works to participate in all of our community 27 open houses and outreach efforts to the 2.8 populations that we serve.

1	Q On page 6-13 you describe PG&E's
2	Community Resource Centers as a potential
3	mitigation measure. Do you see that?
4	A I do.
5	Q Now, these Community Resource
6	Centers are not full shelters; is that
7	correct?
8	A That is correct.
9	Q Do they provide hygiene facilities
10	for the people who seek services at the CRCs,
11	showers, and the ability to maintain
12	cleanliness?
13	A I don't believe that we have
14	provided showers to date. I know that we're
15	looking to secure locations that are brick
16	and mortar, hardened facilities. We did
17	utilize a good number of tent facilities
18	stood up quickly during last year's events.
19	Q Do you provide sleep facilities for
20	people who need shelter?
21	A We do not.
22	Q Do you provide full meals for
23	people who have had to leave their homes
24	because of the extended power outage?
25	A We provide non-perishable snacks.
26	Q Are all of the facilities that you
27	open up in compliance with the access
28	requirements of the Americans with

1	Disabilities Act and state law?
2	A That is one of the requirements
3	that we are building in to standing up our
4	CRCs.
5	Q But that was not the case that your
6	facilities used in 2019; is that correct?
7	A It was one of the factors and
8	standards that we were looking to implement
9	across our CRCs. I cannot say with a hundred
LO	percent confidence that we that all were
L1	fully ADA compliant.
L2	Q Would you accept that other folks
L3	from PG&E have acknowledged that not all
L4	facilities used during 2019 were ADA
L5	compliant?
L6	MR. RUTTEN: Object to the form of the
L7	question, calls for speculation.
L8	ALJ ALLEN: Sustained.
L9	Please rephrase or ask another
20	question.
21	BY MS. KASNITZ:
22	Q Do you have any information from
23	your colleagues at PG&E as to whether all of
24	the facilities used in 2019 were ADA
25	compliant?
26	A I had heard concern during the fall
27	events that there were a couple of facilities
2.8	that we stood up that did not have full

2.3

24

25

26

27

28

large.

pavement. We were standing these up often in 1 2. parking lots. From what I recall, there were some that did not have fully paved but, 3 instead, rocky surface. 4 Is that the only complaint that you 5 6 are aware of? 7 Α That is. Do the Community Resource Centers 8 0 9 have adequate charging facilities for people 10 who rely on medical devices powered by 11 electricity? 12 One of the things that we are striving to provide in our Community Resource 13 14 Centers is the ability for small device 15 charging, including small device medical 16 devices. 17 What about large devices? 0 18 А I don't know if we have built in 19 the capability or that we did build in the 2.0 capability last year for large medical devices, but I am also not familiar with 21 22 where the line is drawn between small and

Q Small is the characteristic of your own offering, so if you did not -- do you have a plan for 2020 to allow sufficient resources for charging of medical devices of any size? Is that part of your plan for

2020?

2.

2.0

2.3

2.8

A I am not familiar with the specifics of any size. I do know that we're working to stand up hardened facilities, so brick and mortar facilities, that would potentially have more capabilities than the tents that we stood up last year.

Q But you don't have information as the head of the PSPS program as to whether these locations will have sufficient resources to charge any form of medical device that a customer may rely on?

A The PSPS program is a broad, cross-functional program. So while, yes, I am in charge of ensuring that we have the protocols and procedures in place to implement a PSPS event, we partner strongly with our customer care organization to be focused on what we can provide customers during events.

Q So I understand from what you're saying that you do not have information one way or the other as to whether the CRCs will have sufficient power to charge any medical device that a customer may rely on; is that accurate?

A The only term that I am hesitant there is with any device. I am not familiar

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

26

27

2.8

with the breadth of medical devices that are 1 2 potentially going to be brought to our CRCs. So you're agreeing that you do not Q have information as to whether customers may 4 arrive with medical devices that are not 5 capable of being served at a CRC? You don't 6 7 have information one way or the other; is that accurate? 8 Α Not knowing the full potential

population of what those devices would include, I, yes, would hesitate to say that we will absolutely be able to charge every device that would be brought.

Has PG&E considered the safety risks to customers of closing CRCs at night?

Α There are safety risks on both sides of keeping our CRCs open, as I believe even the City of San Jose replied in the testimony, that on our OIR proceeding that there's risk trying to run these during the night. So, I think there is risk on both sides.

What do you tell customers who don't have independent transportation and whose home is in the dark that they should do at night when a CRC closes? What's your recommendation to those customers?

> Again, we're working with Α

services?

2.8

community based organizations that are better 1 2. served to meet the needs of those populations. I believe that part of what the 3 California Foundation of Independent Living 4 5 Centers was able to stand up during our fall events was hotel vouchers where appropriate. 6 7 Do you believe that you're going to be in a position to offer hotel vouchers to 9 every person who needs them in the 2020 fire 10 season? A 11 It is hard for me to speculate on 12 what this next season will specifically look 13 like, so I cannot say one way or the other 14 that we will absolutely -- I know that we are striving to provide as much and as many 15 16 services as we can. 17 Do you have a budget for hotel 0 18 vouchers that you'll be providing to 19 customers in the 2020 fire season? 2.0 So we're working with those 21 community based organizations to essentially 22 run the programs and decide the services that 2.3 will best serve the populations that they 24 work with and serve. 25 And what is the budget that PG&E is 26 projecting to provide to these community 27 based organizations to operate these

1	A I don't have the firm number
2	established. I'm unfamiliar with what the
3	total
4	Q Do you have
5	(Crosstalk.)
6	ALJ ALLEN: Let's have one person talk
7	at a time. Make sure she finishes the answer
8	before you ask the next question.
9	Ms. Kasnitz.
10	BY MS. KASNITZ:
11	Q Do you have a ballpark number even
12	if you don't have an exact number?
13	A I'm not sure of the range. I
14	believe I'm unfamiliar with what the exact
15	funding numbers will be.
16	Q Do you anticipate that it will be
17	sufficient to serve thousands of customers if
18	that's required during extended power
19	outages?
20	A Our hope is to be able to serve the
21	populations that would be affected by 2020
22	events to the best of our ability.
23	ALJ ALLEN: Ms. Kasnitz, let me do a
24	time check here. How are you on your cross?
25	MS. KASNITZ: Just a couple more
26	questions, your Honor.
27	ALJ ALLEN: Okay.
28	///

2.

2.0

2.3

BY MS. KASNITZ:

Q Can I ask you whose job it is, is it your job or can you identify someone else whose job it is to keep people with medical vulnerabilities safe when PG&E deliberately ceases to meet its primary obligation to keep the power on?

MR. RUTTEN: Object to the form of the question, argumentative.

ALJ ALLEN: Sustained.

Please rephrase.

BY MS. KASNITZ:

Q Can you tell in whose job it is, whether it's yours or someone else you can potentially identify, to provide services to keep customers with medical vulnerabilities safe from harm during an extended power outage?

A Part of what we are trying to achieve in executing PSPS events is to ensure that our customers are aware of the potential for de-energizations that they are empowered to prepare accordingly. I don't know that PG&E can take on ensuring that everyone is fully prepared for an extended outage.

Q Beyond the bullet points on page 6-12 to 6-13 of your testimony, do you have any plans to mitigate the impact of outages

2.0

2.3

2.8

on vulnerable populations who may be subject to them?

A We are working now to mitigate the overall impacts of PSPS events. We have identified several asset-based solutions targeted at minimizing the scope of future events based on what we experienced in 2019 as well as pulling in more resources to minimize the duration of potential outages.

Q I very much appreciate the efforts to reduce the scope and duration of power outages, but for those customers who still experience them, do you have any plans beyond the bullet points identified in pages 6-12 to 6-13 of your testimony to mitigate the impact of those outages?

A Working with our community based organizations, the trusted partners that serve those communities will be a key aspect of how we support medical baseline and accessed and functional needs and vulnerable populations in the coming season.

Q Last question I believe. Is there a reason that you do not discuss this work with CBO partners in your testimony with regard to mitigation of PSPS events?

A That we do not discuss this work?

Q The use of reliance on community

1	based organizations in any aware let me
2	start again.
3	Your testimony does not mention
4	PG&E's efforts to work with community based
5	organizations in order to mitigate the
6	impacts of PSPS events. Is there a reason
7	why that is not identified as a mitigation
8	effort in your testimony?
9	A No. It is extensively described in
10	our Wildfire Mitigation Plan and something
11	that we are open and transparent and happy to
12	discuss and share.
13	Q One more moment, but I believe I
14	don't have any further questions. Thank you.
15	No further questions.
16	ALJ ALLEN: Thank you, Ms. Kasnitz.
17	Anything we need to address before
18	we take a lunch recess? Seeing none, we will
19	be in recess until 1 o'clock by the wall
20	clock.
21	(Whereupon, at the hour of 12:00
22	<pre>p.m., a recess was taken until 1:01 p.m.)</pre>
23	* * * *
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	AFTERNOON SESSION - 1:01 P.M.
2	
3	* * * *
4	TRACY MARATUKULAM, DEBBIE POWELL, MATT
5	PENDER,
6	resumed the stand and testified further as
7	follows:
8	
9	ALJ ALLEN: On the record.
10	Good afternoon. Resuming the
11	evidentiary hearings. We just remind the
12	panel that they are still under oath. I
13	believe we had completed the cross-exam by
14	Ms. Kasnitz.
15	Next is it Ms. Kelly? Is that
16	correct?
17	MS. KELLY: Yes, it is, your Honor.
18	ALJ ALLEN: Okay. Go ahead, Ms. Kelly.
19	MR. RUTTEN: Your Honor, if I could,
20	just with a housekeeping issue first, if you
21	don't mind, given how time is going today, we
22	thought the next witnesses in order will be
23	starting with Amit Gupta, who I believe would
24	be very quick. I think only one party had
25	requested cross-examination time with that
26	witness, followed by Catherine Yap, who I
27	believe would also be relatively short, and
28	then Robert Kenney, who as I understand

2.8

for now.

1 it, one of the parties would like to 2. cross-examine him very briefly, because that 3 party cannot be here when he's otherwise scheduled to testify, so we thought maybe 4 5 five minutes with him, and then he could 6 resume his testimony later, and finally 7 followed by Martin Wyspianski, and I think that would --8 9 ALJ ALLEN: What I'd like to do is I'd 10 like to follow this panel with Yap, just to 11 make sure we get through Yap today, because I 12 know that Yap has availability issues. 13 I'd like to do this panel, and then Yap, and 14 then CLECA's cross-examination of Kenney, 15 because -- and then we can do Gupta, if 16 there's time, and then followed up with 17 Wyspianski, whether that's today or when we 18 get to it. 19 Ms. Sheriff? 2.0 MS. SHERIFF: Yes, thank you, your 21 The one clarification I would add is 22 the request to have PG&E conduct the redirect 2.3 of Mr. Kenney following my cross-examination 24 of him today while I am here, if possible. 25 If there is any way. We ALJ ALLEN: 26 can see what we can do. But, with that, 27 let's go ahead and continue with this panel

```
So I believe, Ms. Kelly, are you up
 1
 2
     next?
 3
           MS. KELLY: Yes, I am, your Honor.
 4
           ALJ ALLEN: Mr. Strauss, did you
 5
     have --
           MR. STRAUSS:
 6
                         I might -- I'd also like
 7
     to add just a couple questions, if there is
     time today, for this panel, as well.
 8
 9
           ALJ ALLEN:
                      Yes.
10
                         Thank you, your Honor.
           MR. STRAUSS:
11
           ALJ ALLEN: Go ahead, Ms. Kelly.
12
           MS. KELLY: Thank you, your Honor.
13
     Thank you.
14
                    CROSS-EXAMINATION
15
     BY MS. KELLY:
16
               I believe my questions will be for
     Mr. Pender. If you would please turn to
17
18
     page 6-5 of your testimony.
19
           WITNESS PENDER: Yes.
                                   I'm there.
2.0
               Thank you. At approximately
21
     line 22, you say that PG&E has completed
22
     EM -- EVM, enhanced vegetation management,
2.3
     work on a total of approximately 2500 line
24
     miles.
             Is that correct?
25
           Α
               That's correct.
26
               Okay. So what does it take for a
27
     line mile to be designated as completed work?
28
           Α
               That's a great question. So we
```

1	have a multistep process to move from
2	identifying a line to be worked through
3	completing the work, validating it, et
4	cetera. So first, we have a pre-inspector, a
5	vegetation arborist, who goes out and marks
6	the trees along that line that need to be
7	worked. Now, to be clear, enhanced
8	vegetation management is enhanced because
9	it's above and beyond compliance
10	requirements. So generally speaking,
11	compliance requirements require a radial
12	clearance, just a circle around our power
13	lines. Enhanced vegetation management goes
14	beyond that through moving overhanging
15	branches, extending the circle, or the radial
10	branches, excellening the criticie, or the radial
16	clearance, and also identifying hazardous or
	_
16	clearance, and also identifying hazardous or
16 17	clearance, and also identifying hazardous or at-risk trees adjacent to the power lines to
16 17 18	clearance, and also identifying hazardous or at-risk trees adjacent to the power lines to be treated or to be removed or trimmed, as
16 17 18 19	clearance, and also identifying hazardous or at-risk trees adjacent to the power lines to be treated or to be removed or trimmed, as appropriate. So the pre-inspector, as part
16 17 18 19 20	clearance, and also identifying hazardous or at-risk trees adjacent to the power lines to be treated or to be removed or trimmed, as appropriate. So the pre-inspector, as part of the EVM program, is walking the line,
16 17 18 19 20 21	clearance, and also identifying hazardous or at-risk trees adjacent to the power lines to be treated or to be removed or trimmed, as appropriate. So the pre-inspector, as part of the EVM program, is walking the line, marking those trees. Then a tree crew comes
16 17 18 19 20 21	clearance, and also identifying hazardous or at-risk trees adjacent to the power lines to be treated or to be removed or trimmed, as appropriate. So the pre-inspector, as part of the EVM program, is walking the line, marking those trees. Then a tree crew comes behind that pre-inspector and performs the
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	clearance, and also identifying hazardous or at-risk trees adjacent to the power lines to be treated or to be removed or trimmed, as appropriate. So the pre-inspector, as part of the EVM program, is walking the line, marking those trees. Then a tree crew comes behind that pre-inspector and performs the work that has been prescribed; so that could
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	clearance, and also identifying hazardous or at-risk trees adjacent to the power lines to be treated or to be removed or trimmed, as appropriate. So the pre-inspector, as part of the EVM program, is walking the line, marking those trees. Then a tree crew comes behind that pre-inspector and performs the work that has been prescribed; so that could be removing trees, trimming trees, whatever
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	clearance, and also identifying hazardous or at-risk trees adjacent to the power lines to be treated or to be removed or trimmed, as appropriate. So the pre-inspector, as part of the EVM program, is walking the line, marking those trees. Then a tree crew comes behind that pre-inspector and performs the work that has been prescribed; so that could be removing trees, trimming trees, whatever the case may be. When they're done, we have

2.

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

26

27

2.8

when the tree crew says it's complete, we have a separate inspector, part of the work verification process, who makes sure that the work that they performed was in line with our EVM standard. If they identify anything that was not in line with our standard, they mark those trees that should have been trimmed or something different should have occurred, and then it is reworked. It goes back to a tree crew to work again, and then it gets rework verify -- work verified to make sure that it is in compliance with our standard. then, when all that's completed, then we call a mile complete.

Additionally, in the fourth quarter of last year, we added a QA, quality assurance, step where we had a separate team within PG&E take a sampling of all those miles that had gone through all those other steps and was considered complete to say, "Hey, if we looked at it with fresh eyes, would we say that those miles are complete and in line with our standard?" So we have a couple of quality steps, and certainly a couple of process steps to complete a mile of enhanced vegetation management.

Q And so what have been the results of the quality assurance performed, to date?

Τ	A So there's a work verification
2	process, right, which is the right, and
3	then there's a quality assurance step, which
4	we pretty much only established in the fourth
5	quarter. That sampling basis found a nine
6	approximately a 98 percent pass rate. So the
7	miles that we had said were complete that
8	quality assurance step validated that
9	98 percent of what they sampled was, in fact,
10	in line with our standard.
11	Q So that's approximately that's
12	two percent noncompliance?
13	A That's two percent that were
14	assessed to not be perfectly in compliance
15	with our standard, yes.
16	Q Okay. Then turning to turning
17	to I had previously identified MCE Exhibit
18	MCE-X-2. Do you have that with you?
19	A I believe so. Is that the excerpt
20	from the 2019 Wildfire Safety Plan?
21	Q Correct. So if you go to page 136
22	of that document, is at the top of that
23	page, this relates to quality assurance
24	results in HFTD areas, and it says that
25	the the target is met by achieving
26	92 percent meets expectations.
27	So what I am understanding that
28	you're saying today is PG&E is meeting

98	percent	?
	PCTCCTTC	•

2.

2.0

2.3

2.8

A So the -- this language which was written in early 2019 as part of our February submittal of the Wildfire Safety Plan, what we had in mind when we discussed this, as you look at the top of page 136, it references that this QA review will be performed on 100 percent of the EVM work. This is in reference essentially to our work verification process; and so every mile is assessed under work verification, and that was what we had initially put the 92 percent target on.

Q And what was the -- what was the figure for the work verification target that PG&E has achieved, to date?

A So, as has been filed in some other environments to the CPUC as well as to other venues, we achieved around 63 percent first pass quality clearance on this work verification process.

Q And can you explain what that 63 percent is a percentage of?

A So of a hundred percent of the miles that were completed, which ended up being 2500 -- about 2500 miles last year, we did a work verification, and 60 -- approximately 63 percent of those miles were

2.

2.0

2.3

2.8

good to go, met our standard from the first check. The other 37 percent needed some rework, right, whether it was one tree or a number of trees. The 37 percent of the miles, when first assessed after the tree crew had completed their work, we found some rework that needed to be completed, and then we would verify the miles again.

Q So are you saying that in 63 percent of cases there were zero trees that had any exceptions per mile?

A That's one way to think of it, that all of the vegetation in that segment of line complied with our standard. So, yes, there were zero exceptions for these on 63 percent of the miles.

Q And were the other tree -- for the remaining 37 percent, what were the -- what, on average, were the percentage of exception trees or the number of exception trees per mile?

A Yeah. I apologize. I don't have any of those stats off the top of my head. We could -- we have that information in our system, but I don't know.

Q And I do note on the page prior -I'm looking at the exact -- the same exhibit,
MCE-X-2, page 135 -- these quality assurance

25

26

27

2.8

results, the way that this is stated, 1 calculate this as the number of trees 2. correctly worked to the EMV or dropped tree 3 mortality scope divided by all in-scope 4 5 So it sounds like a percentage of trees. 6 trees. Is that correct? 7 Α That's correct. You're right. may have misstated it or it was unclear in 9 what I initially stated. The 63 percent is 10 of the trees in the scope of work, in the 11 trees within the 2500 miles, 63 percent were 12 good from the initial work verification, and 13 37 percent needed additional work. 14 So if I'm walking down a line mile, 15 and I'm -- I'm counting a hundred trees, 16 37 percent of those trees were not correctly 17 work -- worked. Is that correct? 18 А The -- yeah. The -- that's a 19 correct understanding, that after the tree 2.0 crew had completed their work, there were 37 trees out of a hundred that still needed some 21 22 rework. 2.3

WITNESS POWELL: Matt, to be clear, in our first quality process, those trees that were incompletely worked were then redirected for rework before we declared those miles complete.

WITNESS PENDER: Absolutely correct.

BY MS. KELLY:

2.

2.0

2.3

2.8

Q And could you clarify again what -the percentage of trees that were
noncompliant upon completion, as you're
defining it?

WITNESS PENDER: Yeah. So after the first pass, we would have done our rework, and then we would have work verified a second time. Once all of that was done, and we said that a mile was complete, we did this QA sample in the fourth quarter where we didn't do every mile, but we sampled miles, and 98 percent of those were within our EVM standard.

Q And is that consistent with industry best practices?

A There's no industry best practices in this space. We're going above and beyond any regulatory norms. There are a few companies who do anything like this in terms of the scope and scale of this vegetation management effort. So, no, there's no benchmark on this metric, which is if you're doing a program above and beyond compliance, what's your quality outcomes in that space.

Q And did you refer to the -- the vegetation management plans of the other utilities to determine how your metrics

2.

2.0

2.3

2.8

aligned with theirs?

A Yeah. I mean we've been in regular contact with particularly the other two California IOUs who operate in a somewhat similar environment about how they operate their programs, how they measure, all those things.

Q And so are you aware that SDG&E's noncompliance rate is approximately one percent?

A Their measuring is slightly different thing. My understanding of that -- of their measure of quality is not the same as the scope of our enhanced vegetation management program. The scope of our program, in terms of removing all overhangs, identifying at-risk trees, is more complex, and drives a higher volume of work than any of SDG&E's vegetation programs, for example.

Q Okay. And approximately how many trees per mile does PG&E have?

A So I don't have a specific number on that. You can take really high-level numbers, like we have approximately a hundred million trees with the risk of falling into our lines. We have, on the distribution system, 81,000 overhead distribution circuit miles. So you could divide those, and get

some per mile estimate. But, of course, it 1 2. varies widely from the urban parts of our service territory to the rural or forested 3 parts of our service territory. 4 So thinking specifically about the 5 high-threat fire districts, what is that 6 7 approximate number of trees per mile? А I don't have that number. 8 9 In literature that I've read, it 10 can be quite a wide range, but somewhere 11 between 800 trees per mile and, I believe --12 I have to find the figure -- somewhere around 1400 or so. Does that sound like about the 13 14 scale that we're talking about? 15 Those numbers don't surprise me, Α 16 depending on where you sample or something, 17 you know, which miles, which counties. 18 But --19 So Ms. Kelly, I think this ALJ ALLEN: 2.0 was carryover time from --21 MS. KELLY: Yes. 22 ALJ ALLEN: -- Ms. Cambridge you asked 2.3 for ten minutes on. So let's do a time 24 check. 25 MS. KELLY: Okay. I think I have two 26 more questions. 27 ALJ ALLEN: I think we can accommodate 2.8 that.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

26

27

2.8

MS. KELLY: Thank you.

Q And then, so how -- for purposes of compliance with the law and the PG&E plan, how are you defining compliance for purposes of this program?

WITNESS PENDER: So just to be clear, you mentioned compliance with two things, and those are very separate things.

O Uh-huh.

Д So compliance with the law is about the radial clearance around our power lines, and making sure that no trees get too close to power lines. We have a number of processes different than what I have previously discussed related to EVM to maintain compliance with radial clearance regulations. So we have a routine veg management program that inspects every line on at least an annual basis, we have quality control and quality assurance programs that check that work. Quality control checks the work that was performed. Quality assurance looks at samples of our system at any time of the year, and goes and looks at is -- are all the trees in compliance with the regulation. And we have above 99 percent pass rate on that quality assurance program.

Q Related to the legal requirement?

1 Α Yes. 0 Okay. А So that's legal compliance. 3 Yes. And then, as it relates to our 4 Wildfire Safety Plan or our Wildfire 5 6 Mitigation Plan, we have all the programs I 7 laid out previously to make sure that we do the work, we check the work, we rework, if 9 necessary, and then we validate that we've 10 completed the work. So as it relates to 11 compliance with our Wildfire Mitigation Plan, 12 we've put those steps in place to make sure 13 that when we say we've done enough miles to 14 meet our expect -- our obligation, our 15 commitment, they've been thoroughly 16 validated. 17 And what is the quality threshold 0 18 of that? You had -- you had mentioned for 19 compliance with the law about 99 percent. 2.0 And then for the EVM, what -- what 21 would that be? 22 Α Well, as I mentioned, we do 2.3 100 percent work validation, verification, 24 and then rework it, if necessary, until it 25 passes that work verification. So we have no 26 threshold that's close enough, right, until 27 100 percent of trees on a segment have been 2.8 assessed to be in compliance with our

2.

2.0

2.3

standard on the Wildfire Safety Plan. We won't count that mile as complete. So when we say, "2500 miles," those 2500 miles meet a 100 percent standard for all trees on that span, on that mile, being completed to standard.

Q I guess what I don't understand is you had said that there was a 98 percent QA achievement on the work performed?

A Yes. So another quality check, right, has -- has sampled those miles, and identified a relatively small number that may require further look or rework, and if so, we will send that back through our operational team to rework those miles.

Q And is it -- is it your team or the chief compliance officer that defines what compliance is?

A So the electric operations team has set up the processes and the standards related to this program from the field work to the quality control, or work verification, to the quality assurance program. So all of the standards have been established and owned by the electric operations department.

MS. KELLY: Okay. I have no further questions.

ALJ ALLEN: Thank you, Ms. Kelly.

```
Let's go off the record a minute.
 1
 2.
               (Off the record.)
           ALJ ALLEN: On the record.
 3
               Ms. Sheriff?
 4
 5
           MS. SHERIFF:
                         Thank you, your Honor.
 6
                    CROSS-EXAMINATION
 7
     BY MS. SHERIFF:
               Good afternoon.
           0
                                My name is Nora
 9
     Sheriff.
               I represent the California Large
10
     Energy Consumers Association, or CLECA.
11
     CLECA is made up of large power customers,
12
     industrial customers, manufacturing customers
13
     of Pacific Gas & Electric Company and
14
     Southern California Edison Company. I have a
15
     few specific questions which I will direct
16
     first to Mr. Pender, and then I have a
17
     different set of questions for
18
     Ms. Maratukulam, if that's correct.
19
           WITNESS MARATUKULAM:
                                 Correct.
2.0
               Thank you. So Mr. Pender, at
21
     page 6-7 of your testimony in what's been
22
     marked for identification as Exhibit PG&E-1,
2.3
     you state that, quote, PG&E recognizes the
     seriousness of each PSPS event, however, and
24
25
     has been actively taking steps to reduce the
26
     duration and frequency and impact of PSPS
27
     events as detailed in Section E below.
2.8
               And your colleague drafted Section
```

1	E. Did you review her draft testimony?
2	WITNESS PENDER: I didn't review this
3	exact draft testimony, but we've been in
4	regular contact about the aspects of the PSPS
5	program as part of our wildfire mitigation
6	effort.
7	Q Okay. Are you aware of the vast
8	number of customers affected by the fall 2019
9	PSP events that received no notice of those
10	fall 2019 PSPS events from PG&E?
11	A I'm not the expert on the
12	operational aspects of our 2019 or 2020 PSPS
13	events; so no.
14	Q Have you reviewed any of President
15	Batjer's rulings regarding the fall 2019 PSPS
16	events?
17	A I'm peripherally aware of those
18	rulings, as well as the ongoing proceedings
19	before the CPUC, but again, not the point
20	person on those activities.
21	Q Okay. Would it surprise you to
22	note that in one of her rulings, she
23	specifically identified that there were
24	approximately 23,000 customers out of the
25	729,000 customers that were affected in the
26	fall 2019 PSP PSPS events that got no
27	notice from PG&E?
28	MR. RUTTEN: Objection, foundation.

1	ALJ ALLEN: Sustained. It's not clear
2	that this is the right witness for this.
3	You're clearly referring to Section E, which
4	is not his.
5	BY MS. SHERIFF:
6	Q In connection with the efforts to
7	reduce PSPS impacts, have you considered the
8	different types of customers that you have?
9	WITNESS PENDER: Our focus on reducing
LO	PSPS impacts as we've laid out in this filing
L1	as well as more extensively in our Wildfire
L2	Mitigation Plan are focused on reducing PSPS
L3	impacts to the largest number of customers
L4	possible, and then for the customers who are
L5	still impacted by PSPS events shortening the
L6	duration of those events. I'm not aware that
L7	our efforts have been largely influenced by
L8	the makeup of those customers beyond
L9	benefiting the largest populations of
20	customers as quickly as possible.
21	Q And I I would invite your
22	colleague, Ms. Maratukulam, if you have any
23	additional response that I would like to
24	hear it.
25	WITNESS MARATUKULAM: Can you repeat
26	the question?
27	Q Whether or not PG&E has considered
Ω	the different types of sustamers that it

22

2.3

24

25

26

27

2.8

has -- residential, commercial, industrial --1 2. when looking at the efforts that you're undertaking to reduce the impacts of the 3 public safety power shutoff events. 4 5 WITNESS MARATUKULAM: Yes, PG&E has 6 considered the different customer types, and 7 is targeting both our outreach in advance of the PSPS season and during, accordingly. 9 Q Okay. So have you -- it sounds 10 like you have considered the different 11 potential impacts to the surrounding area 12 associated with the sudden loss of power for 13 those different types of customers? 14 Α We're working with our customers to 15 ensure that they are aware of the potential 16 of de-energization in advance of the season, and then during events work to ensure that 17 18 they are notified if they are within scope of 19 a given event so they can prepare 2.0 accordingly.

Q Are you aware that a sudden loss of power to a large industrial customer with complex machinery, hazardous materials, could have a very different impact on the surrounding environment versus the loss of power to a home or an office?

A We recognize that the loss of power and its effects vary from customer type, yes.

1	Q And one critical way to reduce the
2	impact of a PSPS event is to ensure that all
3	customers that will be impacted actually have
4	notice. Correct?
5	A Yes, we strive to ensure that all
6	customers potentially affected by a given
7	PSPS event are notified.
8	Q And if we could turn to page 6-12
9	of your testimony, there's only one mention
10	here about improving communications with end
11	use customers. Correct?
12	A Are you referring to a specific
13	line on this page?
14	Q Yeah, the first bullet, line 27.
15	A So this line does point to our
16	expected notification cadence when and where
17	possible, given the weather forecasting
18	capabilities, to notify customers potentially
19	affected by an event.
20	Q Right. And of all the pages of
21	testimony there's about four in your
22	Section E this is the only one that talks
23	about notification to customers. Correct?
24	A I believe that is the case.
25	Q Okay. How long have you been the
26	Director of PSPS events?
27	A A little over one year.
28	Q Okay. So this was your role in the

2.8

fall of 2019? 1 2. Α It was. At page 6-11, you refer to 3 Q transmission assets in the scope of PSPS 4 Is that testimony intended to state 5 events. that the officer in charge decides if a 6 transmission line will be impacted in a PSPS 7 event? 8 9 Α There's several key decision points that our officer in charge makes 10 11 during PSPS events, and one of those is the 12 scope of the event, specifically, 13 transmission, as well as distribution. 14 Do you know who communicates that 15 specific information, the inclusion of a 16 transmission line, to the PG&E account reps? 17 Α As part of our scoping process, our 18 planning and intelligence section within our 19 emergency operations center is asked when 2.0 determining the scope of the event both the 21 assets within the scope and the customers 22 served by those assets. That information is handed to our customer strategy officer, and 2.3 2.4 the customer team then takes over 25 notification accordingly. And where does the customer account 26 27 rep fit within that customer strategy officer

description you just gave?

1	A If they are part of that section of
2	the EOC.
3	Q What's the timing of that
4	information provision?
5	A They're provided that information
6	as soon as the scope of the event is
7	determined.
8	Q Is that what occurred in the fall
9	of 2019?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Without fail?
12	A And to clarify, your question is
13	that the customer section within the EOC was
14	made aware of the customers that had been
15	identified as within the scope of the
16	potential event?
17	Q Yes, and then the inclusion of
18	transmission lines.
19	A Yes. There are several stages
20	during the process where, given the
21	complexity of the transmission system, we are
22	evaluating the potential full scope of
23	transmission assets will be, so upon initial
24	scope
25	MS. SHERIFF: Your Honor, excuse me. I
26	asked if that's what happened in the fall of
27	2019.
28	ALJ ALLEN: I think you both kind of

wandered around. Why don't you clarify 1 2. exactly what you're asking, and she can answer that?] 3 BY MS. SHERIFF: 4 5 Are you aware that some customers serve the transmission level were told in 6 7 October 2019 that their facilities would not be in the scope of the pending PSPS events, 9 but they actually were within the scope, and 10 they were shutoff without any notice? 11 MR. RUTTEN: Objection. Foundation. 12 ALJ ALLEN: Overruled. THE WITNESS: I am not familiar with 13 14 specific customer -- transmission level 15 customers who experienced that. I did read 16 in the data request provided by CLECA some 17 assertion that that was the case. BY MS. SHERIFF: 18 So on page 6-11, at lines 12 to 13, 19 2.0 you talk about the incident command structure 21 and the monitoring situation and continuous 22 updating. Has PG&E considered sharing these 2.3 continuous updates directly with the actual 24 account reps who are dealing with customers 25 in real time during events? So not to a 26 strategy officer but directly to an account 27 rep? 2.8 The way that the incident command Α

structure works within our EOC is the 1 2. information is cascaded accordingly 3 throughout the sections that require it. Would you consider cutting out some 4 5 of those cascading steps to provide information on a more timely basis directly 6 7 to the people who need it? We strive to follow incident А 8 9 command structure in all of our emergency 10 response events. We are executing them as 11 quickly as possible, and we believe that that 12 system is the most efficient on delivering on the objectives of the program. 13 14 So is that a no? 0 15 I believe that we are almost Δ 16 directly through the organization of the EOC 17 and incident command structure effectively 18 communicating to customer account managers 19 who are then communicating with our 2.0 customers. 21 ALJ ALLEN: So, Ms. Sheriff, you went 22 over your eight-minute estimate. Do you know 2.3 how much more you have? 24 MS. SHERIFF: I have four more 25 questions. At page 6-12, lines 24 to 25, you 26 27 state that: 2.8 The utility has implemented

measure to mitigate the
impact of PSPS events on
its customers.
So are those measures that have
already been implemented?
A Yes.
Q Have they been tested?
A Yes. Many of them have.
Q Which ones have not?
A All of these actually have been
executed. They were all executed in the
fall.
Q Yes. However, there were some
failures in that execution. So you have not
retested?
A We recognize, given the scale of
the events that occurred in the fall of 2018,
that there were improvements that we could
make to the overall process. And we are
working now to stand up those improvements so
that in execution in 2020 going forward we
will be better, smarter, and faster.
Q Okay. At line 28 you include the
phrase "as possible."
A On which page?
Q 6-12, line 28.
A Mh-hm.
Q What do you mean by "as possible"?

2.8

Given that the dynamic nature of 1 Α 2. weather, which is what's driving PSPS events, we are reliant on weather forecast and the 3 timeliness of those when they change 5 dictating when we are able to both scope the 6 event and then convey information accordingly to our stakeholders. So does this still mean that a 9 customer could lose power with actually no 10 notice during a PSPS event? 11 Α There is the potential. While PG&E 12 strives to ensure that we are able to quickly 13 analyze any forecast changes in how that 14 translates to the system and therefore to the 15 customers affected to do that analysis as 16 quickly as possible and execute on 17 notifications accordingly. 18 Weather is a very dynamic system. 19 If there are sudden changes, there could be 2.0 the potential that we would potentially have 21 a scope change and not be able to notify in 22 advance. 2.3 The priority of the program is to 24 mitigate catastrophic fire risk while 25 minimizing the public safety risk of 26 deenergization. And we feel that

notification is key to that. So we'll always

strive to do that as possible.

1	Q One last question. You mentioned
2	weather as being the factor that would
3	implicate whether or not customers get
4	notice. Is there any other possible factor
5	that might result in a customer not getting
6	notice and being shutoff by PG&E?
7	A Scoping of the PSPS event is
8	complex, so, yes. The timeliness of weather
9	forecasts and how often they change and how
10	dynamic they are is certainly one major
11	factor.
12	The other is in our execution
13	processes. So I mentioned that there were
14	lessons learned in the fall events that we
15	realized we can work to improve to automate
16	some of the aspects of our scoping process to
17	improve them and make them a bit more timely.
18	Q So weather and automation?
19	A Weather, our scoping process, and
20	our notification process, yes.
21	MS. SHERIFF: Thank you.
22	Thank you, your Honor. I appreciate
23	your indulgence. I have no further
24	questions.
25	ALJ ALLEN: Thank you, Ms. Sheriff.
26	Mr. Long.
27	MR. LONG: Thank you.
28	ALJ ALLEN: Use the microphone, please.

1	CROSS-EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. LONG:
3	Q Good afternoon. I'm Tom Long with
4	TURN.
5	Ms. Powell, I think it's your turn
6	for questions.
7	MR. RUTTEN: If I could, can I just
8	clarify? Are questions being directed to
9	individual witnesses or the panel as a whole?
10	I thought your Honor said the panel as a
11	whole.
12	ALJ ALLEN: Well, they can be either
13	way I think if you know what the specific
14	witness is. Given that PG&E has identified
15	specific witnesses for specific sections, if
16	there's questions aimed specifically at that
17	section, it's fine to identify.
18	If one of the other witnesses wishes
19	to add on to that or wishes to respond,
20	witness can do that.
21	MR. RUTTEN: Thank you.
22	MR. LONG: The reason I identified
23	Ms. Powell was because I'm asking questions
24	about the section that she has sponsored.
25	Q Ms. Powell, in particular I'd like
26	to ask you some questions about the section
27	C, which is headed "Organizational Structure
28	and Governance." And on pages 6-2 and 6-3

there you identify a number of organizations 1 2. that have a role in wildfire safety; is that 3 correct? WITNESS POWELL: That is correct. 4 I hope you have with you the 5 6 response to TURN Data Request 17-3 and the 7 attachment, which has been marked as Cross-Examination Exhibit X-02. I asked your 9 counsel to make sure that you were supplied with that. 10 I believe I have that in front of 11 Δ me, which includes a whole bunch of 12 organization charts. 13 14 Yes. So 17-3 the question 15 identified that same part C we just looked 16 at. And asked to provide an organization chart that shows the responsibilities and 17 18 reporting relationships of the positions and 19 organization, et cetera. 2.0 (Reporter clarification.) BY MR. LONG: 21 22 Starting over. That question asked 2.3 you to provide an organization chart that 24 shows responsibilities and reporting 25 relationships of those various organizations 26 mentioned in part C. Do you see that? 27 Are you on page 3, question 3? Α 2.8 That's right. Q

1	A Yes, I see that.
2	Q Okay. And then the response is
3	supposed to supply the organization chart
4	that is attached to this exhibit; is that
5	right?
6	A Correct.
7	Q Okay. So beginning with your
8	organization, I believe that's shown on
9	page 622 of this organization chart. You're
10	one of the boxes underneath the senior vice
11	president, Mr. Lewis.
12	A On page all right.
13	Q Okay. So there's that box to the
14	far right that says CWSP and Asset Risk
15	Management. That's your organization?
16	A It is.
17	Q Okay. Now, is your organization
18	responsible for all of the programs in PG&E's
19	Wildfire Mitigation Plan?
20	A It is not.
21	Q Which organizations I am sorry.
22	Which programs in the Wildfire Mitigation
23	Plan are not covered by your organization?
24	A This is going take a couple of
25	minutes. So we are organized today in a
26	plan, do, check, kind of organization
27	structure within electric operations.
28	My organization has the planning

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

26

27

2.8

951 March 2, 2020 aspects of our work ensuring that the work 1 2. plan for the year is identified. appropriate resources are identified for that 3 work to occur. 4 We've been spending quite a bit of 5 6 time here today talking about the vegetation 7 management aspects of our program. And the Vegetation Management Program is within Ahmad 8 9 Ababneh's organization, which is the Major 10 Projects and Programs. 11 His organization also has the key 12 inspections that are done of our assets. 13 the performance of work for repairs, that 14

work -- whether it's transmission or distribution -- are performed by either Tom French's organization or Ken Wells's organization.

So we have separate organizations identifying the work to be performed as the organizations who are actually performing the work.

And then the check piece in Lisa Jordan's organization is where we have acute -- quality assurance process to go back through and make sure that we validated the work has been performed.

I think you used the term at the beginning of your answer. Was it plan, do,

Τ	cneck?
2	A Correct.
3	Q Okay. Where does your organization
4	fit into that scheme?
5	A My organization has the plan
6	function.
7	Q And planning for the Wildfire
8	Mitigation Plan Programs?
9	A It's an interesting way to ask the
10	question. So last year putting together the
11	Wildfire Mitigation Plan Programs was done in
12	a in response a very heightened effort
13	that we pulled a whole organization together
14	to respond to. And that was a separate
15	effort from the rest of the work that was
16	performed by the organization. It was
17	essentially a mini emergency operations
18	center that was in play for the
19	essentially the entire year.
20	When electric operations organized,
21	they reorganized at the end of 2019
22	recognizing that the level of effort that we
23	had in '19 would not be sustainable nor
24	affordable for a long-term view. We took
25	those emergent functions then we embedded
26	them into the organization and the
27	organizations under the plan, do, check,
28	function.

So my organization performs the 1 2. planning for all of the work that is completed within electric operations. 3 Do you do planning work for 4 5 enhanced vegetation management? 6 We identify the priority miles 7 based on risk that are required to have worked performed. And then the work is --8 9 that work package is handed off to the Major 10 Projects and Programs Organization for 11 execution. 12 So is it fair to say you're the 13 "plan" part of the EDM work? 14 Α Correct. 15 Okay. Would that be the case also 0 16 for say the Wildfire Safety Inspection 17 Program? You're the plan part of that 18 program? 19 That's where it gets fun. Α The 2.0 Wildfire Safety Inspection Program as we had 21 it dubbed in 2019 has now been incorporated 22 into our regular work processes. So it's no 2.3 longer a standalone program. 24 But there's someone that -- so 0 25 there's nobody that does -- that's not a 26 plan, do, check kind of program then; is that 27 right? 2.8 The components of work that were Α

2.0

2.3

part of the WISP are incorporated into our plan, do, check, function. The WISP inspections were the detailed inspections of our equipment. And we last year inspected nearly everything. Everything in our Tier 2, Tier 3 zones.

And this year we've committed to 100 percent of the assets in the Tier 3 areas, one-third of our assets in the Tier-2 areas, and then keeping our Tier-01 areas on a five-year plan. That formulates into a specific work plan that is executed on in the major programs -- project and programs area.

Q So if I'm understanding you, your organization does not have responsibility anymore for Wildfire Safety Inspection Program; is that right?

A I would say we have the responsibility for planning that the work is going to occur. And we also have the reporting responsibility of what work has been completed to the higher levels of the corporation.

Q And sticking with that program, the Wildfire Safety Inspection Program, I think you said that that primarily comes under Major Project and Programs now; is that right?

So the inspections are performed in 1 Α 2. the Major Projects and Programs Organization. Any repairs or work that is identified to be 3 performed is performed in the Electric 4 Transmission Operations through the Electric 5 6 Distribution Operations Organizations. 7 Okay. So if we look at major -we're back on 622 of the organization chart, 8 9 page 622. It's the third row, far left box 10 is the Major Project and Programs 11 Organization; correct? 12 Α Correct. 13 And that directs us to page 623, 0 14 which is the next page. So then which boxes 15 on that page would be Wildfire Safety 16 Inspection Program boxes? 17 Depending on how you consider the Α 18 Wildfire Safety Inspection. If you're 19 talking about our equipment and the specific equipment inspections that occur there? 2.0 21 Let's start with transmission. 22 is doing transmission inspections? Which box? 2.3 24 Α Transmission and distribution 25 inspections are in the director of system 26 inspections for the utility. That's line 3 27 under Mary Hvistendahl. 2.8 That's both transmission and Q Okay.

2.

2.0

2.3

2.8

distribution you say?

A Correct.

Q And back on your testimony page 6-3, line 1, talking about your team. You're saying your team is primarily responsible for engineering, fire prevention, et cetera. What do you mean by engineering there?

A So my organization has the -- we have the asset management function for the organization. And it's part of the asset management function of the organization.

There are engineers in our team who perform risk analysis who understand the health of our equipment and formulate the plans for the work that gets performed on the equipment.

Q And then continuing on to line 2, from line 1 to line 2, you use the term "fire prevention and mitigation." That's a broad term. What specifically are you -- is your group responsible for?

A So inside the organization under -inside the organization, we have protocols
that we put in place during fire season that
define processes whereby employees can
actually perform work or are stood down from
performing work based on the potential of
fire threat. It's based on weather and

temperature condition and dryness of fuels. 1 So we have a procedure that govern how that 2. work would be performed. 3 We have crews whose function is for 4 infracture protection. And they have skills 5 similar to firemen, but they are not actual 6 7 firemen, but they do more infrastructure protection work for us. 8 9 Q Now, going back to Wildfire 10 Mitigation Plan Programs, where would we find 11 the responsible entity in your organization 12 chart for Grid Hardening Programs? 13 So for the Grid Hardening Programs, 14 my team would identify the sections of grid 15 that would require to be hardened. And those 16 get turned over to the Major Projects and Programs for engineering and construction. 17 18 Sometimes other crews would do that, but the 19 majority of that work gets performed in MPMP. 2.0 One last question that's a followup 21 from the Center for Accessible Technologies' 22 questions. 23 This may be for you, 24 Ms. Maratukulam, which is: Who is 25 responsible for keeping customers safe in PSPS events as best PG&E is able? 26 27 WITNESS MARATUKULAM: PG&E strives to

ensure the safety of communities we serve

2.8

2.

2.0

2.3

2.8

during PSPS events largely through in advance of the season. Ensuring that they're aware of the potential of them, the preparedness efforts they can and should be taking, and then during events through our notification directly to customers with the potential of being affected.

Q Okay. I understand that. But, again, it's a question of accountability and responsibility. Is that your organization that has that responsibility?

A My organization has billed the processes and procedures in place for executing an event. And that includes notification to affected customers. The safety of our communities I think is a joint and shared responsibility across many organizations from state to city and county officials.

Q This is a lot of words. But really my question's quite simple. Which organization of PG&E is responsible for keeping customers safe in a PSPS event as best PG&E is able? Is that yours?

A In keeping them safe as much as we can through ensuring they're aware of a potential event, yes. That is within our PSPS organization executed through our EOC.

1	Our customer section within our Emergency
2	Operation Center.
3	Q Okay. Thank you.
4	MR. LONG: That's all of my questions.
5	ALJ ALLEN: Thank you.
6	Mr. Alcantar?
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. ALCANTAR:
9	Q Good afternoon. My name is Michael
10	Alcantar. I represent the Energy Producers
11	and Users Coalition in this proceeding as
12	well for the purposes of this proceeding
13	Indicated Shippers.
14	Ms. Powell, I would like to explore
15	with you the paragraph that is on page 1 of
16	your testimony, PG&E-01, page 6-1, beginning
17	at line 20. That paragraph introduces your
18	exposure if you will I'm laughing to
19	your knowledge of AB 1054.
20	You agree do you not that AB 1054
21	provides for certain protections for
22	ratepayers from costs that may be incurred by
23	PG&E associated with wildfire liabilities and
24	bankruptcy exposure?
25	MR. RUTTEN: Object. It's lacking
26	foundation. Calling for legal conclusion.
27	ALJ ALLEN: Overruled.
28	She can answer if she knows.

WITNESS POWELL: I don't have a legal 1 2 background. BY MR. ALCANTAR: 3 I'm not asking for -- I'm asking 4 0 5 for what your stated understanding is right 6 here in your testimony? 7 Α Although what I know and have heard about AB 1054 -- what I have heard is that 8 9 the company will not negatively impact our 10 customers through these proceedings. 11 0 Do you know the term "baseline" as 12 it's referred to in AB 1054? 13 Α I do not. 14 So you're not aware of which costs, 15 but just generally there are some costs that 16 this statutory protection applies for 17 ratepayers; is that a fair statement? 18 А It is. 19 Do you have any question that the 2.0 Commission will determine whether or not 21 actions that are undertaken by your 22 organization are deemed just, reasonable, and 2.3 recoverable from ratepayers? 24 Α I am aware that there are 25 proceedings for recovery of costs associated 26 with some of the work that we perform that do 27 enter into a separate proceeding of the CPUC. 2.8 Beyond that high-level snippet of knowledge,

1	I do not have the details.
2	Q So what do you think this
3	proceeding is about in respect with
4	respect to the recovery of such costs?
5	A I think that's outside the scope of
6	my chapter of testimony.
7	Q So this is the level of your
8	understanding I'll leave that alone.
9	Do you have a spreadsheet, or were
10	you aware of any information that's been
11	provided in this record by you or others that
12	would identify the total cost for the
13	programs you're overseeing with respect to
14	wildfire plans?
15	A I have not specifically seen a
16	spreadsheet regarding those costs.
17	Q Do you know what total costs PG&E
18	has expended for the programs you're
19	overseeing to date that it will seek recovery
20	for?
21	A I don't have a breakdown of that.
22	Q Do you have a round figure about
23	what it is?
24	A I don't know the 2019 costs. I
25	know the costs of implementation of our work
26	in 2020 what they are projected to be.
27	Q Okay. What is that?
28	A It's projected to be about

1	\$3.2 billion.
2	Q Okay. You've used the term a few
3	times in your testimony. The term "repairs."
4	Can you distinguish the term repairs from
5	hardening?
6	A I can. So when you think about
7	repairs of a piece of equipment, take your
8	car. Do you have a vehicle?
9	Q I do have a vehicle. I have a few
10	of them.
11	A Okay. So when your car gives you a
12	warning light that tells you it needs to go
13	in and be repaired, you do that generally;
14	right?
15	ALJ ALLEN: Let's make sure
16	Mr. Alcantar is asking the questions.
17	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I'm trying to
18	explain in sort of lay terms.
19	ALJ ALLEN: Ask if Mr. Alcantar
20	understands how his car works.
21	THE WITNESS: Okay. Good. So same
22	thing with our assets. We inspect them.
23	When we inspect them, we identify conditions
24	that require something to be done about them.
25	We schedule and perform that work. That's
26	what I consider a repair.
27	When you think about system
28	hardening, system hardening is whether we're

2.

2.0

2.3

2.8

putting in composite poles; whether we're putting in wires that have an insulating material on the outside so that there can't be vegetation contact with them that might spark some kind of interaction. Those are the kinds of things where even undergrounding our infrastructure are items that are considered hardening.

analogy back. Let's assume I'm PG&E, and I'm operating a grid and I happen to serve the area that is commonly now referred to as Paradise. And I have equipment there that has failed. If you will, I ran my car over somebody. And now I'm seeking recovery of costs to repair the transmission towers -- repair and replace the transmission towers, the type of wiring, the system that was there that caused the catastrophic damage. Is that a grid-hardening expense that you expect to recover? Or is that a repair liability that you as PG&E shareholders will bare?

A I have to be perfectly honest. I do not know the cost treatment of the infrastructure work being performed in the Paradise area.

Q Well, would it help you if it was not the Paradise area? Let's just assume

it's a PG&E piece of equipment that causes 1 injury to someone or something, and it needs 2. 3 to be repaired. Is that a cost that you would classify as grid hardening and subject 5 to potential recovery from ratepayers? 6 a repair for liabilities that PG&E has for 7 its shareholders? When we have equipment repair that Α 9 is necessary, those items are typically 10 funded through our rate case. 11 Yes. And I'm asking you a 12 distinction between what's typical. I don't 13 think it's typical that we start wildfires. 14 So, you have a repair that you must fulfill 15 and you make no distinction between grid 16 hardening and repair recovery in those 17 circumstances is, I think, what I'm hearing 18 from your testimony; is that fair? 19 I'm not sure I have the financial 2.0 background to make a determination to answer 21 your question. 22 MR. ALCANTAR: Your Honor, given the 2.3 responses to those questions, I have nothing 24 further. 25 ALJ ALLEN: Thank you, Mr. Alcantar. 26 Mr. Strauss, did you have some 27 questions? 28 MR. STRAUSS: Yes, your Honor, thank

1 you. 2. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. STRAUSS: 3 My name is Ariel Strauss. I'm here 4 0 on behalf of SBUA, Small Business Utility 5 6 Advocates. I have a question for the panel 7 because I don't know the best person to direct this question to, and that question is 9 regarding the overall price tag to the public 10 for the 2019 PSPS de-energization events. 11 Does PG&E have a calculation for the overall impact to the public of those 12 13 events? 14 WITNESS MARATUKULAM: I can answer. 15 No, PG&E does not have a calculation of 16 estimated cost to the public. 17 Thank you. Previously the holding 0 18 company CEO testified that he expects that 19 the need for PSPS events could be eliminated 2.0 in the coming 10 years. I understand that 21 means that certain work could be done to the 22 infrastructure that would eliminate the need 2.3 for PSPS events; is that correct? 2.4 I'll object as MR. RUTTEN: 25 mischaracterizing the testimony. 26 ALJ ALLEN: Overruled. 27 WITNESS MARATUKULAM: I'm not specifically aware of the exact language that 2.8

the CEO used in describing that projection. 1 2. I can say that PG&E is working diligently to minimize the impacts of PSPS events going 3 forward starting now working on asset-based solutions to minimize the scope, frequency, 5 and duration. 6 BY MR. STRAUSS: So my question is without regard to 9 any previous testimony, is it possible to eliminate the need for PSPS events based on 10 11 activity to be conducted and changes to be 12 made to the transmission or other equipment that PG&E now holds? 13 14 WITNESS MARATUKULAM: I believe PG&E 15 has an opportunity to significantly reduce 16 the impact and potential for PSPS events, but the reality of it is these are weather-driven 17 18 events. We do not know the severity of 19 whether that will occur in the future that 2.0 could require de-energization. 21 0 Okay. Ms. Maratukulam, on page 22 6-13 of your testimony sponsored, you state: For the 2020 wildfire 2.3 2.4 season as a result of 25 leveraging more granular 26 data and deploying 27 additional 2.8 sectionalization devices,

1	field team
2	pre-positioning
3	microgrids and other
4	activities, the utility
5	is targeting to reduce
6	the number of customers
7	affected by individual
8	PSPS events by nearly
9	one-third.
LO	Is this a fair representation to
L1	say that that one-third target is based on a
L2	retrospective study of actions that could
L3	have been taken to limit the effect of the
L4	October and November 2019 PSPS events?
L5	A That analysis was performed at the
L6	end of the season looking back at our largest
L7	event, October 26th, and the impact, scope,
L8	and scale of that event specifically.
L9	A case study was performed to
20	evaluate if we had various mitigation
21	measures in place, and which are described
22	there, what would the potential reduction in
23	customer impact be. And from that case study
24	specifically, the one-third number was
25	derived.
26	Q And will all those activities that
27	were identified be conducted in 2020 across
2.8	all the areas in which PSPS events could

occur?

2.

2.0

2.3

2.8

A Yes. So while the case study was performed on the 10-26 event specifically, we are working to operationalize those mitigation efforts across the high-fire threat district.

Q And is it on target to be completed in 2020?

A As Debbie alluded to, the execution of a lot of this asset-based work is it sits within other organizations and I'm not familiar with their current work progress.

Q Would you then say that the target of a one-third reduction in impact or the number of customers impacted is speculative?

A It is based on that case study in particular, so that one-third reduction would be reached if we had the same event occur in the same area. Weather is dynamic. We will see how this year plays out, where it plays out, and to what severity.

Q Thank you. In response to
Ms. Kasnitz's line of questioning,
Ms. Maratukulam, you confirmed you're of the
public harm of the PSPS event. Has PG&E
assessed the risks of specific negative
public safety outcomes of PSPS events?

A Broadly we look across our customer

safety risks?

2.8

base at the potential effects of 1 2. de-energization and are working with our customers to identify them and ensure that 3 preparedness efforts are put in place. 4 5 But with respect to specific 6 events, so let's say has PG&E been in touch 7 with CHP to identify whether there have been more car accidents during PSPS events? 8 9 Α No. I don't believe that we have 10 attempted to quantify the effects from other 11 agencies. We are working to -- we stood up a 12 series of listening sessions coming out of the fall events to visit all of the affected 13 14 cities and counties and to hear from them 15 feedback of what they would like to see 16 executed differently. 17 So, I would imagine, though I haven't seen the results of those listening 18 19 sessions, that there was some feedback 2.0 received or input given in terms of the 21 facts. Our goal with those listening 22 sessions is to learn how we can improve going forward. 2.3 2.4 And without that data, the specific 0 25 data on specific outcomes, how does PG&E 26 determine that the benefits of 27 de-energization outweigh potential public

Each event is dynamic so we're 1 Α 2. working during the event to reach out to local officials, city and county agencies, 3 and first responders, to make them aware of the event and learn of what the potential 5 6 effects could be. That is brought into our 7 decision-making awareness of what we are informed of as potential effects. 9 Q Did you just say "during the 10 event"? 11 Α Yes. 12 My question pertains to prior to 13 the event in terms of decision making about 14 whether de-energization will be initiated or 15 not. 16 Α In advance of events, we're working to mitigate those potential effects so when 17 18 it comes down to making the actual decision, 19 we really do require that we have the 2.0 scenario in front of us to know what risks we have to balance. 21 22 Q Thank you. 2.3 That completes my questions, your 24 Honor. 25 ALJ ALLEN: Thank you. 26 Mr. Abrams, any other cross? 27 Mr. Abrams, go ahead. Thank you, your Honor. 2.8 MR. ABRAMS:

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

26

27

2.8

CROSS-EXAMINATION 1 BY MR. ABRAMS: 2 Thank you, panel. I have some 3 Q questions just following up on some of the 4 previous questions in this proceeding. 5 6 Specifically, Ms. Powell, you mentioned sort of this plan to check 7 differentiation. As I look through the 8 9 testimony in the section, one thing that 10 struck me is that it's a description of past 11 activities or current activities. Given that this is a Plan of Reorganization and you are 12 the head of that planning function, I was 13 14 expecting to see more planning represented in 15 the testimony. 16 Can you explain, please, why there 17

can you explain, please, why there wasn't more planning and future and a discussion about what's going to happen going forward in the testimony?

WITNESS POWELL: When I think about the Chapter 6 as part of the plan of the reorganization and the concerns in the state about wildfire mitigation and Public Safety Power Shutoff, we felt those topics and the plan of what we are doing, which we referenced, the Wildfire Mitigation Plan, which is a very large document describing in a lot of detail the work that we're

2.

2.0

2.3

2.8

performing in 2020 and beyond to mitigate the potential effects of wildfire, this seemed most relevant given the Plan of Reorganization.

Q So the bankruptcy and the Plan of Reorganization is in response to -- and the reason why PG&E is in bankruptcy -- is in response to a poor track record to this date. And so part of what I imagine the Commission and parties and the public are looking for is a course correction, is a recognition of what's transpired in the past, but a forward-looking view of here's what we're going to be doing differently going forward.

So what have you described in your testimony in terms of the planning function in the testimony that is different that will provide a level of confidence that things will change moving forward?

A I would refer you to the Wildfire Mitigation Plan, which was filed, I think, about a week after this testimony was filed. The Wildfire Mitigation Plan has more than 200 pages about what we are doing to mitigate that risk and to implement additional items in 2020 and beyond to address the wildfire threat.

Q So how has that been incorporated

into the Plan of Reorganization, which is the 1 2. official organizational framework for how that Wildfire Mitigation Plan is going to be 3 supported? Where is the forward looking 4 here's how we're going to change to address 5 what you just described? 6 7 Α I'm not sure I understand your question. 8 9 So reorganization, restructuring, 10 is a change in course. Would you not say 11 that that's a correct statement? I'd agree that it's a plan for how 12 13 the company will move forward. 14 Okay. So not a change --Q 15 I didn't say that. Α 16 Well, I'm asking. It's a question. 17 Is that not a change? 18 Α It's a plan. 19 ALJ ALLEN: Let's have one person talk 2.0 at a time. Go ahead, Mr. Abrams. 21 22 BY MR. ABRAMS: 2.3 So you said it's a plan. What I'm asking for is the Plan of Reorganization, 24 25 plan of restructuring, meant to be a change 26 in terms of how you move forward? 27 WITNESS POWELL: I could agree with 2.8 that.

1	Q Do you? I appreciate that. Do you
2	agree with that?
3	A I do.
4	Q So given that you feel like the
5	Plan of Reorganization, the plan of
6	restructuring is a change in the path ahead,
7	where in your testimony do you describe that
8	change in the plan? How are you doing things
9	differently?
10	ALJ ALLEN: Let me just ask a question
11	to clarify.
12	EXAMINATION
13	BY ALJ ALLEN:
14	Q Ms. Powell, am I correct that none
15	of the wildfire safety measures in Chapter 6
16	or their costs are actually part of the Plan
17	of Reorganization; is that correct?
18	WITNESS POWELL: Our Plan of
19	Reorganization is a financial plan that
20	includes the body of work that is included in
21	our Wildfire Mitigation Plan.
22	Q So the costs and measures in the
23	wildfire mitigation or at least the costs of
24	the Wildfire Mitigation Plan are taken into
25	account in the Plan of Reorganization;
26	correct?
27	A Correct.
28	Q But the measures in either

Chapter 6 or in the Wildfire Mitigation Plan 1 2. are not part of the Plan of Reorganization; is that correct? 3 I have to ask a question. I don't 4 Α understand what you mean by "the measures." 5 6 Well, in Chapter 6, there's 7 Enhanced Vegetation Management Program, system hardening, Wildfire Safety Inspection 9 Program, Public Safety Power Shutoff Program, 10 all of these things with the numbers. Those 11 elements, those measures, are not actually in 12 the Plan of Reorganization; is that correct? I understand that certain of those 13 14 costs may be, but the Plan of Reorganization 15 doesn't describe any of those? 16 The Plan of Reorganization doesn't Α 17 describe the very detailed plans that we have 18 in our wildfire mitigation mitigation plan. 19 Now, I know this is not your 2.0 testimony, but could you turn to page 10-3 of 21 PG&E Volume 1. On page 10-3, if you go to 22 line nine, it states: 2.3 For example, changes in 24 rates that result from 25 costs of PG&E would have 26 had to incur to improve 27 the safety of the system 2.8 regardless of whether or

1	not PG&E emerged from
2	Chapter 11 pursuant to
3	PG&E's plan are
4	independent of the plan.
5	What does that mean if you
6	understand that? It sounds like the costs of
7	these measures are not that they're
8	independent of the plan or separate and I
9	know that is Mr. Kenney's testimony.
10	A I'm sorry, I'm not an expert in
11	this area.
12	Q Thank you. So, if I understood the
13	answer, to the extent you could answer the
14	question, the specific safety measures that
15	are identified in Chapter 6 are not actually
16	incorporated into the plan specifically?
17	If I pick up the Plan of
18	Reorganization and I read it, am I going to
19	find anything about PSPS or system hardening?
20	A The Wildfire Mitigation Plan or the
21	Plan of Reorganization?
22	Q Plan of Reorganization.
23	A I haven't been at that level of
24	detail to know an answer to that question.
25	Q Thank you.
26	Go ahead, Mr. Abrams.
27	MR. ABRAMS: Thank you.
28	///

2.8

CROSS-EXAMINATION RESUMED 1 BY MR. ABRAMS: 2 Given that the bankruptcy and Plan 3 Q of Reorganization is in direct response to 4 the fact that we've had catastrophic 5 6 wildfires for which your organization is 7 charged with creating a plan to address, do you find it disconcerting that your efforts are disconnected from the Plan of 9 10 Reorganization? I don't believe our 11 WITNESS POWELL: efforts are disconnected from the Plan of 12 Reorganization. What I can't speak to are 13 14 the details of the treatment. 15 Sorry, Ms. Powell, I'm trying to 16 understand. If you, who is in charge of the planning for wildfire mitigation, don't know 17 18 to what extent to incorporate in the Plan of 19 Reorganization, then it's hard for me to 2.0 understand who would. 21 I mean, this is why -- I mean, if 22 there's some other person that we haven't 2.3 heard from yet today about how wildfire 24 mitigation is going to be treated differently 25 going forward, then please let us know. 26 would ask that we add them to the proceeding. 27 But I mean that's why -- primarily

why we're here. So can you please address

1	that. Is there somebody else who can talk to
2	how wildfire mitigation is addressed in the
3	Plan of Reorganization? Is there something
4	we're missing?
5	MR. MANHEIM: Your Honor, can we go off
6	the record for a moment?
7	ALJ ALLEN: Well, let's see if she can
8	answer the question first if she knows.
9	WITNESS POWELL: I can't.
10	ALJ ALLEN: Do you still want to have
11	an off-the-record discussion, Mr. Manheim?
12	MR. MANHEIM: Yes.
13	ALJ ALLEN: Let's go off the record.
14	(Off the record.)
15	ALJ ALLEN: On the record.
16	Mr. Manheim, I believe you offered
17	to do a clarifying statement of counsel.
18	MR. MANHEIM: Yes, your Honor, thank
19	you. I think there's been some confusion
20	about what is in PG&E's Plan of
21	Reorganization versus the testimony that PG&E
22	has submitted in this proceeding and for what
23	purpose.
24	PG&E's Plan of Reorganization is a
25	defined document. It is a plan that is
26	before the bankruptcy court, and it addresses
27	resolution of claims in the bankruptcy court.
28	The plan itself does not incorporate the

2.8

Wildfire Mitigation Plan. 1 It does not 2. include any costs associated with PG&E's 3 operations. It solely addresses the resolution 4 5 of claims and the financing and the raising 6 of capital to pay those claims. In this 7 proceeding, the Commission is addressing the standards in AB-1054 which requires the 8 9 Commission to make a number of findings with 10 respect to that Plan of Reorganization. 11 As in Ms. Powell's testimony on page 12 6-1, as Mr. Alcantar, I believe, asked, it identifies a provision of AB-1054 on page 13 14 6-1, line 20, which is Public Utilities Code 15 Section 3292(b)(1)(c), which requires the 16 Commission in evaluating the reorganization 17 plan and associated documents to consider 18 whether the resulting governance structure is 19 acceptable in light of the utility's safety 2.0 history, criminal probation, recent financial 21 condition, and other factors deemed relevant 22 by the Commission. 2.3 It's in this respect that PG&E is 24 offering testimony about its Wildfire 25 Mitigation Plan and it's ethics and 26 compliance program and it's risk program. 27 We've heard testimony from several witnesses,

these are all aspects of PG&E's proposal to

1 the Commission in how it will be improving 2. its safety focus and improving its culture. That is provided so that the 3 Commission can evaluate the Plan of 4 5 Reorganization with respect to these other programs. We are not seeking cost recovery 6 7 of the wildfire mitigation program as part of the Commission's decision in this proceeding. 8 9 There are no costs associated with wildfire 10 mitigation in this proceeding. 11 The only costs addressed by the Plan 12 of Reorganization are the costs associated 13 with wildfire claims which are resolved 14 through that proceeding. 15 ALJ ALLEN: Thank you, Mr. Manheim. 16 Mr. Abrams, is that clarifying? 17 MR. ABRAMS: Not really, your Honor. 18 So here's my clarifying question, 0 19 and whomever wants to answer it can. 2.0 clarifying request is this: Unlike that 21 characterization of the Plan of 22 Reorganization, part of what a Plan of 2.3 Reorganization must show is sound business 24 judgment. It's a forward-looking description 25 of what that business judgment is and that is 26 a foundational principal of the Plan of 27 Reorganization. 2.8 Similarly, that aligns to what the

Commission is looking at, which is the safety 1 2. culture, which is being able to have a plan 3 that demonstrates that functionally that looking forward that we're actually going to 4 5 have a safety culture within PG&E that's 6 responsive that's different from what led them into bankruptcy. So all of this is very 7 relevant and I don't see it described in this 8 9 section. 10 ALJ ALLEN: Well, I have to say the 11 Commission's safety culture proceeding did 12 get somewhat interrupted by the bankruptcy. Well, given that, I'm not sure you're going 13 14 to get much of what you're looking for from 15 this panel. If you have some specific 16 questions, feel free to ask them, but 17 otherwise we may need to move along. I think 18 certainly in any briefing you do on this 19 topic, you're certainly welcome to use the 2.0 statement of Mr. Manheim and the answers you 21 got from these witnesses to make whatever 22 arguments you want regarding the adequacy of 2.3 the testimony and/or the Plan of 24 Reorganization. But I'm not sure you're 25 going to actually get much more on 1 26 cross-examination. 27 MR. ABRAMS: I will -- I will try. 2.8 if I can have a little more time, please,

1 to --2. ALJ ALLEN: Try briefly. MR. ABRAMS: Okay. I think I did, for 3 this panel, have -- anyway, but, I -- I will 4 5 try to move this as quickly as possible. So, Ms. Powell, continuing along 6 7 with your analogy of the car, I appreciate that, and what I want to understand is what 8 9 are those things within your plan that are 10 like my car that are going to be based on 11 telemetry-type devices and provide some of 12 that smart grid development over the future, looking into the future, and what it's going 13 14 to entail with that type of intelligence 15 looking forward? 16 WITNESS PENDER: I can take that one. 17 Our Wildfire Mitigation Plan has a lot more 18 detail on emerging technologies or 19 alternative technologies that we're 2.0 leveraging in our system to help inform the wildfire risk and further reduce that 21 22 wildfire risk. And so, while there's only a 2.3 little bit mentioned here, of course, Mr. Abrams, you're aware of our extensive 24 25 Wildfire Mitigation Plan that was filed last 26 month, in February, where we talk about 27 technologies ranging from commercially 2.8 available that we are installing on our

2.8

1 system, piloting our system, to the R&D 2. phase, right, or -- or in the research phase 3 of just learning about how certain tools could be leveraged to understand our system 4 even better and further reduce the risk. 5 as you talk about telemetry and those kind of 6 7 intelligence tools, we have a section on There are also areas of predictive 9 modeling that we are using the data streams 10 that we currently have to give us an earlier 11 alarm bell that something on our system may 12 not be working correctly, and gives us extra 13 insight to go repair that piece of equipment 14 or identify if a replacement is necessary. So we can talk in more detail, if you're 15 16 interested in particular ones, but we've --17 we've outlined the asset replacement 18 activities like grid hardening, the 19 inspection program, which provides visual 2.0 either through photos or the naked eye, and 21 then we're also exploring those advanced 22 technologies or alternative technologies to 2.3 make the grid and our understanding of it 24 better. 25 Thank you. So I'm just looking 0 26

Q Thank you. So I'm just looking through my questions here to make sure I understand which still apply, given the back and forth that we just had.

27

28

So Ms. Kasnitz earlier asked 1 2. regarding how you assess the risks associated with the power shutoffs and the risks that 3 PG&E faces with that, and comparatively look 5 at what the risks are to shutting off the 6 power, and what a resident might do to start 7 a fire or cause an ignition. Can you help us understand how you 8 9 quantify that? How do you quantify your risk 10 versus the risk of the public starting the 11 fire? WITNESS MARATUKULAM: 12 PG&E does not. 13 have a formula by which we attempt to 14 quantify either the risk of the catastrophic 15 fire versus public safety risk. We're 16 focused on mitigating both aspects of that 17 risk to the best of our abilities. 18 So given that that's not a 19 quantified estimate where it's 20 percent 2.0 risk for PG&E, but ten percent risk for the 21 public, and therefore, you make a decision 22 based on that, can you please tell us how you 2.3 evaluate that, I quess, in a very subjective 24 way, to determine what those risks are? How 25 do you -- how do you determine that?

A I wouldn't characterize it as subjective. Our -- our evaluation of the potential risk of catastrophic fire during

1	these high-risk weather events is
2	data-driven. It is based on essentially two
3	main factors, the potential for what we call
4	our outage-producing winds, a wind to cause
5	an outage on our system, which is essentially
6	a proxy for a potential ignition, along with
7	the potential for, should ignition occur, a
8	fire to spread catastrophically. We measure
9	that on what we call our fire potential
10	index. Both of these are described in our
11	Wildfire Mitigation Plan. Our meteorology
12	and fire science team is monitoring the
13	conditions of weather forecasts constantly,
14	even now, especially during wildfire season,
15	for the potential concurrence of those two
16	factors, and when they when they do occur
17	on the forecast and currently is when we
18	start to evaluate initiation of a PSPS event.
19	Given the potential scope of that event, as I
20	mentioned before, we started doing our
21	outreach to the city and county officials and
22	public safety partners to make them both
23	aware of the potential event and to gather
24	information on what impacts may occur as a
25	result of de-energization.
26	Q Thank you. It's really the
27	assessment that I'm trying to focus on here.
28	And so the criteria that you just described

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

26

27

2.8

are the same criteria for PG&E as it is for the public. So if you just described wind and weather -- and all of those things are going to exist where my home is located and where the power line is above my home.

So my question is -- is: How are you assessing the relative risk of PG&E versus the public? So what are those measures that you look at? So the measure of, you know, someone running out and generators and looking at the statistics associated with what is the likelihood of a generator-caused fire or a campfire that somebody's trying to cook their food before the food goes bad in their refrigerator, all sorts of risks associated with the public during power shutoffs. So how -- you know, none of what you described there gets to that.

How do you take those factors into consideration, based on the events that you have before you, for a particular shutoff?

A PG&E is not attempting to ensure that the public broadly does not create fire risk. I think that is -- it is a -- an onus of ours to ensure that our equipment does not ignite fires. We do work to educate our customers on potential fire risks associated

2.

2.0

2.3

2.8

with de-energization, largely around generator use. But, we do rely on our local officials, CAL FIRE and other firefighting agencies to broadly ensure that the public is aware, even outside of de-energization, of the potential of fires in California being sparked from a variety of sources.

Q So given that, those risks are not calculated or known to PG&E or not factored in, are you not concerned, as a customer or someone who's living in PG&E territory, that given that you're only assessing one side of the equation, which are the risks to PG&E or the risks that PG&E could cause, and not the other side of the equation that there could be a extreme weather event where it actually would be better, might be still risky for PG&E, to some extent, but the risks are higher for the public in terms of what their actions are, and that you're not looking at that at all? Is that not a concern of yours?

A I don't think it's a fair characterization to say that we're not looking at it at all. I think that our outreach campaigns and education around fire season awareness and partnership with local fire councils point to us recognizing that fire risk needs to be recognized broadly

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

26

27

2.8

across California. But, our focus during
PSPS events is to ensure that our assets do
not ignite a catastrophic fire.

Q Mr. Pender, you mentioned in your testimony a little bit earlier that you are -- have a quality assurance program, and that you have a quality control program.

That's a little different than my understanding.

So can you help me understand what is -- makes a quality assurance program and a quality control program, and how those are different?

WITNESS PENDER: So as it relates to our vegetation management programs, we have quality assurance programs for both our routine vegetation management and our enhanced vegetation management. Those quality assurance programs do take a sample of the work that we've performed or of the areas in our system, and assess those locations from just comparing the vegetation in those areas as compared to our standards or the regulatory requirements. And so, regardless of how recently those areas were worked or who performed the work, those -that quality assurance assessment is trying to assess the entire process, our -- our

whole process of activity, to see if the 1 2. outcomes in our system match what we desire. 3 Right? And so did the -- was the process successful in giving us what we wanted, in 4 5 the terms of routine veg management, are the 6 trees far enough away from our lines to not 7 violate the minimum compliance requirements. Quality control is much closer to the 8 9 performance of the work, and is assessing did 10 each person in the process do what their job 11 was; so did the pre-inspector identify the 12 right trees to then be trimmed, did the tree 13 trimming company tree the -- trim the trees 14 or remove the trees to our standard and in 15 the way that they were instructed. And so 16 the quality control, also called work 17 verification for our enhanced vegetation 18 management program, is the caboose behind our 19 work process, behind our tree trimmers doing 2.0 the work, our work -- our QC, or work 21 verification inspectors, are following right 22 behind them to check the work, and make sure 2.3 that things were performed and each person 24 did their job correctly. So together those 25 two activities, the kind of similar and --26 and related, provide us a more robust 27 perspective on the performance of our 2.8 process. QC provides us an insight into are

28

people in the process doing the right things, 1 2. and QA tries to provide a higher level 3 perspective in the overall process getting us the outcomes we want. 4 So the traditional 5 Okav. 6 description of quality control as a 7 measurement tool in the measure is not something that PG&E considers a quality 8 9 control? You look at it differently? 10 Α I don't understand the question. 11 Sorry. 12 So quality control, in a total 13 quality management plan, is typically the 14 tool that you're measuring with, the 15 monitoring tool, if you will, along with the 16 measure that you are looking at, wind speed or system hardening or whatever that measure 17 18 is; and so that's the quality control that's 19 part of your quality assurance program. 2.0 So are you saying that the quality 21 controls that you're talking about are not 22 part of your quality assurance program, like 23 a total quality management plan? 24 We would consider our overall Α 25 quality program to include our quality control efforts and our quality assurance 26

process, programs, as our comprehensive view

of quality, particularly in the space of

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

26

27

2.8

enhanced vegetation management; so QC -- as I outlined previously, QC being more narrowly focused on each piece of the puzzle doing its job, and QA looking over all of the process.

Q How do you ensure PSPS notifications are effective?

WITNESS MARATUKULAM: Our goal is to ensure that customers are notified in advance of events, and we strive to provide as clear communication about the potential timing and impacts of the events in those communications. We did learn coming out of the large-scale events that we conducted last year -- we received a lot of feedback on how to improve those, and our customer organization has been reaching out to customers in a variety of different ways to get an idea on what kinds of information they want to see in those notifications. those venues include customer focus groups across the service territory to get direct feedback on their experience and working through sort of, you know, sessions with customers, again, so that we can work to improve the process of notifications and the information that we're providing accordingly.

Q Earlier you indicated that you're not sure what the next wildfire season will

hold.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

26

27

2.8

As PG&E's looking ahead to this wildfire season, given the fact that, at least from what I've looked at, the precipitation levels for February are record lows, what is your expectation about the risks going into this wildfire season?

I'm not a meteorologist, so I can't prognosticate on what fire season's going to look at -- look like. I agree with you. I'm concerned that we haven't had as much precipitation as we would like. encouraged by seeing a little bit over the Dryness is one factor, the amount weekend. of fuels available to potentially contribute to a catastrophic fire is another aspect, and then just the number of wind events that come through, which we have no visibility into the potential of. So it's hard to come up with even a quess. I don't know that you could pin any meteorologist down right now with an estimate of what -- what the season would look like.

Q So with your first iteration of the Wildfire Mitigation Plan, you filed a second amendment to that plan, and in that second amendment, among other things, you changed targets, you crossed out results as the basis

2.

2.0

2.3

2.8

for how you would assess vegetation
management. And the Commission did not move
forward with that amendment, but through that
process, it seemed that right before wildfire
season there was a changing of the goalposts.

How do we ensure through the plan

of reorganization and through a course correction for PG&E that what you talk about in your testimony and the specifics within the Wildfire Mitigation Plan are things that we can count on versus things that we will have a change right before we go into wildfire season, dependent upon how risky you then assessed the season's going to be?

WITNESS PENDER: So that's a important topic, which is continuous improvement, and therefore, some evolution and change in our tactics and activities as it relates to the Wildfire Mitigation Plan.

So as you mentioned, last year, between when we filed our initial plan on February 6th and when we filed our second amendment on April 25th, I believe, we already began to learn some things about how different activities were playing out in the field and what would be effective or less effective in mitigating wildfire risk. And so our second amendment last year reflected

2.8

our latest and greatest insight into how we 1 2. would perform our activities. As -- if you compare our 2019 Wildfire Safety Plan to our 3 2020 Wildfire Mitigation Plan, you see 4 5 evolution in a number of our programs and 6 continuous learning, PSPS being a big factor 7 there, or a big area where we've added additional programs and activities. 8 9 I -- we all are on this continuous 10 improvement and continuous learning journey 11 together, and so that's what you should take 12 from that process, right, the fact that we filed a second amendment, the fact that we 13 14 continued to move forward and learn from what 15 we did in 2019 to inform our 2020 plan. 16 So --17 Sorry. If I can -- my -- my 0 18 question, if I can, is -- is really around 19 the trust qap. So we've had horrific 2.0 wildfire seasons. We've had plans provided 21 by PG&E that were changed right before 22 wildfire season. 2.3 For the public to be able to look, 24 for the Commission to be able to look at your 25 Wildfire Mitigation Plan and count on it for 26 how PG&E's going to respond in wildfire 27 season, as opposed to changing the goalposts,

how can we trust, how can we have confidence

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

26

27

2.8

that a plan of reorganization is not going to produce changing goalposts, is not going to produce -- you know, how is there going to be accountability, if you can change the goalposts, how -- how is the Commission going to understand that, and the public?

Α So accountability and continuous improvement can go together as part of an ongoing partnership in evolution between PG&E and our regulators and our public and partners, stakeholders like yourself, and so we were very transparent through the 2019 process, Wildfire Safety Plan process, about what we were learning and where we were at. The second amendment was not adopted. didn't change the goalposts. We continued to report our performance against the original goalposts, if you will, the original targets expressed in our Wildfire Safety Plan, and there are expected to be, you know, an independent evaluator process and such to evaluate how the utilities did, including PG&E, against our plan. So we appreciate the trust gap that you mentioned and the challenge with helping all of those stakeholders understand what we're working towards and why those are the right things to work towards. That's what the 2020 Wildfire

2.8

Mitigation Plan proceeding is all about, 1 2. making sure that we're pursuing the right 3 activities. So what I would say is that our objectives and the focus that we have on 5 reducing wildfire risk and reducing the risk 6 of PSPS remains unwavering, and that will be 7 what you see and -- and see consistent action But, I do think you'll see 9 continuous evolution and improvement. Ιt 10 would be imprudent for us not to continue to 11 learn lessons and adjust, as necessary, and 12 we'll continue to do that in a transparent 13 way through these public proceedings that it 14 includes stakeholder input. 15 0 Thank you. I've got two more --16 two more questions, and then I'll -- I'll be 17 done. 18 Are you aware of the implications 19 of your work on the insurance rates of 2.0 homeowners? 21 A bit, but we're far from experts 22 I've participated in some panels 2.3 and conferences at the state level, in 24 particular, with representatives from the 25 State Insurance Commission, and there's been 26 much discussion about this; but, it's not

something that we're experts on or have any

input on, in particular.

2.

2.0

2.3

2.4

2.8

Q Okay. So in terms of the implications, though, I just want to try to understand you understand the implications. So there are wildfire survivors, victims, who are rebuilding their homes from the PG&E wildfires, and they want to understand is that investment, that significant family investment, going to be a one-year investment or a two-year investment or a long-term investment, and they see insurance scarcity growing.

And do you understand the implications to PG&E's work to mitigate wildfires is directly tied to those insurance rates?

A So while I can't speak to the direct tie between PG&E's activities and insurance rates, I just don't know that market or regulation set well, we completely understand that concern from our customers and residents of our service territory, and we are very focused, as I just mentioned, on reducing the risk of wildfire to all of the communities that we serve and -- and focusing on the PSPS impact that also impacts our customers' livelihoods or quality of life during that period of time. So can't speak to the insurance aspect of it, but can speak

2.

2.0

2.3

2.8

obviously extensively to our activities and our focus on reducing the wildfire risk to protect those communities.

Q So given that the Commission is charged with ensuring that the plan of reorganization is oriented towards a safety culture and oriented towards customers not having increased financial burdens, do you not think that it would be a prudent step to ensure that very specifically and very quantitatively that your work, through the plan of reorganization, is tied to partners in the insurance industry to make sure that we have a -- more of a comprehensive approach where we have corporate partners working together on the path ahead?

A I certainly understand the point you're making. But, there is a whole other regulatory scheme as it relates to insurance in the State of California. Right? There's a whole 'nother regulatory body that leads that. And so, while we are more than open to being a part of that conversation or contributing to those proceedings, if you will --

O Uh-huh.

A -- that's just not an area that we're experts in or -- or implicitly have any

Happy to participate, again; 1 control over. 2. but, yeah, not our core competency. So -- all right. One last 3 Q Okay. question because of what you stated there. 4 5 So the Commission has been looking 6 at something called a customer arm threshold 7 to understand what can be reasonably charged to customers, and so insurance rates and cost 9 of living increases and those types of things 10 are customer arms. 11 So would you not see that as sort 12 of central to your role in terms of a plan of 13 reorganization and how you understand cost to 14 customers? 15 Unfortunately, I don't see that 16 large-scale question about the cost to 17 residents or customers as being something 18 solvable by PG&E in this proceeding or 19 related proceeding. I mean there -- that's a 2.0 large -- again, that's things outside of 21 PG&E's control that we can contribute to or, 22 you know, maybe be a part of the 2.3 conversation. But, basically, I don't agree that that's something that is within the 24 25 scope of PG&E's plan of reorganization; 26 insurance rates, in particular. 27 Even on a collaborative basis? 2.8 thought you just said that part of this is

collaboration, and I thought, you know, 1 2. nothing is on the whole. You're having a whole bunch of collaborative partners --3 ALJ ALLEN: Mr. Abrams, I think he's 4 answered it to the best of his ability. 5 6 think the answer's pretty clear. 7 MR. ABRAMS: Okay. I see PG&E counsel upset by my questions, so I'll stop there. 8 9 Thank you. 10 ALJ ALLEN: I would note that I did not 11 see any -- any more than the normal upset 12 from PG&E counsel. Thank you, Mr. Abrams. 13 I have a few questions. I think 14 what I'll do is -- I have a few questions. 15 don't know, Commissioner Rechtschaffen may 16 have a question or two. After that, we'll take an afternoon break. 17 18 EXAMINATION 19 BY ALJ ALLEN: 2.0 Just so I'm clear, how does the 21 testimony here on wildfire safety relate to 22 PG&E's 2020 Wildfire Mitigation Plan? What's 2.3 the relationship or connection? 24 WITNESS PENDER: So this is -- this is 25 a very summarized version. Right? I -- I 26 think I have six pages of testimony here 27 compared to there's 485 pages of testimony or -- in our original plan as part of the 2.8

Wildfire Mitigation Plan proceeding. 1 2. is an appetizer to what's going on in the 3 Wildfire Mitigation Plan proceeding, the purpose of which is to dig into the actions 4 that we're taking and to assess and determine 5 6 are those the right actions at the right 7 scope and scale, and how should they be, if -- if anything, adjusted from what we've 8 9 proposed in our plan. 10 So this is -- this is essentially Q 11 just a summary of that plan? 12 Right, which is ongoing. Α Okay. One of the issues that's 13 0 14 been raised in this proceeding is the concept 15 of regionalization and changing essentially 16 some of the management structures of -- of 17 Are you familiar with the PG&E. 18 regionalization concept? 19 WITNESS POWELL: At a very high level. 2.0 How does -- how do the 21 regionalization proposals that are put 22 forward in this proceeding impact the 2.3 Wildfire Mitigation Plan, either 2020 or 24 going forward? 25 I don't see that they impact the 26 Wildfire Mitigation Plan. Regionalization 27 and the concept there is to have PD -- PG&E 28 leadership and employees in local areas

1	having really strong relationships with the
2	local communities, and people know who each
3	other are, and to the extent that that helps
4	inform, you know, PSPS or the Wildfire
5	Mitigation Plan, I see that as helpful; but,
6	what we've put in the plan is a commitment by
7	the company that we will continue to execute,
8	no matter the final structure.
9	Q So are there but, there there
10	will be regional safety positions. Is that
11	correct? Or do you know? I guess the
12	question is
13	A I couldn't testify to that, but
14	I've heard it.
15	Q Okay. If there are something like
16	a regional safety position, how how would
17	that relate to the bigger wildfire safety
18	measures and the Wildfire Mitigation Plan, if
19	you know?
20	A I don't.
21	COMMISSIONER RECHTSCHAFFEN: Well
22	ALJ ALLEN: Go ahead.
23	EXAMINATION
2324	EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER RECHTSCHAFFEN:
24	BY COMMISSIONER RECHTSCHAFFEN:
24 25	BY COMMISSIONER RECHTSCHAFFEN: Q Maybe one way to to expand on

WITNESS POWELL: The safety or the --1 2. the safety officer or the regional structure? 3 I'm going to make sure I understood the question. 4 5 The regional structure that's --6 that PG&E proposed and that was in the 7 Assigned Commissioner Ruling, and just the -the idea of some regional decentralization. 8 9 Judge Allen was asking about how it would 10 relate to the Wildfire Safety Plan. So I'm 11 asking you if you could -- if you want to 12 expand on what you said a little bit ago 13 about its relationship to improving safety. 14 Is there anything else you would want to say 15 about how it could improve or promote 16 safety? 1 17 Α Well, I always -- I'm in 18 Operations. I always like to see more safety 19 resources deployed in a regional area where 20 they know, you know, the people in the area very closely. I can see that adding --21 22 especially during the PSPS events in having 23 additional safety resource in local operating 24 centers. Also have a safety advocate. 25 very strong safety advocate no matter what 26 issues come up within the company. 27 I have a -- excuse me. I had a few 28 questions for you, Ms. Maratukulam, about the

2.

2.0

2.3

2.8

PSPSs. And you said you scheduled listening sessions with each of the impacted areas. I don't know if you happened to listen to the Commission's voting meeting last Thursday or had anyone report to you about what was said at the voting meeting?

WITNESS MARATUKULAM: I was not able to listen to it, no.

Q Well, the short of it was
Commissioner Guzman Aceves went at the
invitation of Supervisor Moke Simon, who is
from Lake County. And Lake County has a
special working group on PSPSs because
they've been devastated by wildfires over the
past several years. And they experience
wildfires, you know, one long one or several
ones that lasted most of the week in 2019.

And what Commissioner Guzman Aceves reported is that PG&E has made a number of commitments to the community going forward including something about working with the local government.

But what became apparent at that meeting is that PG&E hasn't followed up on some of those? So for example PG&E doesn't currently have a local liaison assigned to Lake County. Lake County has to share with other counties. PG&E wasn't aware that one

2.8

of its local government contacts had been 1 retired for over two months. And there were 2. other discussions at this working group about 3 the plans going forward for 2020 that hadn't materialized. 5 6 Are you aware of any of this? I'm not aware of the specifics from 7 Α 8 Lake County, no. 9 Well, this concerned us as 10 Commissioners. And I'm wondering if you can 11 either here or in another context follow up 12 with us about what your plans are for Lake 13 County? 14 Α Happy to. 15 How many community resource centers 16 are you planning for 2020? I saw in your 17 testimony you say that PG&E has mobilized 80 18 community resource centers. Are you planning 19 for more than that? 2.0 We are. We had deployed 21 approximately 80 -- over 70 in one event in 22 the 2019 season. I cannot recall the number 2.3 off the top of my head of how many we're 24 targeting to have pre-established agreements 25 with. I did note earlier that we're 26

trying to work with property owners now to

establish hardened facilities rather than

rely on space to set up tents. But I cannot 1 2. recall the number off the top of my head. think Matt may have it. 3 WITNESS PENDER: In our Wildfire 4 Mitigation Plan, we identified four to five 5 hardened facilities as in, you know, 6 7 permanent structures per county that are likely to be impacted or that's within the 8 9 PSPS footprint, which is around 40 counties. 10 So we're looking at 150 to 200 sites 11 pre-established to be ready. And then during 12 any given event, the appropriate ones would 13 be activated. 14 Are those in the process of both 15 being identified and secured by contract and 16 otherwise made ready for the event? 17 Α As well in consultation with Yes. 18 the county leadership and some case city 19 leadership to make sure those are the right 2.0 locations and that those fit with their plans 21 as well. 22 When do you anticipate that all of 2.3 your arrangements for these 150 to 200 will 24 be finalized? 25 I don't know. I know that we've 26 already made good progress. But we could follow up with what timeline we have. 27

mean, September 1 is our peak start of PSPS

28

So in advance of September 1 is when 1 season. 2. we want all of this stuff to be in place. Fire season may start before 3 Q September 1 as we all know. 4 Let me ask one last question. 5 6 then this is a follow-up to the questions 7 from Ms. Kasnitz at C4AT. If you went over this, I apologize. 8 9 But for medically-vulnerable 10 customers who are not customers of PG&E, that don't have their own account, who are behind 11 12 a master meter like a mobile home park tenant or Section 8 tenant, how are you learning of 13 14 those customers, and how are you notifying 15 them of PSPS events? 16 WITNESS MARATUKULAM: They are still 17 encouraged to enroll with the Medical 18 Baseline Program. So while they may not be 19 the tenant of record, we are aware of medical 2.0 baseline customers that are under a master 21 meter. And we do work to notify them 22 directly as we do all other medical baseline 2.3 customers. 24 Are you working with a local 25 community-based organizations and local 26 governments to identify those customers? 27 Α Yes. In 2019 we had outreached

seeking to inform the public of the program

2.8

1	and increase awareness and participation.
2	We're doing the same this year also in
3	partnership with community-based organization
4	to help further get the word out.
5	But we are working directly through
6	those organizations as well as mass media
7	campaigns to increase awareness in
8	participation in the Medical Baseline
9	Program.
10	COMMISSIONER RECHTSCHAFFEN: Thank you.
11	Thank you, Judge.
12	ALJ ALLEN: Thank you. So the plan
13	after the break is that Ms. Yap will take the
14	stand. And the plan would be to complete the
15	cross-examination of Ms. Yap today. And also
16	allow for Ms. Sheriff's estimated brief
17	cross-examination of Mr. Kenney. Plus any
18	clean up we do.
19	So based on numbers in front of me,
20	we may go past 4:00 o'clock. But that's my
21	plan for this afternoon. Anything to do
22	before we take our or afternoon break?
23	(No response.)
24	ALJ ALLEN: Seeing none, let's be back
25	by 3:15 by the clock on the wall.
26	Off the record.
27	(Off the record.)
28	ALJ ALLEN: On the record.

1	Good afternoon. We're in
2	Investigation 19-09-016.
3	Ms. Sheriff, call your witness,
4	please.
5	MS. SHERIFF: Thank you, your Honor.
6	Nora Sheriff for the California Large Energy
7	Consumers Association. I would like to call
8	Ms. Catherine Yap to the stand.
9	ALJ ALLEN: Thank you, Ms. Sheriff.
10	CATHERINE YAP, called as a witness by California Large Energy Consumers
11	Association, having been sworn, testified as follows:
12	cescified as follows.
13	THE WITNESS: I do.
14	ALJ ALLEN: Thank you. Please be
15	seated. State your full name and spell your
16	last name for the record.
17	THE WITNESS: My name is Catherine E.
18	Yap. Spelled Y-a-p.
19	ALJ ALLEN: Thank you.
20	Go ahead, Ms. Sheriff.
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MS. SHERIFF:
23	Q Good afternoon, Ms. Yap. You have
24	before you what has been marked for
25	identification as exhibit CLECA-01?
26	A Yes, I do.
27	Q Do you have before you what has
28	been marked for identification as Exhibit

1	CLECA-01-E, which is the reply testimony of
2	Catherine E. Yap on behalf of California
3	Large Energy Consumers Association Errata?
4	A Yes, I do.
5	Q Do you also have before you what
6	has been marked - or what I would ask to have
7	marked for identification as exhibit
8	CLECA-02, which is the CLECA response to a
9	PG&E data request, CLECA-001?
10	A Yes, I do.
11	ALJ ALLEN: Thank you.
12	CLECA response to PG&E data request
13	PG&E CLECA-001 is identified as CLECA
14	Exhibit 2.
15	(Exhibit No. CLECA-02 was marked for
16	identification.)
17	MS. SHERIFF: Thank you, your Honor.
18	Q Ms. Yap, was this information in
19	these three exhibits prepared by you or under
20	your supervision?
21	A Yes, they were.
22	Q To the extent that it contains
23	facts, are those facts true and correct to
24	the best of your knowledge?
25	A Yes, they are.
26	Q To the extent that they contain
	-
27	professional opinions, are those your best

1	A Yes, they are.
2	Q Do you have any changes to make to
3	your testimony at this time?
4	A No, I do not.
5	Q Thank you, Ms. Yap.
6	MS. SHERIFF: Your Honor, Ms. Yap is
7	available for cross-examination.
8	ALJ ALLEN: According to what I have
9	here is cross for Ms. Yap from PG&E, EPUC,
10	and TCC; is that correct?
11	Let's start with any preferences
12	starting? I was thinking of starting PG&E.
13	Let's go with PG&E with cross.
14	MR. ALLRED: Okay. Your Honor, Kevin
15	Allred. One administrative point that I've
16	just realized. The data response that was
17	marked does not have the attached
18	spreadsheet, which is rather important for
19	any cross.
20	ALJ ALLEN: Which exhibit is this?
21	This is PG&E's exhibit or?
22	MR. ALLRED: This is the data response
23	that was just marked as the exhibit.
24	MS. SHERIFF: Exhibit CLECA-02. There
25	is an Excel spreadsheet that's embedded in
26	the paper copy, and I do not have the ability
27	at home to produce that.
28	ALJ ALLEN: Off the record.

1	(Off the record.)
2	ALJ ALLEN: On the record.
3	While we were off the record, we had
4	a discussion about Exhibit CLECA-02, which is
5	a copy that was distributed, is missing a
6	related spreadsheet. There appear to be
7	adequate copies in the hearing room for us to
8	conduct cross-examination. And then
9	Ms. Sheriff will provide additional copies of
10	the spreadsheet, which will be attached to
11	CLECA-02 before it is admitted into the
12	record.
13	With that, Mr. Allred.
14	MR. ALLRED: Yes, your Honor. May I
15	approach the witness to hand out copies of
16	what we just referenced?
17	ALJ ALLEN: Yes, you may.
18	Off the record.
19	(Off the record.)
20	ALJ ALLEN: On the record.
21	THE WITNESS: You handed me actually
22	two different spreadsheets. Because one
23	looks like my workpaper.
24	ALJ ALLEN: Off the record.
25	(Off the record.)
26	ALJ ALLEN: On the record.
27	Mr. Allred, I believe there needs to
28	be a slight clarification of the document

that was handed out. 1 MR. ALLRED: We have handed out what I 2. understood to be attachments to the data 3 response that was marked as CLECA-02. 4 first one was the first attachment -- the one 5 pager is the first attachment. And what is 6 7 printed is multiple pages. What you would call your re-work is 8 the second attachment. Do you have those in 9 10 front of you? Yes, I do. And just to be 11 12 nauseatingly clear, the single page one was 13 response to Question 1 of your data request. 14 And I believe the multiple page one was in 15 response to Question 3. 16 For purposes of ALJ ALLEN: 17 cross-examination to make sure that the 18 record is clear for the single page one, 19 let's call that document "Sources." Because 2.0 it has the word sources in all caps at the 21 top. 22 And on the other one, let's call it "Cost of Debt Detail" because that's what 2.3 24 appears in the footer on the first page. And 25 ultimately these will end up as part of 26 CLECA-02. 27 Go ahead. /// 2.8

1	CROSS-EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. ALLRED:
3	Q Thank you, your Honor.
4	Let's actually set that aside for a
5	moment. We'll get back to it.
6	Good afternoon, Ms. Yap.
7	A Good afternoon.
8	Q Take a look at what has been marked
9	PG&E-X-01. It's the thick document in front
10	of you. And I believe it is what is
11	referenced in page 19 of your testimony at
12	lines 11 to 13.
13	A I'm going to stop you right there.
14	Mine didn't get marked. So is this what
15	we're referring to? It is a thick document.
16	It was left for me up here.
17	ALJ ALLEN: Off the record.
18	(Off the record.)
19	ALJ ALLEN: On the record. Actually,
20	we have not marked these on the record yet
21	have we, Mr. Allred?
22	MR. ALLRED: I don't believe so. I
23	believe your Honor has them. But I don't
24	know if it was on the record.
25	ALJ ALLEN: I believe not. So I
26	understand that PG&E has two exhibits they
27	wish to have marked as cross-examination
28	exhibits; is that correct, Mr. Allred?

1	MR. ALLRED: Yes, your Honor.
2	ALJ ALLEN: So first one I have is PG&E
3	Hearing Room Exhibit, the caption of this
4	case, then it says, "Financing Order
5	Authorizing the Issuance of Energy Recovery
6	Bonds Pursuant to Senate Bill 772 is marked
7	as PG&E-X-01.
8	(Exhibit No. PG&E-X-01 was marked for identification.)
10	ALJ ALLEN: The second one, a smaller
11	document. PG&E's Hearing Room Exhibit
12	CLECA's Response to PG&E Data Request-001
13	Questions 6, 7, and 8 is marked as PG&E-X-02.
14	(Exhibit No. PG&E-X-02 was marked for identification.)
15	for identification.)
16	ALJ ALLEN: Go ahead.
17	BY MR. ALLRED:
18	Q Thank you, your Honor.
19	Ms. Yap, if you'll look at your
20	testimony at page 19, lines 11 to 13, you
21	state there quote:
22	It is noteworthy that in
23	its previous bankruptcy
24	proceeding, PG&E's
25	authorization to recover
26	the cost of its debt
27	financed associated with
28	its POR was limited to

1	\$25 million.
2	Closed quote. Do you see that?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And you footnote that to what I
5	believe is the document we have marked as
6	PG&E-X-01 CPUC Decision 04-11-015, Financing
7	Order Authorizing Bond Issuances; is that the
8	correct document?
9	A Yes. That's the document I cited.
10	Q And if looking at that exhibit,
11	X-01, if you turn to page 26 and 27, which is
12	the pages you cite in the footnote. At the
13	bottom there there's a section F Bond
14	Issuance Cost. Do you see that?
15	A Yes.
15 16	A Yes. Q And this section relates to the
16	Q And this section relates to the
16 17	Q And this section relates to the utility's post emergence bond issuance;
16 17 18	Q And this section relates to the utility's post emergence bond issuance; correct?
16 17 18 19	Q And this section relates to the utility's post emergence bond issuance; correct? A Yes.
16 17 18 19 20	Q And this section relates to the utility's post emergence bond issuance; correct? A Yes. Q So it's not referring to the exit
16 17 18 19 20 21	Q And this section relates to the utility's post emergence bond issuance; correct? A Yes. Q So it's not referring to the exit financing cost associated with emergence from
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q And this section relates to the utility's post emergence bond issuance; correct? A Yes. Q So it's not referring to the exit financing cost associated with emergence from bankruptcy; right?
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q And this section relates to the utility's post emergence bond issuance; correct? A Yes. Q So it's not referring to the exit financing cost associated with emergence from bankruptcy; right? A You are correct. It's the issuance
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q And this section relates to the utility's post emergence bond issuance; correct? A Yes. Q So it's not referring to the exit financing cost associated with emergence from bankruptcy; right? A You are correct. It's the issuance cost for the energy recovery bonds.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Q And this section relates to the utility's post emergence bond issuance; correct? A Yes. Q So it's not referring to the exit financing cost associated with emergence from bankruptcy; right? A You are correct. It's the issuance cost for the energy recovery bonds. Q Also at the bottom of page 26, in

1	A Yes. The decision on page 26 does
2	cite \$21.663 million.
3	Q And at page 27 in the middle
4	paragraph the decision says quote:
5	We adopt PG&E's unopposed
6	proposal to cap bond
7	issuance cost at \$25
8	million plus costs for the
9	Commission financing team.
10	Closed quote. Do you see that?
11	A Yes, I do.
12	Q So to clarify, this was not a
13	situation where PG&E sought to recover
14	financing costs and the Commission limited
15	the recovery to some lesser amount; correct?
16	A That is correct.
17	Q And in fact it was an unopposed
18	request for a cap that PG&E said up front it
19	would come in under; right?
20	A That's the stated language of the
21	decision.
22	Q To the best of your knowledge, in
23	fact the utility was permitted to recover its
24	exit financing cost in connection with the
25	previous bankruptcy; is that right?
26	A It's my understanding that it was
27	allowed to recover the actual cost of the
28	financing.

2.8

a positive, yes.

Let me move to another subject, the 1 0 2. regional restructuring. As I understand it, you're supportive of PG&E's proposal that it 3 develop and implement a regional 4 restructuring plan? 5 I made a similar 6 Α Yes. recommendation actually in my testimony that 7 was submitted last year. 9 And in your opinion, such a 10 restructuring has strong potential to improve 11 the quality of PG&E's service to its customers; is that fair? 12 It has the potential to improve the 13 14 quality of service and the relationships that PG&E's has with the local communities and its 15 16 Then I would say also its large customers. 17 customers. 18 And that regional restructuring in 19 your view would be beneficial to customers 2.0 even if PG&E had not filed for bankruptcy; 21 right? 22 So you're saying hypothetically if 2.3 we were sitting around thinking of ways that 24 we could improve PG&E management, regional 25 restructuring would be on my -- are you 26 asking if that would be on my list of to-dos? 27 As something that -- which would be 2.

2.0

2.3

2.8

Made it clear in my testimony that PG&E went too far when it consolidated itself in the early 2000s. It was very focused on streamlining and using measures of performance. It just went too far. There's certainly there are efficiencies in doing that. But I think they over shot the mark and has lost touch with what's going on in regions.

Q And in your view, such a regional restructuring would be sufficiently complicated. That it would take a substantial amount of time to create a fully flushed out plan; is that fair?

A There's a reason why my testimony recommends that the reorganization be focused on the GRC, which will be coming up. And the company will be filing for the 2023 GRC in about a year.

In my experience, reorganizing -you've got 25,000 employees. And you've got
a lot of different tasks that those employees
are involved in. It takes a lot of thought
to figure out how you actually are going to
break apart what's been centralized back into
regional areas. You don't want to do this
badly. That will make the problem worse.

You want to do it well. You want to do it 1 2. once, and you want to do it well. 3 And it's just -- in my opinion it deserves the thought that's required to do it 4 well and the time that it takes and the 5 6 resources that it takes to do it well. 7 I think it will improve PG&E's performance. Certainly will improve its interface with the 9 local communities. 10 Thank you. If you'll turn to 0 11 page 15 of your testimony, please. And I 12 want to direct you to lines 19 and 20. 13 state there quote: 14 The Commission should 15 require PG&E to use 16 shareholder funded research 17 to better understand the concerns of its ratepayers 18 19 in various parts of its 2.0 large service territory. 21 Closed quote. Do you see that? 22 Α Yes. 2.3 Isn't developing a robust 0 24 understanding of one's customers something 25 that should be a core part of a utility's 26 operations? 27 Generally speaking, yes. 2.8 think in this situation PG&E needs to

demonstrate that its shareholders are 1 2. committed to really addressing the gap that 3 has developed between its communities, its customers, and its owners. 4 What's the -- I am sorry. Did I 5 6 interrupt you? Go ahead. 7 Α Yes. So I -- that's the spirit within which this recommendation is made in 9 the context of the Plan of Reorganization. 10 Going forward I am not suggesting that doing a certain amount of customer 11 12 surveying is something that should be disallowed because it's an unreasonable cost. 13 14 What in your view is the principle 15 that divides the utility activities that are 16 part of rates versus things that should be 17 funded by shareholders? 18 You're asking me that in a generic 19 sense? 2.0 Well, you put this on side of the 21 I'm wondering what principle animates 22 that conclusion? 2.3 I think I just expressed it. Α 2.4 it's the circumstance that the company finds 25 itself in where the disconnect that's 26 developed between the company, its ownership, 27 its management, and its customers. I think it deserves -- it deserves -- if you want a 2.8

gesture that PG&E's owners and its management 1 2. is committed to really connecting with its customers. And then it's not going to ask 3 its customer to foot the bill for it to be bridging that gap under these circumstances.] 5 6 You're aware the Plan of 7 Reorganization involves some very, very substantial shareholder funding of various 8 9 things; correct? 10 Δ Yes. 11 0 One could say the same thing as you 12 just said about any number of things that a utility would ordinarily do that are good for 13 14 its customers. Why is this one different? 15 MS. SHERIFF: Objection, your Honor, I 16 believe this question has been asked and 17 answered more than once. 18 ALJ ALLEN: Sustained. 19 BY MR. ALLRED: Let's talk about your discussion of 2.0 21 rate neutrality. You discussed that some in 22 your testimony; correct? There is a section --2.3 Α 24 O All right. 25 -- of my testimony. Would you like Α 26 me to open it up? 27 Okay. Yes. I'm going to direct 0 2.8 you in a minute to page 21. First, I have

1 some more general questions. Yes, you can turn to that now if you like. In evaluating 2. 3 the neutral-on-average requirement, you understand that what is to be analyzed is the 4 5 rate impacts created by implementation of the 6 Plan of Reorganization; correct? 7 Α Yes, I kept that in mind. All right. So in evaluating rate 9 neutrality, you wouldn't think it appropriate 10 to include in rate impacts things that would 11 have happened without the bankruptcy 12 reorganization, would you? In my analysis, I focused on the 13 14 incremental costs, if you will, or the --15 actually, the Plan of Reorganization doesn't 16 propose costs per se except for the 17 financing. I focused on that. So, it's an 18 incremental analysis understanding that there 19 are other things that are going on. 2.0 I think the incremental analysis 21 you're referring to is summarized at 22 page 21 -- well, not -- I won't put words in 2.3 your mouth. If you turn to page 21, lines 14 24 to 19, it states, quote: 25 However, if the 26 Commission were to permit 27 PG&E to recover any 28 professional fees and

expenses associated with
the Chapter 11
proceedings, the
Commission would have to
limit the recovery of
those fees and expenses
and require them to be
amortized over a long
period of time to ensure
that the combination of
the professional fees and
debt costs do not exceed
the \$70.7 million
reduction in debt costs
in any given year.
Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q If I understand your testimony, you
are not asserting in your testimony that
PG&E's plan fails to satisfy AB-1054's
neutral-on-average requirement; right?
A I'm not a I'm not I haven't
come to that conclusion per se. I have
discussed some circumstances under which it
could violate that provision.
Q And fair to say if PG&E strike
that. On the other hand, if PG&E's plan
strike that. Bad question.

Fair to say you agree that PG&E's 1 2. plan would satisfy AB-1054 neutral-on-average requirement so long as the costs PG&E seeks 3 to recover in connection with that plan do 4 5 not exceed the interest cost savings created 6 by the plan? 7 Α If you're generating Yes. 70.7 million in revenue for a period of time, 8 9 a revenue reduction for a period of time, you 10 can't impose costs in any given year through 11 rates. You can amortize a cost in a current period over a long period of time to reduce 12 it such that it would not exceed 70.7 million 13 14 per year for the period of time where there 15 are debt savings and it would remain neutral 16 under those circumstances. 17 Did you review the clarification 18 document submitted by PG&E at the beginning 19 of these hearings that was marked as PG&E 2.0 Exhibit 8? 21 Yes, I have looked at that spread 22 sheet. Would you like me to open it? 2.3 0 I think it's in front of you. It's actually -- I'm not referring to a spread 24 25 sheet. I'm referring to the actual 26 clarification document, Exhibit 8. 27 Oh, okay. I'm sorry. Α 2.8 Q No, that's fine.

I was looking at a different 1 Α 2. document. I have read this, yes. And if you look at the first 3 Q clarification there, paragraph one, which 4 I'll summarize but if you feel I'm 5 6 summarizing incorrectly, let me know, limits 7 the professional fees that PG&E seeks to recover to the financing-related fees and it 9 estimates those at about 154 million; 10 correct? 11 Α Yes, that is correct. 12 And so subject to that 13 clarification, would you agree that so long 14 as those financing fees are amortized over 15 the length of the debt, PG&E's plan would 16 satisfy the rate neutrality requirement? 17 Α Yes, I did a calculation not using 18 exactly the same figures, but I demonstrated 19 that you could satisfy the rate neutrality by 2.0 amortizing approximately that amount of 21 money. 22 If you'll turn to your testimony at 2.3 page 17, please, the last two lines on that 24 page you state, quote: 25 If one uses a more 26 appropriate discount rate 27 of eight percent which is reflective of the cost of 28

money for PG&E's 1 2. investors as well as its 3 ratepayers --4 And then it goes on from there to give the calculation. 5 Do you see where I'm reading from? 6 7 Α Yes, I do. Footnote 49 in your testimony at 9 the end of that sentence states that PG&E's 10 2020 adopted cost of capital is 7.81 percent. 11 Do you see that? 12 Α Yes. 13 0 And so when you use eight percent 14 in the body, you're not asserting some 15 different discount rate, you're just 16 rounding; is that fair? 17 Α I actually was using it as 18 representative of the 7.8, but also putting 19 it in perspective for ratepayers. 2.0 previous footnote, I had discussed the fact 21 that people are earning rather low interest 22 rates on their savings accounts, but at the 2.3 same time they face very high borrowing 24 costs, particularly if they're relying on 25 credit cards. 26 So, I was kind of doing a, you 27 know, here's a span, here's a range. And 2.8 eight percent is kind of in the middle.

26

27

2.8

Α

representative of the utility's cost of 1 capital so I felt it was a good number to use 2. as a discount rate. 3 Why do you think eight percent or 4 5 7.81 percent is a better percentage to use 6 for purposes of thinking about rate 7 neutrality than the 4.7-odd percentage referenced earlier in that testimony? 8 9 Α Well, we could read my footnote, 10 48, which says discount rates reflect the 11 underlying time value of money and should do 12 so for ratepayers as well as investors. 13 time value of money for ordinary people can 14 range from relatively low rates that people 15 can earn on bank savings accounts to very 16 high discount rates such as 16 to 18 percent 17 that is reflective of their actual short-term 18 costs of borrowing, for example, credit 19 cards. 2.0 The eight percent that I used in my 21 analysis is reflective of utility investors' 22 cost of money and also falls well within the 2.3 range that could be considered appropriate 24 for ratepayers. 25 In using that eight percent figure,

That was assuming that it

you calculated interest rate savings under

the PG&E plan of 694 million; correct?

Right.

1 was a 20-year period. 2. And in calculating that discounted 3 amount, you started discounting in year one in your calculation; right? 4 5 At the end of year one, yes. 6 0 And so you would agree we'd also 7 have to start discounting in year one the corresponding financing costs that are amortized? 9 10 Д I used a net present value 11 calculation and had zero as the cost, which 12 is generally net present value calculations 13 do things at the beginning of the period. 14 You could make it do everything at the end. 15 Let me have you turn to the spread 16 sheet that -- let me take ALJ Allen's advice 17 and give it the agreed title -- the spread 18 sheet that you identified earlier, I think we 19 called it "Cost of Debt Detail." 2.0 ALJ ALLEN: And that's a multi-page 21 document? 22 MR. ALLRED: Yes, your Honor. 2.3 THE WITNESS: Got it. BY MR. ALLRED: 24 25 Before we get into the details 26 there, I just want to understand, take a step 27 Am I correct in understanding you have 2.8 no disagreement that 4.3 percent is a

reasonable estimate of the post-emergence 1 2. cost of debt under PG&E's plan? I preserved PG&E's calculation on 3 Α the right-hand side of the first page and I 4 5 have no disagreement with that calculation. And then in your rework -- and 6 7 we'll get into the details of that -- at the end of the rework, you're showing net savings 8 9 after offsetting financial fees and 10 underwriting fees and whatnot, net savings of 11 \$9 million per year; is that correct? That's the difference between the 12 183 that you calculate from the first set of 13 14 figures. Now, you ask yourself, why did I 15 calculate that first set of figures? 16 because that's the cost of debt if we don't 17 have the rate, the interest rate reduction, 18 that the POR proposes or accomplishes. 19 So you end up with a 4.35 percent 2.0 cost of debt absent that change that the POR brings. 21 I was trying to isolate. 22 And the net result of that 2.3 isolation in your calculation is a savings of 24 \$9 million per year? And the reason for that is 25 Yes. 26 because the fees cost you a lot of money. 27 Now we're looking at 2021. The fees are basically drinking up everything except the 2.8

nine million in the 70 -- the \$70.9 million 1 reduction in interest rate costs. Most of it 2. has been drunk up by the fees. 3 Now, PG&E is positive that we 4 should compare the 4.3 -- it's actually 5 6 4.31 percent interest rate that comes out of 7 the Plan of Reorganization, which I have no disagreements with that. That's post the 8 9 reduction in interest rates, that we should 10 compare that with the 5.16 that was adopted 11 in the cost capital decision for 2020. 12 The problem with doing that is that was a number --13 14 I think you're getting well beyond 15 my question. 16 Α Well, that was a number that Yeah. 17 was forecasted. Okav. 18 Yeah. 0 19 So it has to do with attribution 2.0 and that's why we ended up with two sets of 21 numbers. 22 Right. And I do want to go through 2.3 this in some detail, but just step by step, 24 please. 25 Α Yes. 26 So if we look at the upper left 27 here, pre-petition debt amortization, you say "No fees if no RSA renegotiation." 2.8

1	Do you see that?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Now, in fact, there were fees
4	incurred by PG&E on pre-petition debt;
5	correct?
6	A Originally. You mean when the debt
7	was originally financed?
8	Q And aren't those fees amortized
9	over the course of the debt?
10	A They may be.
11	Q But you haven't added them to the
12	average coupon in your number, in your
13	recalculation, have you?
14	A No, but PG&E didn't add them to
15	their calculation either, so
16	Q If you look on the right-hand side,
17	it is added there. The pre-petition debt
18	amortization 0.15 percent is there, isn't it?
19	A Okay. You are correct. I should
20	have left the 0.15 in there.
21	Q And then in the next line,
22	underwriting fees on 5.925 billion of new
23	issue. PG&E had long- and short-term debt
24	authorization requests pending when it
25	declared bankruptcy; right?
26	A I'm not sure.
27	Q Well, putting aside the details,
28	certainly you would understand that rate base

was growing and PG&E would have needed to 1 2. issue new debt regardless of bankruptcy; right? 3 I'm generally aware that PG&E has 4 Α the need to issue debt and potentially stock 5 periodically. 6 And customarily that would involve 7 0 underwriting fees; right? 8 9 Α Yes. 10 And on the right-hand side here, 0 11 you have a 0.02 percent for the underwriting 12 fees on that amount of new debt; right? 13 Α Yes, I do. 14 And there would be some 15 corresponding, roughly corresponding, number 16 that would be incurred for the new debt if it 17 was incurred outside of bankruptcy; right? 18 А Let me just see if this is included 19 in here. No. You're correct because it is 2.0 included in the column. 21 0 Thanks. Stepping back from the 22 details, let's just think of a sort of a 2.3 gestalt way to think about this. 24 understand that Southern California Edison's 25 current authorized cost of debt rising out of 26 the cost of capital proceeding is 27 4.74 percent. Sound about right? I haven't looked at their cost of 2.8 Α

1 capital recently. 2. Putting aside the exact number, you 3 wouldn't suggest that without the bankruptcy reorganization, PG&E would have had a lower 4 5 cost of debt than Southern California Edison, 6 would you? 7 Α I'm not suggesting anything. I was just working the math --8 9 But would you agree -- and I'm 10 sorry, I interrupted you. Go ahead. 11 Α I mean I worked the math basically. I had -- the data was available. 12 I went and 13 I replaced the exchanged debt with the old 14 exchanged debt, so with the old interest 15 rates to bring it up to the higher amount. 16 So I'm -- to the extent that PG&E 17 said that 4.31 percent was the correct cost 18 of debt as compared to the 5.16 in a cost of 19 capital case, all I did was an incremental 2.0 analysis. And, I apologize, I probably 21 should have picked up a few hundredths it 22 looks like or maybe a .2 percent. That's my 2.3 mistake. 24 That would have raised the 25 resulting cost of capital to 4.5 maybe. But 26 the point here is I was trying to do a 27 parallel analysis so we can isolate the

effect of the POR and not the forecast error.

2.8

Ms. Yap, I don't think --1 ALJ ALLEN: THE WITNESS: Or the forecast 2. 3 uncertainty. Ms. Yap, I don't think 4 ALJ ALLEN: 5 there's a question pending. 6 Mr. Allred, if you could ask your 7 next question. Ms. Yap, if you could make sure 8 9 you're answering the question. If you want 10 more explanation to provide, you can do that 11 on redirect. Mr. Allred. 12 Thank you, your Honor. 13 MR. ALLRED: 14 I'm just asking independent of your 15 calculations, sometimes its useful to step 16 back before you dive into or after you try to 17 dive into the details of a complicated spread 18 sheet and say does this result seem 19 consistent with what one would expect from a 2.0 big-picture look at things. You would not expect a 21 22 pre-bankruptcy or non-bankruptcy PG&E to have a lower cost of debt than Southern California 2.3 24 Edison, would you? 25 MS. SHERIFF: Objection, your Honor, 26 Ms. Yap has indicated that she is not 27 familiar with Southern California's cost of 2.8 debt.

27

2.8

ALJ ALLEN: Overruled, it's a general 1 2. question. THE WITNESS: I would expect it to be 3 generally similar, but there are differences 4 5 between the companies; so, within the same 6 ballpark, assuming that PG&E was not in 7 bankruptcy. BY MR. ALLRED: 8 9 So if we were to take just the two 10 changes that you have noted of adding in the 11 roughly .2 percent, that would take the 12 savings back to over 40 million a year in 2021; right? 13 14 I can work the spread sheet if you Α 15 want to ask that question. I can't tell just 16 off the top of my head looking at the 17 numbers. But it would certainly change the 18 I'm willing to agree with that, that's 19 the result of increasing the 4.35 percent and 2.0 you compare it to 5.16 and you take the difference times the rate base, that's going 21 22 to give you a lower number than 1.83. 2.3 And do you have any quarrel with 24 the approach of taking the revenue 25 requirements and applying these percentages 26 to calculate savings?

question again, please.

I'm sorry, can you ask that

The basic structure of this spread 1 0 2. sheet is taking the coupon and the fees, calculating the difference from the 3 authorized cost of debt and applying that to 4 5 the revenue requirements to get a revenue 6 savings; correct? 7 Α In my response to PG&E's data request, I agreed that mathematically you 8 9 take the incremental change in debt times the 10 capital share represented by debt times the 11 rate base, you do end up with a revenue 12 requirement impact. I agree with that. 13 There's no math error in PG&E's 14 calculation that, based on a .85 percent 15 reduction in the cost of capital, you would 16 get \$192 million a year savings; right? 17 Α Again, in the response to PG&E's 18 questions, I did agree that mathematically you derive 192 million. I just didn't agree 19 2.0 with the attribution of that .85 to the POR because I don't think that's correct. 21 22 0 Okay. If you'll turn to page 23 of 2.3 your testimony, please. At the top of that 24 page you say, quote: 25 While I appreciate the 26 fact that securitizing 27 debt has the potential to 28

improve PG&E's credit

1	rating and
2	correspondingly reduce
3	overall debt costs, in my
4	opinion the risk of
5	securitization is too
6	great, close quote.
7	Do you see that?
8	A Yes, I did write that sentence.
9	Q And you agree that securitization
10	does provide benefits? There is a positive
11	side of the ledger to securitization?
12	A It moves debt off of the balance
13	sheet effectively.
14	Q And provides for a lower cost
15	finance source; right?
16	A That's the theory; that is, you
17	clean up the balance sheet, you improve the
18	look to the rating agencies, and the hope is
19	that they improve.
20	Q And also strictly within the
21	securitization you can get a lower rate
22	because of the securitization structure;
23	right?
24	A I'm sorry, ask the question one
25	more time, please.
26	Q By using a securitization
27	structure, one can get a lower interest rate
28	than through other types of debt?
	1

For the -- you're talking about for 1 Α 2 the 7 billion that PG&E is requesting the securitization for? 3 Right, or for whatever amount; 4 Not whatever amount, but for whatever 5 right? amount you're securitizing, you can get that 6 7 debt at a lower cost by securitizing? Yes, because you do have the 8 9 quarantee of the stream of money. 10 0 And that can translate into 11 ratepayer benefits; fair to say? 12 It depends on whether you think 13 that the ratepayers should be paying that 14 stream of money. In other words, if you 15 think that the ratepayers are obliged to pay 16 the 7 billion, then securitizing it would 17 offer them a lower cost of debt for paying 18 that 7 billion. 19 But it remains in my mind a 2.0 question as to whether the ratepayer should 21 be paying the 7 billion. And therein lies the rub from a policy perspective. 22 2.3 Now, the reason you expressed 0 24 concern is because of risk. Is the risk 25 you're referring to another possible PG&E 26 bankruptcy? 27 Α Yes. 2.8 And do you believe the Commission Q

2.8

has the authority to order that rate credits 1 2. proposed by PG&E to offset the nonbypassable rate charges for the proposed securitization 3 would continue in the event PG&E were to file 4 for bankruptcy in the future? 5 6 Α You need to repeat the question, 7 please. Does this Commission have the 8 9 authority to order that rate credits that would be used as an offset in a 10 11 securitization to the rate charges would continue in the event of a PG&E bankruptcy? 12 You know, I don't know. 13 I think 14 that remains an open question as to whether 15 they would have that authority if PG&E were 16 to declare bankruptcy again. If the Commission were to determine 17 0 18 it did have that authority, that would 19 reassure you on the risk that you cite; 2.0 correct? 21 Α It would certainly address and 22 issue. 2.3 It's the issue you've identified. 0 I've identified another issue as 24 Δ 25 well, the concern that the ratepayers -- I 26 mean if we want to read on in my testimony --27 the concern that the ratepayers feel like

maybe this isn't a cost that they ought to be

paying and that the Commission hasn't 1 addressed whether it's reasonable for them to 2. 3 pay it. Assuming that the securitization is 4 0 5 structured to be rate neutrality with offsets -- and we'll discuss what those 6 7 offsets are -- assuming that it's structured to be neutral to ratepayers, that issue would 9 qo away; right? If the Commission could somehow 10 11 quarantee that those -- and I'm not -- and in 12 my opinion, I'm not convinced that the Commission could do that. 13 14 hypothetically, if the Commission were able 15 to quarantee that that stream of payments 16 from PG&E would go forward for the entire 17 life of the securitization, bankruptcy or no 18 bankruptcy, regardless of whether or not 19 liabilities PG&E might face in the future, 2.0 then, yes, that would address a concern. 21 Then I want to now have you turn to 22 PG&E-X-02, which is another data request. 2.3 It's a one-pager in front of you. Do you 24 have that in front of you? 25 А That's the six, seven, and eight? 26 Yes. And in the response to Q-6, 27 the second to the last sentence begins, 28 quote:

1	PG&E has not demonstrated
2	that there is a market
3	for additional
4	securitized debt covering
5	the earlier period, close
6	quote.
7	Do you see that?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Can you explain what that means.
10	A Personally I have a concern that
11	you may saturate the market. We're talking
12	about really large sums of money, you know,
13	7 billion here, 6 billion there. I mean in
14	previous if one goes back and looks at
15	previous securitizations, 10 billion was a
16	lot of money.
17	And we're now dealing with the
18	wildfire fund securitization adopted by 1054,
19	which is 11 billion, we're looking at PG&E
20	potentially coming in with its proposed 7
21	billion. I mean it's just a question of
22	whether the market gets saturated, whether
23	there's interest in that. It's an empirical
24	question.
25	Q You would expect experienced
26	investment bankers to have a good visibility
27	on that?
28	A Presumably they would the market

would signal whether there was interest. 1 2. from the Commission's perspective, it's an issue that they should keep in mind. There's 3 not endless market for different kinds of There's consequences to 5 debt instruments. having too much of, if you will, too much of 6 7 what PG&E is considering to be a good thing. 8 9 On page 23 of your testimony where 10 we are -- were, if you go to line 10, it 11 states, quote, thus, the Commission should 12 deny PG&E's proposal to securitize the \$7 billion in debt costs, and direct PG&E to 13 14 use the NOLs to support the most appropriate form of unsecuritized debt that is available 15 16 to PG&E to refinance the \$6 billion in short-term debt and the additional 17 18 1.35 billion due to the fire victim trust, 19 close quote. Do you see that? 2.0 Α Yes, I do. 21 And this refers back to what we were referring earlier, the possibility of 22 2.3 NOLs, shareholder asset NOLs, being used to 24 offset the dedicated rate stream in the 25 securitization. Right? 26 Yes. We're -- we're referring to 27 the -- the NOLs, or the tax savings associated with the losses. So we're 2.8

1	projecting forward that there will be a
2	stream of money that would otherwise have
3	been paid to the IRS that would be available
4	to support the debt. And
5	Q And so you you would agree it
6	would be appropriate to use NOLs generated by
7	the payment of wildfire claims costs to
8	refinance the 6 billion in temporary utility
9	debt, as I read as I read this. Correct?
10	A Yes, to the extent I mean PG&E
11	asserted that it had those NOLs in sufficient
12	levels to be able to cover the cost of the
13	securitization. Presumably, it's reasonably
14	close to the cost of debt that's not
15	securitized in the same manner.
15 16	securitized in the same manner. Q And I understand that you oppose
16	Q And I understand that you oppose
16 17	Q And I understand that you oppose securitization. But, to the extent that
16 17 18	Q And I understand that you oppose securitization. But, to the extent that securitization were approved by the
16 17 18 19	Q And I understand that you oppose securitization. But, to the extent that securitization were approved by the Commission, you would agree that those NOLs
16 17 18 19 20	Q And I understand that you oppose securitization. But, to the extent that securitization were approved by the Commission, you would agree that those NOLs could equally be used, instead, to offset the
16 17 18 19 20 21	Q And I understand that you oppose securitization. But, to the extent that securitization were approved by the Commission, you would agree that those NOLs could equally be used, instead, to offset the dedicated rate component on customer bills.
16 17 18 19 20 21	Q And I understand that you oppose securitization. But, to the extent that securitization were approved by the Commission, you would agree that those NOLs could equally be used, instead, to offset the dedicated rate component on customer bills. Right?
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q And I understand that you oppose securitization. But, to the extent that securitization were approved by the Commission, you would agree that those NOLs could equally be used, instead, to offset the dedicated rate component on customer bills. Right? A Yes, the math would definitely work
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q And I understand that you oppose securitization. But, to the extent that securitization were approved by the Commission, you would agree that those NOLs could equally be used, instead, to offset the dedicated rate component on customer bills. Right? A Yes, the math would definitely work out.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Q And I understand that you oppose securitization. But, to the extent that securitization were approved by the Commission, you would agree that those NOLs could equally be used, instead, to offset the dedicated rate component on customer bills. Right? A Yes, the math would definitely work out. MR. ALLRED: No further questions.

CROSS-EXAMINATION 1 BY MR. ALCANTAR: 2. 3 Q Good day, Ms. Yap. Good to see 4 you. 5 Α Good day. 6 0 Just really one question. I'm 7 interested in your definition -- as opposed to perhaps the question the way it was 8 9 phrased to you, of the definition of risk of 10 securitization. Could you clarify that for 11 us, please? Is it only the event of a future 12 PG&E bankruptcy or are there other risks you 13 had in mind in your testimony on page 23 of 14 your -- of exhibit -- of your exhibit? 15 I'm -- it's a -- as I think I've 16 expressed in my testimony, I am concerned 17 that the ratepayers would -- there are 18 circumstances under which the ratepayers 19 could basically be left holding the bag, and 2.0 that's -- that's what I'm focused on here, 21 and -- and then I also think there is a real 22 issue as to whether -- you know, if that 2.3 were -- I mean if that were to occur, and the 24 ratepayers are left holding the bag, would 25 the Commission otherwise have made the 26 determination that a hundred percent of the 27 7 billion-dollar obligation was just and 2.8 reasonable to place in -- in rates. And so,

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

26

27

2.8

1046 March 2, 2020 there's this disconnect between what the 1 2. Commission would have done under ordinary circumstances had PG&E come in and asked to 3 recover those monies, and has a right to file 4 5 an application; but, the Commission would 6 have gone through that process. That's the 7 disconnect here that I -- I'm very concerned about. 9 Could you compare your assessment 10 of the risk of securitization with what you 11 understand PG&E's view of any risk of securitization? 12 13 MR. ALLRED: Object to the form. 14 ALJ ALLEN: Overruled. 15

THE WITNESS: It's a little difficult, because I don't know exactly what their definition is. But, my understanding is you -- the Commission would attach a payment, a rate, that is paid off for 20 years or 15 years, whatever the life of the debt is, and that is -- that's dedicated. It can't be undone without violating the securities, the terms of -- I mean all sorts of agreements are signed off in doing a securitization. it's not -- it's not something -- you know, in five years, if PG&E's bankrupt, you can't just say, "Oh, well. We really didn't mean it. The ratepayers don't have to pay." The

```
1
     ratepayers still have to pay that rate,
 2.
     regardless of the -- of the circumstances.
 3
     That's my understanding. That's the basis
     for my concern about if there were a
 5
     bankruptcy that basically upset the apple
     cart with respect to the NOLs.
 6
 7
     BY MR. ALCANTAR:
               I appreciate that response.
                                             The --
 9
     the question I'm -- I think I'm trying to
10
     sort out is in your review and assessment of
11
     the PG&E testimony associated with its
12
     optimism, if you will, with respect to the
     benefits of securitization before the
13
14
     Commission, do you see any risk at all in
15
     their assessment?
16
           MR. ALLRED: Objection, vague, lacks
17
     foundation.
18
           ALJ ALLEN: Overruled.
19
           THE WITNESS: You know, I don't have
     the testimony in front of me, so I -- I can't
2.0
21
     really speak to what they were saying.
22
           MR. ALCANTAR:
                          Thank you. Nothing
2.3
     further, your Honor.
24
                       Thank you, Mr. Alcantar.
           ALJ ALLEN:
25
               Mr. Bloom?
           MR. BLOOM: Thank you, your Honor.
26
27
     ///
     ///
28
```

CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. BLOOM:
Q Good afternoon, Ms. Yap.
A Good afternoon.
Q My name is Jerry Bloom, and I'm
here on behalf of the Tort Claimants
Committee.
On page 10 of your testimony, you
address the evolution of PG&E's management
structure, and specifically talk about that
prior to 2000, PG&E had organized its
business around geographic regions. Is that
correct?
A Yes, that is correct.
Q And then we had the PG&E bankruptcy
2001. But, in the mid-2000s, you state in
your testimony at page 11 that PG&E moved to
a centralized organizational structure. Is
that correct?
A Yes.
Q And now, in the questions you've
already been asked this this afternoon by
PG&E's counsel, we find that PG&E has teed up
a regional structuring plan that it plans to
submit in the future. We just have a little
bit of detail now, but this is a plan that's
forthcoming?
A Yes, there was a half a page, I

2.3

24

25

26

27

2.8

filing.

March 2, 2020 believe, in the -- in their testimony. 1 2. That's correct. And is your testimony, for clarification today, that you 3 would like to see that filed or a requirement 4 5 that that get filed in the General Rate Case, in their next General Rate Case, which would 6 7 be the 2021 filing? My proposal is that it -- you would Α 9 address the Plan of Reorganization in the 10 context of the General Rate Case that PG&E would -- well, let me back up. 11 12 That PG&E would take the year that it would have between the time the Commission 13 14 addressed the POR and the time it filed its 15 General Rate Case for test year 2023, that 16 that would be filed in the fall of 2021, and 17 PG&E would incorporate in that filing the new 18 organization, basically. And I suspect that 19 there may be some considerable differences in 2.0 the way they describe their organization, 21 their -- it could be reflected in O&M costs, 22 et cetera; but, whatever. It gets

And then the questions you were asked earlier this afternoon, do you have an idea of -- of the timing it will take that whole process, if you will, the -- you talk

That's what my -- my vision was.

incorporated in that General Rate Case

about the complications and the pervasiveness 1 2. of the change to actually go through those proposals in the GRC to be implemented. 3 Do you have any idea what we're 4 talking about, what would be the time to 5 6 implement those changes? 7 Α Well, to do a major reorganization, it's not something you can do in four months. You can talk about it in four months. 9 might have a better idea than you have today 10 11 in four months. But, I think it takes years 12 to actually effect a -- a reorganization. Okay. And if you've been around or 13 14 listened to cross-examination by Mr. Abrams 15 in this, and also from the victims' 16 perspective who's worried about what's going 17 to happen in the interim period, there are 18 questions of how the system operates and what 19 we do as we effectuate these longer-term 2.0 changes that are coming up. Is that correct? 21 Α I have -- I can appreciate that Mr. Abrams has some concerns about the 22 2.3 victims. It's certainly reflected in his 24 questions. 25 So if I understand your 0 Okav. 26 testimony, on page 11, you discuss the matrix type organization, and you say that the 27

implementation of this would allow the

28

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

2.8

Commission or PG&E to start acting more quickly in what you call an interim period.

So could you explain how that recommendation works or what happens in the interim period in the -- and the necessity of why -- why you want something implemented in the interim period?

My -- I mean, again, my vision was Α that the -- the fully fleshed out plan would be reflected in the General Rate Case in about a year. So in the meantime, there are steps that PG&E could take to address the types of concerns that have emerged, and I describe it. I -- I think I -- I described the -- the creation of the troubleshooter type person who would try to integrate -- I mean it is -- it is a matrix or a pseudo matrix type of organization structure where you have two lines of responsibility for an individual, they have two lines of reporting. So generally, people -- well, not always. But, if you don't have a matrix organization, you have a single line of reporting, and generally organized around some, you know -you could be organized around geography, but a lot of times, it's functional. And PG&E 's is what I'm calling task-oriented, because it's functional with a twist, lots and lots

1	and lots of functions broken out into lots of
2	detail. So you create a situation where you
3	begin to draw the attention of people who are
4	focused on the function. They're focused on
5	the "I'm going to go out and, you know, I'm
6	going to plan something or I'm going to
7	engineer something or I'm going to go repair
8	something," and I'm thinking of it in terms
9	of my limited focus, and I'm what we're
10	trying to do is broaden that focus so that
11	the folks that they're thinking as
12	as I believe I say in my testimony, more
13	wholistically, so that for each geographic
14	region, you begin to get commun you get
15	better communication among the different task
16	area or task motivated people within a
17	particular geography. Now, they're still
18	reporting back to San Francisco or to the
19	sub-regions. So they still have that
20	responsibility to in terms of quality
21	control, in terms of what they're supposed to
22	be doing, how fast they're doing it. But,
23	they begin to have responsibilities for
24	"Let's compare notes." And this
25	troubleshooter I was trying to think of
26	something that would would provide an
27	opportunity where you have somebody who
28	actually has responsibility to weave together

1	across all the different tasks. They're
2	important tasks. Right? But, they're
3	individually done, and they'll be done in
4	different geography, you know, different
5	geographical areas at different times, and
6	they're not thinking, oh, for, you know,
7	the Lake County, which came up earlier,
8	they're not thinking, "Oh, what's the best
9	for Lake, or are we covering all the bases in
10	Lake County?" So the troubleshooter would
11	start the start that up, because it's
12	going to be their responsibility to make sure
13	that, from Lake County's perspective, they're
14	getting stuff covered; and if they aren't,
15	that troubleshooter knows who to go to back
16	at the in the various line positions to
17	try to get that effected and figure out,
18	well, what's causing you know, why are
19	things falling through the cracks or why
20	isn't something being covered. Now,
21	obviously, they're going to be competing with
22	other demands on these resources. So it's
23	not a perfect, you know Lake County won't
24	just get everything it wants, but but,
25	Lake County gets, if you will, represented in
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
26	the organization kind of geographically

off. So that was the -- that was the idea. 1 2. You anticipated very well where my 3 questions were going. On page 14 of your testimony, in 4 5 fact, you discuss the horizontal linkage and 6 proposals, and -- and in that context, you 7 specifically, as you just referred, discuss prevent -- preventing things falling through 9 the cracks and troubleshooting in terms of 10 that proposal. Is that correct? 11 Α Yes. 12 And that's part of the -- and is 13 this horizontal linkage -- because I wasn't 14 clear. 15 Is that also an interim step or is 16 that something that's more generic or more 17 structural? 18 А It's an interim step, and it could 19 be set aside. They -- I mean the people 2.0 that'll be thinking about the reorganization, you know, they can think about to the extent 21 that leaving matrix kind of, you know, within 22 2.3 the organization, you could decide you want to have a -- a mini matrix within it. 24 25 think Ms. Powell might have referred -- or 26 someone referred to a matrix style 27 organization -- no. Anyway, one of the witnesses I heard I -- referred to a matrix 2.8

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

26

27

2.8

or -- type organization. I think it was in a customer service context. So I mean you can have little matrix organizations. So they could decide to preserve it or they could decide to set it aside.

You would agree, then, if I understand the point you made earlier, it's really important as we implement the -- the Plan of Reorganization and move forward and a lot of the details get filled in, the restructuring plan and things come to the Commission, to have a system in place that allows us to troubleshoot or identify, as you said a minute ago, as specific concerns or problems or issues arise, a system in place to identify those things to take care of them, not wait 'til two or three or four years from now, and in the meanwhile, things fell through cracks or, God forbid, we end up with another catastrophic event or things that we don't want to be happening in the meantime?

A These -- these are meant to be things that would -- you would effect them very quickly, and you could adapt them to how things evolve as people's thinking about what regionalization means from an organizational perspective. These interim steps are

adaptable, and you could fashion measures to 1 2. try to figure out if they're helpful or not, 3 and you could adapt them to make them better, you know, better -- I mean maybe the 4 troubleshooter isn't the perfect solution. 5 6 You could come up with something that's even 7 better. But -- but, the point here is that you do have the options to take immediate 9 steps. 10 And I was struggling with the same 11 thing you'd like call them. 12 What you're saying is we need the 13 fashion, whether they're measures or metrics 14 or some type of identifiable metrics or things that would bring those issues that you 15 16 talked about, those concerns that surface, to 17 the surface, and get them taken along the 18 way, so we don't end up down the line saying, 19 "How did we get here with a catastrophic 2.0 event?" We can back that up, and take care 21 of those things as we effectuate change. 22 even if we have a restructuring plan in 23 place, as we're implementing it, if we get 24 off track, we can make adjustments along the 25 way? 26 Α I would agree that it's 27 definitely -- you could definitely make 2.8 adjustments to this. I mean and -- and it

2.8

would work within what PG&E's proposing as 1 2. the overarching plan -- you know, region -regionalization plan. This is an interim 3 step that could be carried forward or set 4 5 aside, as appropriate. Okay. So taking out what you said, 6 7 this is all within what PG&E is proposing, is what you just said. Correct? 8 And it -- it wouldn't slow it 9 It shouldn't slow it down. 10 11 should -- it should -- it should help. And -- and, in fact, thinking about --12 thinking about the work that troubleshooters 13 would do, I think, would actually be 14 15 inspirational, or should be, in terms of 16 thinking about what the real needs are, in 17 terms of regionalizing the company, what 18 you're really trying to accomplish. 19 And would you accept that 2.0 inspiration that you're talking about by 21 being able to troubleshoot and make course 22 corrections, I think will be a nice way to --2.3 to frame this, would certainly help victims who need a peace of mind that what we're 24 25 doing is leading to a different result or we 26 can make corrections along the way to 27 optimize our ability to get to a better,

safer, more affordable system?

1	A I would think that the victims and
2	the communities from which those victims came
3	would be reassured by the creation of a
4	troubleshooter. And we're going to call it
5	troubleshooter, because that's what I chose.
6	But, that type of position, I think that
7	would be enormously reassuring to them,
8	because it would be demonstration that the
9	organization is taking the community
10	perspective very seriously, not just from a
11	hold your hand at the customer end of things,
12	but from across the fabric of of the
13	corporation, across the engineering, across
14	the maintenance, the the personnel,
15	that that people would be there would
16	be an attempt to fold in that tech the
17	try to
18	ALJ ALLEN: I think you've answered the
19	question already.
20	THE WITNESS: Okay.
21	ALJ ALLEN: Thank you.
22	MR. BLOOM: Thank you, your Honor.
23	That concludes my cross.
24	Thank you, Ms. Yap.
25	ALJ ALLEN: Ms. Sheriff, do you have
26	any redirect?
27	
<i>Z</i> /	MS. SHERIFF: I have one question for

ALJ ALLEN: Okay. She's done most of 1 2. the redirect already herself, so --MS. SHERIFF: Yes. I'm blessed with 3 my -- with my witnesses, your Honor. 4 Thank 5 you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION 6 7 BY MS. SHERIFF: Ms. Yap, on what's been marked for 8 0 9 identification as PG&E-X-2, which is the 10 CLECA Response Number 6, counsel for PG&E 11 asked you about specifically the risk of 12 securitization from another PG&E bankruptcy. You responded to that, and also talked about 13 14 the concern over market saturation. 15 What other risks do you see to the 16 ratepayers of securitization? 17 ALJ ALLEN: I thought Mr. Alcantar 18 asked that question. 19 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I -- I'm sorry. 2.0 I -- I see it as being the -- the ratepayers 21 are forever bound to paying that -- you know, 22 paying that amount of money, regardless of 2.3 what happens downstream to PG&E's ability to 24 offset it. I think that's really the major 25 risk. 26 MS. SHERIFF: Okay. Thank you. 27 ALJ ALLEN: Thank you, Ms. Sheriff. 28 Let's go off the record for a

1	second.
2	(Off the record.)
3	ALJ ALLEN: On the record.
4	I'm assuming there's no more
5	cross-examination for this witness.
6	(No response.)
7	ALJ ALLEN: Seeing none, Ms. Sheriff,
8	do you wish to move some exhibits?
9	MS. SHERIFF: Yes, your Honor. May I
10	please ask to have moved into the record
11	Exhibit CLECA-1 and Exhibit CLECA-1-E?
12	ALJ ALLEN: Is there any objection to
13	the receipt of those two exhibits?
14	MR. ALLRED: Provided that I understand
15	correctly that 1-E completely replaces pages
16	7 and 8 of 1, I have no objection.
17	ALJ ALLEN: CLECA-1-E has a redline
18	that shows exactly what is changed.
19	MR. ALLRED: Oh, okay.
20	ALJ ALLEN: And so it's pretty clear
21	what it does on pages 7 and 8 of CLECA-1.
22	Hearing no objection, CLECA-1 and
23	CLECA-1-E are admitted.
24	(Exhibit No. CLECA-01 was received into evidence.)
25	into evidence.)
26	(Exhibit No. CLECA-01-E was received into evidence.)
27	THEO CVIGCHEC.
28	ALJ ALLEN: Thank you, Ms. Yap. You

1	may step down.
2	Off the record.
3	(Off the record.)
4	ALJ ALLEN: On the record.
5	PG&E, call your witness, please.
6	MR. MANHEIM: Thank you, your Honor.
7	Mr. Robert Kenney.
8	ALJ ALLEN: Thank you.
9	ROBERT S. KENNEY called as a witness
10	<pre>by Pacific Gas & Electric Company, having been sworn, testified as follows:</pre>
11	TOTIOWS:
12	THE WITNESS: I do.
13	ALJ ALLEN: Thank you. Please be
14	seated, state your full name, and spell your
15	last name for the record.
16	THE WITNESS: Full name is Robert,
17	middle initial "S," last name Kenney,
18	K-e-n-n-e-y.
19	MR. MANHEIM: Thank you.
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. MANHEIM:
22	Q Good afternoon, Mr. Kenney.
23	Can you state your position with
24	PG&E?
25	A Vice president, state and
26	regulatory affairs.
27	Q Thank you. And are you sponsoring
28	PG&E Exhibit-1, Chapters 10, 11 and 12?

```
1
           Α
               Yes, I am.
 2.
               Do you have any corrections to your
 3
     testimony?
               Two small ones, if I may.
 4
 5
     Chapter 12, page 4, line 8, I used the word
                    That should read
 6
     reaffirmance.
     reaffirmation.
 7
               Chapter 12, page 5, line 20, the
 8
 9
     same correction, replace the word
     reaffirmance with reaffirmation.
10
11
           0
               Okay. Thank you. With those
12
     corrections, what -- was that testimony
13
     prepared by you or under your direction?
14
           Α
               Yes. Yes, it was.
15
               And with the corrections noted, is
16
     it true and correct, to the best of your
17
     knowledge?
18
           Α
               Yes, it is.
19
           O
               Thank you.
2.0
               The witness is available for
21
     cross-examination.
22
           ALJ ALLEN: Thank you, Mr. Manheim.
2.3
               Today, the only cross of this
24
     witness will be by Ms. Sheriff. Go ahead,
25
     Ms. Sheriff.
           MS. SHERIFF: Thank you.
26
27
     ///
     ///
28
```

1	CROSS-EXAMINATION
2	BY MS. SHERIFF:
3	Q Good afternoon, Mr. Kenney, Nora
4	Sheriff for CLECA. It's nice to see you
5	again.
6	A Likewise; good afternoon.
7	Q At page 10-2, lines 6 to 7, you
8	reference, quote, a substantial reduction in
9	the cost of debt, end quote, as the cause for
10	the projected reduction in customer rates.
11	Let me know when you get there.
12	A Yes.
13	Q Are you referring to anything
14	besides the reduction in the revenue
15	requirement of about \$70.7 million per year
16	from lower interest rates?
17	A So the what's referenced there
18	on page 2, line 10, as discussed in
19	Mr. Wells' testimony, is the savings that
20	would be realized as a result of debt that we
21	would refinance at a lower rate.
22	Q And that is it. Correct?
23	A That's correct.
24	Q Okay. At page 10-3, you talk about
25	the cost to improve the safety of its system
26	that would incurred been incurred
27	regardless of the Plan of Reorganization.
28	Does that include your Wildfire

1	Mitigation Plan for 2020, 2021 and 2022?
2	A You're
3	MR. MANHEIM: What line are you
4	referring to? Sorry.
5	MS. SHERIFF: Lines 9 to 10, changes in
6	rates that result from costs that PG&E would
7	have had to incur to improve the safety of
8	its system.
9	THE WITNESS: That would be the type of
10	costs that is referenced there on lines 10
11	9 and 10. So costs associated with our
12	Wildfire Mitigation Plan are not costs
13	attributable to the Plan of Reorganization,
14	and are, therefore, not subject to the 3292
15	neutral, on average, analysis.
16	BY MS. SHERIFF:
17	Q And does PG&E's three-year Wildfire
18	Mitigation Plan propose about \$2.6 billion
19	per year to harden the system?
20	A That sounds directionally correct.
21	Q Okay. At page 10-4, line 8, you
22	reference a, quote, baseline of what would
23	have been required absent Chapter 11.
24	I think we just discussed that that
25	baseline would include your Wildfire
26	Mitigation Plan. Correct?
27	A Yes, the baseline of what would
28	have been required irrespective of the

So costs associated with our 1 Chapter 11. 2 Wildfire Mitigation Plan, costs that appear in our General Rate Case, for instance, those 3 are costs that would have been acquired irrespective of the Chapter 11. 5 Does it include the pending 6 7 application filed on February 7th, 2020 for recovery of 899 million for costs recorded in 8 9 multiple wildfire mitigation and catastrophic 10 event memorandum accounts? 11 Α I believe you're referencing our 12 application for interim rate relief. 13 0 Uh-huh. 14 Α That would be separate and apart 15 from the Chapter 11, and those would be costs 16 that would have been required irrespective of 17 1 the Chapter 11. So yes. 18 A simple "yes" or "no" would be 19 sufficient. 2.0 Α All right. Sorry. 21 Does the baseline also include the 22 application to recover insurance cost in the 2.3 Wildfire Expense Memorandum Account of about 24 \$498.7 million also filed February 7th? 25 Α Yes. 26 Okay. Are you familiar with 27 comparisons of California's rates with other 2.8 states' rates?

1	A Generally speaking, yes.
2	Q Would you agree that California
3	rates tend to be higher than the rates of
4	other states?
5	A Yes, generally.
6	Q You used to be a commissioner in
7	another state; correct?
8	A I did.
9	Q Missouri; right?
10	A That's right.
11	Q Do you recall whether California
12	rates are higher than Missouri's rates?
13	A So I do recall that California's
14	rates are higher than Missouri's rates.
15	Q And if you're an industrial
16	customer who uses a lot of power on a regular
17	basis, so 24-7, full shifts, high load
18	factor, you also have correspondingly high
19	bills associated with those high rates;
20	correct?
21	A Correspondingly or comparatively?
22	So compared to Missouri?
23	Q Mm-hmm.
24	A I suspect that would be true since
25	the rates here would be higher than the rates
26	in Missouri. But I don't recall what the
27	industrial rates were in Missouri. But
28	comparatively speaking, I think that would be

1	right.
2	Q Thank you.
3	MS. SHERIFF: Your Honor, I have no
4	further questions.
5	ALJ ALLEN: Thank you.
6	Any recross based on that?
7	REDIRECT-EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. MANHEIM:
9	Q Yeah. Does PG&E evaluate rate
10	impacts on customers on the basis of the rate
11	or on the average monthly cost?
12	A So when we look at the cost impact,
13	we're looking at the bottom of the bill. So
14	it's the bill overall rather than just the
15	rate itself.
16	Q And how does PG&E's average monthly
17	bill compare in terms of the national
18	average?
19	A So compared to the national
20	average, we're either in line with or
21	actually a little bit lower. That's
22	primarily attributable to a couple different
23	issues: The temperate climate but also our
24	leadership around energy efficiency.
25	MS. SHERIFF: Your Honor, I was asking
26	about industrial customer rates. Is this
27	specific to industrial customer rates?
28	ALJ ALLEN: Whatever. I mean, this is

1	about something we actually don't need a lot
2	of cross on. We have plenty of record on
3	this issue.
4	So are you done?
5	MR. MANHEIM: I'm done.
6	ALJ ALLEN: Okay.
7	I assume you don't need to re-cross.
8	MS. SHERIFF: No. Thank you.
9	ALJ ALLEN: Anything else for
10	Mr. Kenney today?
11	(No response.)
12	ALJ ALLEN: Any housekeeping things we
13	need to take care of before we adjourn for
14	the day?
15	MS. SHERIFF: I do have one question
16	about the timeliness of the hearing
17	transcripts with the opening deadline coming
18	up.
19	ALJ ALLEN: Well, if I could stop going
20	until 5:00 o'clock, they could probably get
21	them out faster.
22	MR. BLOOM: We only have one so far,
23	your Honor.
24	ALJ ALLEN: I'm sure the reporters are
25	working on it. You know, Judge Cook and I
26	have been really maximizing hearing room
27	time. And to the extent that parties want to
28	keep doing cross-examination on stuff that a

2.

2.0

lot of I think is of questionable value to this Commission and to briefing, we're going to have long hearing days. And it's going to slow down the transcripts.

So I'm not making any promises on behalf of the reporters. I know they work hard and are trying to get the transcripts out quickly.

But if I'm running 9:00 to 12:00 and 1:00 to 5:00, that doesn't give them a lot of time to get the transcripts ready. So I'm sure they're getting them as quickly as they can. You know, I don't have a huge amount of sympathy if I'm having this kind of length of hearing days to get through stuff where frankly there's a lot of stuff that's -- there's cross-examination here that's not on disputed factual issues that I think parties can raise in briefs. So I won't promise you anything other than I'm sure the reporters are trying to get the transcripts out as quickly as they can.

I would start again at 9:00. I am cognizant that it's election day. Actually, we might -- last week when I was doing this and hoping we would be efficient, I was thinking maybe we could start at 10:30. But given how it's going, we'll start at 9:30

```
1
     tomorrow morning.
 2
                Anything else?
 3
                (Ne response.)
                        Thank you. The hearing is
 4
           ALJ ALLEN:
 5
     adjourned for the day.
 6
                (Off the record.)
 7
                (Whereupon, at the hour of 4:44 p.m.
            this matter having been continued to
            9:30 a.m. March 3, 2020, at
 8
            San Francisco, California, the
            Commission then adjourned.)
                                                   ]
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
2	OF THE
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
4	
5	
6	CERTIFICATION OF TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING
7	I, ANDREA L. ROSS, CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER
8	NO. 7896, IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DO
9	HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PAGES OF THIS TRANSCRIPT
10	PREPARED BY ME COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT
11	TRANSCRIPT OF THE TESTIMONY AND PROCEEDINGS HELD IN
12	THIS MATTER ON MARCH 2, 2020.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I HAVE NO INTEREST IN THE
14	EVENTS OF THE MATTER OR THE OUTCOME OF THE PROCEEDING.
15	EXECUTED THIS MARCH 06, 2020.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	Andrew Tross
21	ANDREA L. ROSS CSR NO. 7896
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
2	OF THE
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
4	
5	
6	CERTIFICATION OF TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING
7	I, CAROL ANN MENDEZ, CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER
8	NO. 4330, IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DO
9	HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PAGES OF THIS TRANSCRIPT
10	PREPARED BY ME COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT
11	TRANSCRIPT OF THE TESTIMONY AND PROCEEDINGS HELD IN
12	THIS MATTER ON MARCH 2, 2020.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I HAVE NO INTEREST IN THE
14	EVENTS OF THE MATTER OR THE OUTCOME OF THE PROCEEDING.
15	EXECUTED THIS MARCH 06, 2020.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	Cara An Wand
21	CAROL ANN MEMDEZ CSR NO. 4330
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
2	OF THE
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
4	
5	
6	CERTIFICATION OF TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING
7	I, JASON STACEY, CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER
8	NO. 14092, IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA DO
9	HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PAGES OF THIS TRANSCRIPT
10	PREPARED BY ME COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT
11	TRANSCRIPT OF THE TESTIMONY AND PROCEEDINGS HELD IN
12	THIS MATTER ON MARCH 2, 2020.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I HAVE NO INTEREST IN THE
14	EVENTS OF THE MATTER OR THE OUTCOME OF THE PROCEEDING.
15	EXECUTED THIS MARCH 06, 2020.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	JASON A. STACEY CSR NO. 14092
22	CSR NO. 11032
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
2	OF THE
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
4	
5	
6	CERTIFICATION OF TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING
7	I, REBEKAH L. DE ROSA, CERTIFIED SHORTHAND
8	REPORTER NO. 8708, IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
9	DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PAGES OF THIS TRANSCRIPT
10	PREPARED BY ME COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT
11	TRANSCRIPT OF THE TESTIMONY AND PROCEEDINGS HELD IN
12	THIS MATTER ON MARCH 2, 2020.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I HAVE NO INTEREST IN THE
14	EVENTS OF THE MATTER OR THE OUTCOME OF THE PROCEEDING.
15	EXECUTED THIS MARCH 06, 2020.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	Rebekal L. Dehosa REBEKAH L. DE ROSA
21	REBEKAH L. DE ROSA CSR NO. 8708
22	CSIC NO. 0700
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

	10-3 975:20,21 1063:24 10-4 1064:21 100 803:28 924:27 927:8 934:23,27 935:4 954:8 1054 959:19,20 960:8,	19-09-016 800:7 1009:2	1049:7,16 1064:1
*			2022 1064:1
\$110 822:21		192 1037:19	2023 1019:19 1049:15
\$192 1037:16		1:00 1069:10 1:01 920:21 921:1	21 1022:28 1023:22,23
\$2.6 1064:18			22 923:21
\$21.663 1016:28 1017:2	12 1042:18 10:30 1069:27	2	23 1037:22 1043:9 1045:13
\$25 1016:1 1017:7	10:40 861:25	2 800:2 811:24 954:5	23,000 937:24
\$3.2 962:1	11 976:2 1014:12 1015:20 1024:2 1042:19 1048:17 1050:26 1061:28 1064:23 1065:1,5,15,17	956:17,18 1010:14 1034:22 1036:11 1063:18	24 823:24 944:26
\$498.7 1065:24			24-7 1066:17
\$6 1043:16		20 959:17 979:14 984:19 1020:12 1046:19 1062:8	25 944:26
\$65 852:24			25,000 1019:22
\$7 1043:13	117 832:21	20-year 1029:1	2500 923:23 927:26
\$70.7 1024:13 1063:15	11th 878:9	200 972:24 1006:10,23	929:11 935:3 25th 993:23
\$70.9 1031:1	12 943:19 1061:28 1062:5,8	2000 1048:11	26 1016:11,25 1017:1
\$9 1030:11,24	12:00 920:21 1069:9	2000s 1019:4	26th 967:17
0	12th 873:25	2001 1048:16	27 940:14 1016:11
	13 943:19 1014:12	2015 810:27 840:4	1017:3
0.02 1033:11	1015:20	841:2,7	28 945:23,26
0.15 1032:18,20	135 829:2 928:28	2016 813:13 815:24 816:1,18 819:4	
04-11-015 1016:6	136 829:23 926:21 927:6	2017 815:27	3
1	14 1023:23 1054:4	2018 945:17	3 802:18 949:27 954:6,8 955:26 1013:15 1070:8
1 801:8 810:2 823:26	1400 932:13	2019 816:20 828:3	3292 1064:14
920:19 956:4,18 959:15	15 861:24 865:19	852:21,26 879:22 908:6 912:6,14,24 919:7 926:20 927:3 937:8,10, 12,15,26 941:1 942:9,	3292(b)(1)(c) 979:15
975:21 1006:28 1007:1, 4 1013:13 1060:16	1020:11 1046:20		37 928:2,4,18 929:13,
1,000 831:7	150 1006:10,23	27 943:7 952:21 953:21	16,20
1-E 1060:15	154 1026:9	961:24 965:10 967:14 994:3,15 995:12	3:15 1008:25
1.35 1043:18	156 873:12	1004:17 1005:22	4
1.83 1036:22	16 1028:16	1007:27	
10 844:7 865:18 868:22	17 1026:23	2020 800:2 816:17 850:12 908:22 913:26 914:1 916:9,19 917:21 937:12 945:21 961:26 966:23 967:27 968:8 972:1,26 994:4,15 995:28 1000:22 1001:23 1005:4,16 1027:10 1031:11 1064:1 1065:7 1070:8	4 1062:5
871:15,28 872:2,13,20,	17-3 949:6,14		4.3 1029:28 1031:5
22 879:18 881:7 965:20 1042:15 1043:10	17-4 811:27		4.31 1031:6 1034:17
1048:8 1061:28	18 832:21 1028:16		4.35 1030:19 1036:19
1063:18 1064:5,10,11	183 1030:13 1036:18		4.5 1034:25
10-2 1063:7	19 952:23 1014:11 1015:20 1020:12 1023:24		4.7-odd 1028:7
10-26 968:3			4.74 1033:27
		2021 1030:27 1036:13	

Index: \$110..4.74

March 2, 2020						
40 842:17 845:4 1006:9 1036:12	622 950:9 955:8,9	90-day 816:26,28 818:4	absolute 841:14			
40-page 844:5	63 927:19,23,28 928:10,	92 829:12 830:4 926:26 927:12	absolutely 869:7 871:6 883:3,6 915:12 916:14 929:28			
48 1028:10		98 926:6,9 927:1 930:13				
485 1000:27	65 876:9	935:8	accept 912:12 1057:19			
49 1027:8	694 1028:27	99 933:26 934:19	acceptable 827:3			
4:00 1008:20	6th 993:22	9:00 1069:9,23	979:19			
4:44 1070:7		9:03 800:2	accepted 821:22			
4th 806:11	7	9:30 1069:28 1070:8	access 896:6 906:23 911:27			
5	7 1015:13 1039:2,16,18, 21 1042:13,20 1045:27	Α	accessed 902:12,17, 27 919:21			
E 4000.0	1060:16,21 1063:7	a.m. 800:2 1070:8	Accessible 894:24			
5 1062:8	7.8 1027:18	AB 959:19,20 960:8,12	957:21			
5.16 1031:10 1034:18 1036:20	7.81 1027:10 1028:5	AB-1054 807:7 808:1	accidents 969:8			
5.925 1032:22	70 1005:21 1031:1	979:8,13 1025:2	accommodate 904:14 932:27			
5:00 1068:20 1069:10	70.7 1025:8,13	AB-1054's 1024:20	accomplish 1057:18			
	729,000 937:25	Ababneh's 951:9	accomplishes			
6	772 1015:6	abbreviated 879:21	1030:18			
6 889:25 891:18,26	7th 1065:7,24	abide 873:15,18 abilities 984:17	account 874:21 941:16,26 943:24,26			
892:20,28 893:21 971:21 974:15 975:1,6	8	ability 895:3 911:11	944:18 974:25 1007:11 1065:23			
976:15 1015:13	•	913:14 917:22 1000:5				
1042:13 1044:8 1059:10 1063:7	8 810:4 1007:13 1015:13 1025:20,26	1011:26 1057:27 1059:23	accountability 958:9 995:4,7			
6-1 959:16 979:12,14	1060:16,21 1062:5 1064:21	Abrams 806:17,18	accountable 821:28			
6-10 895:11 898:13,17	8-7 823:22	807:8,25 808:2,16 838:10,11,12,14 841:23	823:8 847:4 883:21			
6-11 941:3 943:19	80 818:25 1005:17,21	842:25,27 843:5,11,12,	accountant 856:10 accounting 856:8,16			
6-12 901:27 918:27 919:14 940:8 944:26	800 932:11	19,20,24,27 844:2 848:9,10,26 849:6,28	863:19			
945:26	81 818:26,27 819:6	850:4,5 855:19 860:15	accounts 801:11,19			
6-13 901:27 902:7	822:17	861:15 862:2,3 863:28 865:1,2 868:12,13	1027:22 1028:15 1065:10			
907:14 911:1 918:27 919:15 966:22	81,000 931:27	869:11 870:5 872:17 874:12,13,16 878:22,28	accurate 830:18 904:9,			
6-2 948:28	811 813:16	879:16,18,20 880:23	10 905:13 907:10,11			
6-3 948:28 956:4	85 1037:14,20	884:10 888:12,13 970:26,27,28 971:2	909:19,20 914:26 915:8			
6-5 923:18	86 834:4,15	973:21,22 976:26,27	Aceves 1004:10,18			
6-7 936:21	899 1065:8	977:2 980:16,17 981:27 982:3,24 1000:4,7,12	achieve 889:4 918:20			
60 835:21 845:3 927:27	9	1050:14,22	achieved 927:16,19			
60/40 845:3		Abrams-x-10 843:7,8	achievement 935:9			
61.32 833:24 836:4	9 1064:5,11	absent 1030:20	achieving 829:12 926:25			
	90 868:22	1064:23				

Index: 40..achieving

acknowledge 855:26 895:16.26 902:27 acknowledged 823:6 896:8 897:18 912:13 acknowledgment 898:5 acquired 1065:4 act 883:21 912:1 acting 1051:1 action 815:18,23 853:15 996:7 actionable 832:28 actions 850:17 853:12. 16 854:16,18 960:21 967:12 987:20 1001:4,6 activated 1006:13 activation 901:2 actively 840:16 936:25 activities 851:24 887:13 937:20 967:4,26 971:11 983:18 989:25 993:18,25 994:2,8 996:3 997:17 998:1 1021:15 activity 841:4 966:11 989:1 actual 801:4 835:24 943:23 957:6 970:18 1017:27 1025:25 1028:17 acute 951:23 **ADA** 910:23 912:11,14, 24 adapt 1055:25 1056:3 adaptable 1056:1 add 826:5 884:15 922:21 923:7 948:19 977:26 1032:14 added 925:16 994:7

1032:11,17

1036:10

adding 1003:21

890:3 896:10

addition 853:28 862:27

863:11 866:21 881:18

additional 805:2.13 822:10 827:25 867:15 905:5 907:15,18 929:13 938:23 966:27 972:25 994:8 1003:23 1012:9 1042:3 1043:17 additions 823:25 1040:21 1041:20 addressed 800:28 801:2 808:14 814:8 1041:2 1049:14 addresses 978:26 979:4 addressing 802:7 adequacy 981:22 adequately 860:28 876:9 adhering 874:19 adjacent 924:17 adjourn 1068:13

Additionally 925:15 address 800:27 804:5 806:27 815:23 854:6 860:6,12 862:26 920:17 972:26 973:5 977:7,28 1048:9 1049:9 1051:12 816:13,14 978:2 980:11 829:19 979:7 1021:2 adequate 913:9 1012:7 adhere 824:28 874:27 adjourned 1070:5,9 adjust 996:11 adjusted 811:5 1001:8 adjustments 1056:24, 28 Administration 855:10 administrative 800:4, 7 801:21 812:13 1011:15 admission 900:7 admit 903:22 admitted 1012:11 1060:23

afraid 821:16 afternoon 921:1,10 936:8 948:3 959:9 1000:17 1008:21,22 1009:1,23 1014:6,7 1048:3,4,22 1049:26 1061:22 1063:3,6 agencies 969:11 970:3 987:4 1038:18

adopt 892:4 893:6,26

adopted 995:15

advance 849:17

899:11 901:16 904:25

907:3 939:7,16 946:22

958:1 970:16 991:8

advanced 983:21

advancing 839:28

advice 802:8.17.18

804:6,19 1029:16

advocate 807:19

Advocates 965:6

affect 865:14 898:2

affected 807:10 897:21

917:21 937:8,25 940:6,

899:2 900:14 908:21

19 946:15 958:7,15

affirmative 890:20

affordable 895:5

952:24 1057:28

AFM 903:20 904:22

affordability 807:23

967:7 969:13

affects 897:3

affairs 1061:26

1003:24,25

1027:10 1031:10

1017:5

1042:18

1007:1

agree 814:6,13 819:15 868:17 869:17 878:18 879:2,4 880:5 906:14 908:9,14 959:20 973:12,27 974:2 992:10 999:23 1025:1 1026:13 1029:6 1034:9 1036:18

1037:12,18,19 1038:9 1044:5,19 1055:6 1056:26 1066:2

Index: acknowledge..ALJ

agreed 806:5 1029:17 1037:8

agreeing 915:3 agreement 814:16

agreements 908:23,28 1005:24 1046:23

ahead 800:21 822:28 824:23 825:20 833:5,8 838:11 843:10,19 850:4 864:2 865:1 868:12 878:27 921:18 922:27 923:11 970:27 973:21 974:6 976:26 992:2 998:16 1002:22 1009:20 1013:27 1015:16 1021:6 1034:10 1062:24

Ahmad 951:8 aimed 948:16 alarm 983:11

Alcantar 802:14.15.22 804:27,28 959:6,8,10 960:3 962:16,19 964:22,25 979:12 1044:27 1045:2 1047:7, 22,24 1059:17

alerting 862:20 aligned 931:1 aligns 980:28

ALJ 800:17,21 802:11, 23 803:2 804:11,26 805:11,28 806:10,13, 15,27 807:25 808:16,26 809:2,4,7,11,16 810:18 818:7 819:24 821:26 822:9,12 823:11,15 824:20 825:11,17 827:22 828:13,17,23,25 831:12,27 832:12,14,24 833:2,8,28 834:5 835:10,14,23,27 837:23 838:9 841:20 842:25 843:1,10,16,18,24 844:1 848:7,24,27 849:21,24 850:1 854:28 855:16 860:15 861:22

862:1 863:14 864:7,28 867:1,10 868:5,12 869:13 872:2,9 874:10, 14 878:27 879:11,19 880:21 881:24 884:5,9 888:13 889:11,15,19,22 890:7,10,16,22 894:7 910:10 912:18 917:6, 23,27 918:10 920:16 921:9,18 922:9,25 923:4,9,11 932:19,22, 27 935:28 936:3 938:1 942:28 943:12 944:21 947:25,28 948:12 959:5,27 962:15,19 964:25 965:26 970:25 973:19 974:10,13 978:7,10,13,15 980:15 981:10 982:2 1000:4, 10,19 1002:22 1008:12, 24,28 1009:9,14,19 1010:11 1011:8,20,28 1012:2,17,20,24,26 1013:16 1014:17,19,25 1015:2,10,16 1022:18 1029:16,20 1035:1,4 1036:1 1044:26 1046:14 1047:18,24 1058:18,21,25 1059:1, 17,27 1060:3,7,12,17, 20.28 1061:4.8.13 1062:22 1067:5,28 1068:6,9,12,19,24 1070:4

allegations 817:3,20 858:19

Allen 800:4,8,21 802:11,23 803:2 804:11,26 805:11,28 806:10,13,15,27 807:25 808:16,26 809:2,4,7,11, 16 810:18 818:7 819:24 821:26 822:9,12 823:11,15 824:20 825:11,17 827:22 828:13,17,23,25 831:12,27 832:12,14,24 833:2,8,28 834:5 835:10,14,23,27 837:23 838:9 841:20 842:25 843:1,10,16,18,24 844:1 848:7,24,27 849:21,24 850:1 854:28 855:16 860:15 861:22 862:1 863:14 864:7,28

867:2,10 868:5,12 869:13 872:2,9 874:10, 14 878:27 879:11,19 880:21 881:24 884:5,9 888:13 889:11,15,19,22 890:7,10,16,22 894:7 910:10 912:18 917:6, 23,27 918:10 920:16 921:9,18 922:9,25 923:4,9,11 932:19,22, 27 935:28 936:3 938:1 942:28 943:12 944:21 947:25,28 948:12 959:5,27 962:15,19 964:25 965:26 970:25 973:19 974:10,13 978:7,10,13,15 980:15 981:10 982:2 1000:4, 10,19 1002:22 1003:9 1008:12,24,28 1009:9, 14,19 1010:11 1011:8, 20,28 1012:2,17,20,24, 26 1013:16 1014:17,19, 25 1015:2,10,16 1022:18 1029:20 1035:1,4 1036:1 1044:26 1046:14 1047:18,24 1058:18,21, 25 1059:1,17,27 1060:3,7,12,17,20,28 1061:4.8.13 1062:22 1067:5,28 1068:6,9,12, 19,24 1070:4

Allen's 1029:16

allowed 1017:27

Allred 1011:14,15,22 1012:13,14,27 1013:2 1014:2,21,22,28 1015:1,17 1022:19 1029:22,24 1035:6,12, 13 1036:8 1044:25,26 1046:13 1047:16 1060:14,19

alluded 968:9

Alsup 873:26 875:3 877:4 889:6

Alsup's 831:6

alternative 982:19 983:22

amendment 992:26,27 993:3,23,28 994:13 995:15

Americans 911:28

Amit 921:23

amortization 1031:27 1032:18

amortize 1025:11

amortized 1024:8 1026:14 1029:9 1032:8

amortizing 1026:20

amount 853:8 992:14 1017:15 1019:14 1021:11 1026:20 1029:3 1033:12 1034:15 1039:4,5,6 1059:22 1069:13

amounts 802:4

analogy 963:10 982:7

analysis 946:15 956:14 967:15 1023:13,18,20 1028:21 1034:20,27 1064:15

analyze 946:13

analyzed 1023:4

and/or 981:23

animates 1021:21

annual 933:19

answer's 1000:6

answering 825:19 1035:9

answers 981:20

anticipate 908:22 917:16 1006:22

anticipated 1054:2

anymore 954:16

apnea 896:17

apologize 869:12 928:22 1007:8 1034:20

apparent 1004:23

appearance 877:24

appears 1013:24

appendix 832:19

appetizer 1001:2

apple 1047:5

Index: allegations..arise

applicable 824:1,5 827:11 844:23 909:25

application 1046:5 1065:7,12,22

applied 803:12 804:1 808:9 863:21

applies 802:2 884:1,8 960:16

apply 808:9 876:20 983:27

applying 1036:25 1037:4

approach 998:14 1012:15 1036:24

appropriately 882:27 885:26

approved 801:9 1044:18

approximate 932:7

approximately 831:7, 19 923:20,23 926:6,11 927:28 931:9,20,24 937:24 1005:21 1026:20

April 993:23

arborist 924:5

area 831:11 838:20 840:22 850:15 851:4,23 852:11 867:4 939:11 954:13 963:12,26,28 968:19 976:11 994:7 998:27 1003:19,20 1052:16

areas 807:10 829:4,25 926:24 954:9,10 967:28 983:8 988:21,23,25 1001:28 1004:2 1019:27 1053:5

argument 850:2,3

argumentative 869:9, 11 918:9

arguments 981:22

Ariel 965:4

arise 1055:15

arm 999:6 arms 999:10 arrangements 1006:23 **arrive** 915:5 article 878:10 articulate 866:11 869:20 aspect 919:19 992:16 997:28 **aspects** 811:5 820:13 830:8 836:11 837:8 867:7 887:18 937:4,12 947:16 951:1,7 979:28 984:16 asserted 1044:11 asserting 1024:19 1027:14 assertion 943:17 assess 840:8 841:5 848:13 984:2 988:21,28 993:1 1001:5 assessed 926:14 927:11 928:5 934:28 968:26 993:14 assessing 986:7 987:12 989:9 assessment 985:27 988:27 1046:9 1047:10. 15 asset 891:8 950:14 956:10.11 983:17 1043:23 asset-based 919:5 966:4 968:10 assets 801:12 900:8 941:4,21,22 942:23 951:12 954:8,9 962:22 988:2 assigned 1003:7

1004:26 assigns 838:2 assist 898:7 Association 936:10 1009:7,11 1010:3

assume 812:8 816:9 826:12 829:4 903:23 963:10,28 1068:7 assumed 814:1,2

assuming 1028:28 1036:6 1041:4,7 1060:4

assumption 816:12 903:27

assurance 829:3 925:17,28 926:3,8,23 928:28 933:20,22,27 935:23 951:24 988:6, 11,16,19,27 990:19,22, 26

assure 888:10 **astray** 851:3 at-risk 924:17 931:17 attach 1046:18

attached 832:18 950:4 1011:17 1012:10

attachment 832:8.10 949:7 1013:5,6,9

attachments 832:8 1013:3

attempt 898:25 984:13 1058:16

attempted 826:19 969:10

attempting 870:1,15 888:11 898:7 910:8 986:23

attempts 819:19

attention 811:22 815:26 817:27 854:26 858:26,28 1052:3

attorney 802:24 861:16 875:19

attorneys 873:25 874:20

attributable 1064:13 1067:22

attribution 1031:19 1037:20

auditing 839:17

audits 829:16

authorities 858:28

authority 878:5 900:11,17,22 1040:1,9, 15.18

authorization 1015:25 1032:24

authorize 801:24

authorized 801:26,28 1033:25 1037:4

Authorizing 1015:5 1016:7

automate 947:15 automation 947:18 availability 922:12

avenues 858:13

average 833:24 928:19 1032:12 1064:15

1067:11,16,18,20

avoided 816:2

aware 813:11,18,19,27 816:27 835:1 852:2 861:18 869:21 886:25 897:7 899:10,13 903:6, 12 904:5,11,27 905:17, 25 906:4 907:8,17 909:4 913:6 918:21 920:1 931:8 937:7,17 938:16 939:15,21 942:14 943:5 958:2,26 960:14,24 961:10 965:28 970:4 982:24 985:23 987:5 996:18 1004:28 1005:6,7 1007:19 1022:6 1033:4

awareness 899:1 909:25 970:7 987:26 1008:1,7

awful 869:21,25 awry 859:15

В

back 800:14 802:20.22 815:21 821:4,10,19 831:17 834:9 840:28 850:7 860:9 861:25

868:6 907:12 925:9 935:14 951:24 955:8 956:3 957:9 963:10 967:16 983:27 1008:24 1014:5 1019:26 1029:27 1033:21 1035:16 1036:12 1042:14 1043:21 1049:11 1052:18 1053:15 1056:20

Index: arm..based

back-up 899:18

background 832:20 960:2 964:20

bad 986:15 1024:28

badly 1019:28

bag 1045:19,24

baked 845:23

Bakersfield 887:21

balance 898:26 900:23 970:21 1038:12,17

balances 801:9

balancing 801:11,19

ballpark 831:22 917:11 1036:6

bank 1028:15

bankers 1042:26

bankrupt 1046:26

bankruptcy 843:5 871:7 877:11 878:2 879:25 884:25 886:19 959:24 972:5.7 977:3 978:26,27 981:7,12 1015:23 1016:22 1017:25 1018:20 1023:11 1032:25 1033:2,17 1034:3 1036:7 1039:26 1040:5, 12,16 1041:17,18 1045:12 1047:5 1048:15 1059:12

bare 963:22

base 802:4 803:12,16, 25 804:7,9 969:1 1032:28 1036:21 1037:11

based 820:17 834:13 855:6 873:6 898:6

902:21 907:22 908:24 909:1,9 910:3,21 916:1, 21,27 919:7,17 920:1,4 953:7 956:27,28 966:10 967:11 968:16 982:10 984:22 985:2 986:21 1008:19 1037:14 1067:6

baseline 899:5 902:8, 13 903:2,5,21,25 904:4, 26,28 905:15 906:15, 18,21 907:10,14 908:15,25 909:13,24,28 919:20 960:11 1007:18, 20,22 1008:8 1064:22, 25,27 1065:21

bases 1053:9

basic 839:22 863:3 1037:1

basically 820:4 829:17 999:23 1030:28 1034:11 1045:19 1047:5 1049:18

basis 903:26 926:5 933:19 944:6 992:28 999:27 1047:3 1066:17 1067:10

Bates 832:22

Batjer's 937:15

Bay 850:14 851:4,23 852:11 867:3

bear 866:6

bears 805:8

began 993:24

begin 810:26 867:6 1052:3,14,23

beginning 832:23 950:7 951:28 959:16 1025:18 1029:13

begins 1041:27

behalf 965:5 1010:2 1048:6 1069:6

behave 881:28

behaving 842:12

behavior 819:18,19 851:12

belabor 805:4

belief 892:7 893:9 894:2

believed 901:23

believes 860:27 861:12

bell 983:11

benchmark 930:23

benchmarking 845:2

beneath 862:9

beneficial 1018:19

benefit 814:19

benefiting 938:19

benefits 969:26 1038:10 1039:11 1047:13

bible 839:2

big 830:12 846:5 994:6,

big-picture 1035:20

bigger 1002:17

bill 850:27 1015:6 1022:4 1067:13,14,17

billed 958:12

billion 962:1 1032:22 1039:2,16,18,21 1042:13,15,19,21 1043:13,16,18 1044:8 1064:18

billion-dollar 1045:27

bills 904:12 905:12 1044:21 1066:19

bit 811:6 815:6 848:5 872:7 882:18 910:11,12 947:17 951:5 982:23 988:5 992:13 996:21 1003:12 1048:26 1067:21

blessed 1059:3

block 848:17

Bloom 1047:25,26 1048:2,5 1058:22 1068:22

blowing 825:6

board 811:16 838:22 840:9 845:1,12 846:3, 19 847:15,21 848:13 857:17,27 858:8,9,23 861:6

body 974:20 998:21 1027:14

bond 1016:7,13,17 1017:6

bonds 805:17 1015:6 1016:24

borrowing 1027:23 1028:18

bottom 832:22 1016:13,25 1067:13

bound 856:6 1059:21

box 950:13 955:9,23

boxes 950:10 955:14, 16

branch 825:6

branches 924:15

brass 860:9

breadth 875:8 915:1

break 861:24 862:7 1000:17 1008:13,22 1019:26

breakdown 961:21

brick 911:15 914:5

bridge 800:23 801:23, 26,27 802:1,3 803:10, 16,25 804:3,17

bridging 1022:5

briefing 981:18 1069:2

briefly 818:21 922:2 982:2

briefs 1069:19

bring 860:9 1034:15 1056:15

brings 825:6 1030:21

broad 898:11 914:13 956:19

broaden 1052:10

broader 885:27

broadly 897:2 901:16 968:28 986:24 987:4,28

broke 866:16

Index: baseline..calculations

broken 899:3 1052:1

brought 858:28 866:6 915:2,13 970:6

Bruno 841:10

budget 916:17,25

build 865:10 913:19

building 912:3

built 913:18

bullet 829:25 898:16 902:6 918:26 919:14 940:14

bunch 845:1 949:12 1000:3

burdens 998:8

business 815:10,12 839:24 887:8,9 897:26 965:5 980:23,25 1048:12

businesses 897:27

busy 820:4,9

C

C-PAP 896:16

C4at 1007:7

caboose 989:18

cadence 940:16

CAL 987:3

calculate 835:28 929:2 1030:13.15 1036:26

calculated 987:9 1028:26

calculating 1029:2 1037:3

calculation 834:14,19 965:11,15 1026:17 1027:5 1029:4,11 1030:3,5,23 1032:15 1037:14

calculations 836:24

863:7 1029:12 1035:15 **California** 800:1 881:3 908:2 916:4 931:4

936:9,14 987:6 988:1 998:20 1009:6,10 1010:2 1033:24 1034:5 1035:23 1066:2,11 1070:8

California's 1035:27 1065:27 1066:13

Californians 880:13

call 806:1 808:20,21,28 854:27 858:15 890:11 900:12 901:22 925:13 985:3,9 1009:3,7 1013:8,19,22 1051:2 1056:11 1058:4 1061:5

called 809:8 812:24 816:26 887:28 890:17 989:16 999:6 1009:10 1029:19 1061:9

calling 959:26 1051:27

calls 890:13 912:17

Cambridge 932:22

campaign 878:12

campaigns 909:22 987:25 1008:7

campfire 986:13

cancel 900:1

cap 1017:6,18

capabilities 899:17 914:6 940:18

capability 902:19 913:19,20

capable 915:6

capacity 887:19

capital 802:9 979:6 1027:10 1028:2 1031:11 1033:26 1034:1,19,25 1037:10,

caps 1013:20

caption 1015:3

car 962:8,11,20 963:14 969:8 982:7,10

cards 1027:25 1028:19

care 850:18 887:8 907:1 914:18 1055:16 1056:20 1068:13

careful 850:24 869:13

carefully 851:3

carried 1057:4

carryover 932:20

cart 1047:6

cascaded 944:2

cascading 944:5

case 813:8 817:3,4 820:22 825:22 853:10 855:2 866:26 888:9 904:16 912:5 924:25 940:24 943:17 953:15 964:10 967:19,23 968:2,16 1006:18 1015:4 1034:19 1049:5, 6,10,15,23 1051:10 1065:3

cases 858:21 859:2 882:19 928:10

catastrophic 900:7 946:24 963:19 977:5 984:14,28 988:3 992:16 1055:20 1056:19 1065:9

catastrophically 985:8

catch 871:17 872:21

Catherine 921:26 1009:8,10,17 1010:2

caught 829:28 853:1

caused 856:20 882:17 963:19

causing 1053:18

cavernous 868:18

CBO 919:25

ceases 918:6

center 815:9 894:24 901:3 941:19 952:18 957:21 959:2

centers 899:21 908:3 911:2,6 913:8,14 916:5

1003:24 1005:15,18

central 999:12

centralized 1019:26 1048:18

CEO 811:15,16 965:18 966:1

Certification 873:27

certify 825:24 826:2 837:20 875:6

certifying 824:16

cetera 832:28 924:4 949:19 956:7 1049:22

CFILC 908:5

chain 842:10 862:14

chair 847:15

chairman 811:14,15

challenge 995:25

challenging 872:5

chamber 821:26

chance 818:19 865:18 871:16 872:14,20,22

change 825:23 877:8 879:27 946:4,21 947:9 972:19 973:5,10,14,17, 25 974:6,8 993:12,17 995:4,16 1030:20 1036:17 1037:9 1050:2 1056:21

changed 992:27 994:21 1060:18

changing 993:5 994:27 995:2 1001:15

chapter 810:4 877:25 889:25 891:18,26 892:20,28 893:21 895:11 961:6 971:21 974:15 975:1,6 976:2, 15 1024:2 1062:5,8 1064:23 1065:1,5,15,17

Chapters 1061:28

characteristic 913:24

characterization 869:18 874:11 980:21

869:18 874:11 980:2⁻¹ 987:23 **characterize** 805:17 823:2 984:26

charge 900:20,27 901:5,13,21 904:18 914:11,15,24 915:12 941:6,10 977:16

charged 977:7 998:5 999:7

charges 1040:3,11

charging 899:23 913:9, 15,27

chart 949:17,23 950:3,9 955:8 957:12

charts 949:13

check 830:22 834:3,7 837:24 879:15 884:21 917:24 928:2 932:24 933:21 934:8 935:10 950:26 951:22 952:1,27 953:26 954:2 971:7 989:22

checked 834:8 845:3

checking 834:8 852:28

checks 933:21

chief 809:26 811:8,13 814:24 815:7 836:21 840:7 857:12 882:2 885:20 886:4 901:10, 12,15,20 935:17

chose 820:1 844:24 1058:5

CHP 969:7

circle 924:12,15

circuit 931:27

circulated 828:11

circumstance 1021:24

circumstances

964:17 1022:5 1024:24 1025:16 1045:18 1046:3 1047:2

cite 1016:12 1017:2 1040:19

cited 1016:9 **cities** 969:14

citizen 866:15,17,18 872:24,26 876:3,5

city 915:18 958:18 970:3 985:21 1006:18

Claimants 1048:6

claims 802:6 978:27 979:5,6 980:13 1044:7

clarification 803:23 863:23 878:24 884:5 922:21 949:20 1012:28 1025:17,26 1026:4,13 1049:3

clarified 840:3

clarify 800:18 806:9 871:25 872:19 930:2 942:12 943:1 948:8 974:11 1017:12 1045:10

clarifying 802:12 803:6,9 804:13 805:3, 11 978:17 980:16,18,20

class 838:4 885:14,18 886:2

classes 899:4

classic 861:14

classification 903:12

classify 964:4

clean 1008:18 1038:17

cleanliness 911:12

clear 804:4 834:7 843:3,25 855:28 860:20 881:17 924:7 929:23 933:6 938:1 991:9 1000:6,20 1013:12,18 1019:2 1054:14 1060:20

clearance 825:26 875:7 924:12,16 927:20 933:11.16

clearance-related 824:3,6 825:1 827:12

CLECA 936:10,11 943:16 1010:8,12,13

CLECA's 922:14 1015:12

1059:10 1063:4

CLECA-001 1010:9,13

CLECA-01 1009:25 1060:24

CLECA-01-E 1010:1 1060:26

CLECA-02 1010:8,15 1011:24 1012:4,11 1013:4.26

CLECA-1 1060:11,21, 22

CLECA-1-E 1060:11, 17,23

clients 807:1

climate 879:27 888:2,7 1067:23

clock 861:25 874:3 920:20 1008:25

close 823:16 825:7 849:5 933:12 934:26 1038:6 1042:5 1043:19 1044:14

closed 821:21 822:26 1016:2 1017:10 1020:21

closely 842:10 1003:21

closer 989:8

closes 915:26

closing 915:15

Coalition 959:11

code 815:15 839:1,13 841:26 842:2,3,4,6,7,8, 24 843:6,22,25 844:4,6, 15,19,21,24 845:4,16 846:13,16 847:1,5,6 849:3,9,18,25,26 856:18 857:23 870:14 883:8,11,13,14,17 884:2,3,18 979:14

codes 844:27 845:5 849:15

cognizant 1069:24

collaboration 1000:1

collaborative 999:27 1000:3

colleague 901:9

936:28 938:22

colleagues 827:5 830:7 912:23

collective 890:20

column 1033:20

combination 1024:10

comfortable 824:16

comfortably 826:1

command 901:4 943:20,28 944:9,17

comment 802:19 908:12

commercial 801:8 939:1

commercially 982:27

Commission 801:7,24 807:24 848:3 855:17 863:1 869:24 960:20 972:9 979:7,9,16,22 980:1,4 981:1 993:2 994:24 995:5 996:25 998:4 999:5 1017:9,14 1020:14 1023:26 1024:4 1039:28 1040:8, 17 1041:1,10,13,14 1043:11 1044:19 1045:25 1046:2,5,18 1047:14 1049:13 1051:1 1055:12 1069:2 1070:9

Commission's 855:8 980:8 981:11 1004:4 1043:2

commissioner

888:14,17 889:10 1000:15 1002:21,24 1003:7 1004:10,18 1008:10 1066:6

Commissioners 1005:10

commit 877:22

commitment 841:14 866:25 905:26 906:5 934:15 1002:6

commitments 870:16 1004:20

committed 841:18 846:2 849:14 850:14 875:2 876:22 877:14 954:7 1021:2 1022:2

Index: citizen..company

Committee 1048:7

committees 811:16

commonly 963:12

commun- 1052:14

communicate 868:1 870:10 876:24

communicates 941:14

041.14

communicating 821:18 867:6 944:18,19

communication

859:19 862:15 875:23 876:15 888:27 991:10 1052:15

communications

839:16 940:10 991:12

communities 895:14, 20,23 896:11,24 898:2, 12 899:19 900:10 902:18,23 919:19 957:28 958:16 997:24 998:3 1002:2 1018:15 1020:9 1021:3 1058:2

community 891:9 892:13 898:6 899:20 902:21,28 907:21 908:24 909:1,6,9 910:3, 21,26 911:2,5 913:8,13 916:1,21,26 919:17,28 920:4 1004:20 1005:15, 18 1058:9

community-based 1007:25 1008:3

companies 845:2 930:20 1036:5

company 809:8 836:9, 17 837:18 838:24 840:9,12 841:13 845:12 851:13,28 855:26 856:1 857:6 859:14 860:28 863:25 866:2 868:20 871:3 872:23 880:14 882:17 887:2,18,24 890:18 906:2,24 936:13,14 960:9 965:18

973:13 989:13 1002:7 1003:26 1019:19 1021:24,26 1057:17 1061:9 comparatively 984:4 1066:21,28 compare 994:3 1031:5,

10 1036:20 1046:9 1052:24 1067:17 **compared** 988:23

1000:27 1034:18 1066:22 1067:19

comparing 988:22

comparisons 1065:27

competency 999:2

competing 1053:21

competitors 879:6

complaint 802:19 913:5

complaints 852:3,7,13

complete 815:19 826:20 846:9 889:12 925:1,14,20,22,25 926:7 929:27 930:10 935:2 1008:14

completed 807:7 814:5 826:21,23 921:13 923:21,27 925:13 927:25 928:6,7 929:20 934:10 935:5 953:3 954:22 968:7

completely 997:19 1060:15

completes 970:23

completing 924:3

completion 930:4

complex 931:17 939:23 947:8

complexity 942:21

compliance 809:26 811:3 814:24 815:7,8, 11,14 824:4,7,11,15,17 825:10,13,15,25 826:2, 4,11,13,14 830:17 834:17 835:15,17,18, 19,20 836:6,9,11,15,21 837:1,20,21 838:3,5,6, 25 839:3,7,17 841:15 842:12 845:9,11 852:5 853:18 858:14,16 870:16 873:28 874:24 875:2,6,16,28 876:1,6, 28 881:27,28 885:1 887:13,19 888:22 889:4 911:27 924:9,11 925:12 926:14 930:24 933:3,4, 7,10,16,25 934:3,11,19, 28 935:17,18 979:26 989:7

compliant 912:11,15,

complicated 1019:13 1035:17

complications 1050:1

complied 928:14

comply 824:1 826:20 827:11,17,28 829:5,10 837:12 863:25 864:4,26 876:19 881:17

complying 867:14 889:5

component 862:12 1044:21

components 953:28

composite 963:1

compound 848:8 869:10

comprehensive 990:27 998:14

comprised 898:19

compromised 896:20

concept 884:19 1001:14,18,27

concern 859:26 912:26 987:21 997:20 1039:24 1040:25,27 1041:20 1042:10 1047:4 1059:14

concerned 857:24,25 859:17 870:21 886:19 895:8 987:10 992:11 1005:9 1045:16 1046:7

concerns 808:12,13

884:27 886:28 971:22 1020:18 1050:22 1051:13 1055:14 1056:16

concise 879:19

concisely 848:9

concludes 1058:23

conclusion 886:16 959:26 1021:22 1024:23

Concrete 850:15 851:4,23 852:11 867:4

concurrence 985:15

condition 827:8,10,25 829:6 830:21 957:1 979:21

conditions 812:16 823:25 825:22 888:23 896:23 898:20 901:25 962:23 985:13

conduct 815:15 839:1, 14 841:27 842:2,3,4,6, 7,8,24 843:6,22,26 844:4,6,15,19,21,25,27 847:1 849:3,9,16,18,26, 27 851:7 857:23 870:14 883:9,11 884:18 922:22 1012:8

conducted 807:5,27, 28 966:11 967:27 991:13

Conducts 842:4

conference 879:22

conferences 996:23

confidence 845:25 865:10 912:10 972:18 994:28

confident 845:19 847:18

confidential 850:23

confidentiality 864:12 confirm 851:18 905:6

confirmed 968:24

confused 836:14

confusion 978:19

connected 842:10

connecting 1022:2

connection 800:14 938:6 1000:23 1017:24 1025:4

cons 845:6

Index: comparatively..context

consequences 1043:5

considerable 1049:19

consideration 859:11 864:24 885:17 986:21

considerations 857:1 864:6,8 865:21 866:6 885:9 901:11

considered 805:21,22 830:16 834:16 864:22 915:14 925:20 938:7,27 939:6,10 943:22 963:8 1028:23

considers 876:2 990:8

consistent 801:7 830:23 839:27 849:2 855:13,15 930:15 996:7 1035:19

consists 818:23

consolidate 808:3

consolidated 1019:3

constantly 985:13

constraints 847:28

construction 957:17

consultation 1006:17

consumer 871:18

Consumers 936:10 1009:7,10 1010:3

contact 906:1 931:3 937:4 963:4

contacts 1005:1

contemplate 850:9

contemplated 846:27

contents 818:24

context 876:27 885:27 1005:11 1021:9 1049:10 1054:6 1055:2

continuation 800:6

continue 840:23,24 847:11 854:5 862:6 886:6 922:27 996:10,12 1002:7 1040:4,12

continued 855:4 994:14 995:16 1070:7

continuing 956:17 982:6

continuous 943:21,23 993:16 994:6,9,10 995:7 996:9

continuously 909:2

contract 851:28 1006:15

contractor 850:13

contractors 842:11 905:22

contractual 851:5

contrast 873:24

contribute 992:15 999:21

contributing 998:24

contribution 878:13

contributions 802:4 803:17 879:7

control 805:17 933:20, 21 935:22 988:7,12 989:8,16 990:6,9,12,18, 26 999:1,21 1052:21

controls 990:21

conversation 844:28 998:23 999:23

conversations 834:23 886:7

convey 820:10 946:6

conviction 841:10

convinced 1041:12

cook 986:14 1068:25

Cooke 800:9.17

coordinator 910:23

copies 1012:7,9,15

copy 1011:26 1012:5

core 888:1 902:18 999:2 1020:25

corporate 811:19 815:9 838:26 839:28 847:20 866:18 872:24 873:14 879:26 998:15

corporation 811:9 861:8,12 866:14 871:20 873:2 876:13,20 881:6 882:3 883:28 884:7 954:23 1058:13

corporation's 860:23

correct 803:13,26 805:27 806:12 810:13, 28 811:20 812:15 813:10 817:13 826:22 828:7 829:6 830:5 831:8 833:16 837:3 840:5 843:26,27 851:21 852:25 853:5,6 866:4 868:6 889:13 890:4 892:6,21 893:8 894:1,3 901:26 908:17 911:7,8 912:6 921:16 923:24,25 926:21 929:6,7,17,19, 28 936:18.19 940:4.11. 23 949:3,4 950:6 952:2 953:14 955:11,12 956:2 965:23 973:11 974:14, 17,26,27 975:3,12 1002:11 1010:23 1011:10 1014:28 1016:8,18,23,28 1017:15,16 1022:9,22 1023:6 1026:10,11 1028:27 1029:27 1030:11 1032:5,19 1033:19 1034:17 1037:6,21 1040:20 1044:9 1048:13,14,19 1049:2 1050:20 1054:10 1057:8 1062:16 1063:22,23

correction 972:11 993:8 1062:9

1064:20,26 1066:7,20

corrections 810:10 854:10 1057:22,26 1062:2,12,15

corrective 850:17 853:12,14 854:16,18

correctly 817:14 829:13 830:4 929:3,16 983:12 989:24 1060:15

correspondingly 1038:2 1066:18,21

cost 800:16,27 801:25, 26,28 802:9 803:11,19 804:18 961:12 963:24 964:3 965:16 980:6 999:8,13,16 1013:23 1015:26 1016:14,21,24 1017:7,24,27 1021:13 1025:5,11 1026:28 1027:10 1028:1,22 1029:11,19 1030:2,16, 20,26 1031:11 1033:25, 26,28 1034:5,17,18,25 1035:23,27 1037:4,15 1038:14 1039:7,17 1040:28 1044:12.14 1063:9.25 1065:22 1067:11,12

costs 801:1,16,17 804:1,14,18,22 898:5 959:22 960:14,15,25 961:4,16,17,24,25 963:16 974:16,22,23 975:14,25 976:6 979:2 980:9,11,12 1016:27 1017:8,14 1023:14,16 1024:12,14 1025:3,10 1027:24 1028:18 1029:8 1031:2 1038:3 1043:13 1044:7 1049:21 1064:6,10,11, 12 1065:1,2,4,8,15

councils 987:27

counsel 800:19 806:4 809:27 818:18 834:6 882:20 885:24 949:9 978:17 1000:7,12 1048:23 1059:10

count 935:2 993:11 994:25

counted 813:16

counter 848:2 850:3

counties 932:17 969:14 1004:28 1006:9

counting 929:15 countless 869:23

country 873:23

county 958:18 970:3 985:21 1004:12,27 1005:8,13 1006:7,18 1053:7,10,23,25

County's 1053:13

couple 823:4 860:16 865:23 912:27 917:25 923:7 925:24,25 950:24 1067:22

coupon 1032:12 1037:2

court 827:20 831:6 834:22 869:25 878:2 886:19 894:16 978:26, 27

court's 888:23

cover 843:4 1044:12

covered 950:23 1053:14,20

covering 1042:4 1053:9

CPUC 812:4 836:19 847:14 852:24 878:4 927:18 937:19 960:27 1016:6

CPUC-APPROVED 828:2

cracks 1053:19 1054:9 1055:19

CRC 915:6.26

CRCS 911:10 912:4,9 914:23 915:2,15,17

create 896:14 986:24 1019:14 1052:2

created 895:16,27 898:21 1023:5 1025:5

creates 895:13,19

creating 977:7

creation 1051:15 1058:3

credit 801:17,18,19 1027:25 1028:18 1037:28

credits 1040:1,9

crew 924:21 925:1,10 928:6 929:20

crews 957:4,18

criminal 812:10 841:4 979:20

criteria 862:18,19 863:16,21 985:28 986:1

critical 899:4,16 940:1

cross 822:10 879:16 917:24 970:26 1011:9, 13,19 1058:23 1062:23 1068:2

cross-exam 921:13

cross-examination

800:15 807:2,3 810:17, 21 811:24 818:2 823:17 828:11,15 838:13 843:5 889:12,25 894:6,8,10 921:25 922:14,23 923:14 936:6 948:1 949:8 959:7 965:2 971:1 977:1 981:26 1008:15,17 1011:7 1012:8 1013:17 1014:1, 27 1045:1 1048:1 1050:14 1060:5 1062:21 1063:1 1068:28 1069:17

cross-examine 846:8 922:2

cross-exhibit 818:6

cross-functional

crossed 992:28

Crosstalk 917:5

CUE's 806:5

culture 840:17 841:15 846:2 847:17,20,22 848:15,18,28 849:1,14, 15 873:15 883:18,28 884:6 980:2 981:2,5,11 998:7

cumulative 858:23

current 803:14,23 846:10 901:14 968:12 971:11 1025:11 1033:25 customarily 1033:7

customer 871:18,24 873:3 875:21 887:8,12 902:5 905:21 906:1 907:1 914:12,18,25 939:6,22,28 941:23,24, 26,27 942:13 943:14 944:18 946:9 947:5 959:1 967:23 968:28 987:10 991:15,20 999:6,10 1021:11 1022:4 1044:21 1055:2 1058:11 1063:10 1066:16 1067:26,27

customers 801:6 865:6 869:7 870:11,12 876:21 882:22,24,26 883:25 886:28 887:4, 11,23 894:26 895:2 896:2,24 897:4,16 898:3 899:3,5,10,16,21 900:13,26 901:24 902:2,3,7,13,14 903:1, 7,17,20 904:3,13,20,22, 26 905:11,18,26 906:8, 18,19 907:2,9,14,16,19, 23,28 908:5,26 914:19 915:4,15,23,27 916:19 917:17 918:16,21 919:12 936:11,12 937:8,24,25 938:8,13, 14,18,20,28 939:13,14 940:3,6,11,18,23 941:21 942:14 943:5, 15,24 944:20 945:3 946:15 947:3 957:25 958:6,15,23 960:10 967:6 968:15 969:3 986:28 991:8,17,24 997:20 998:7 999:8,14, 17 1007:10,14,20,23,26 1018:12,16,17,19 1020:24 1021:4,27 1022:3,14 1067:10

customers' 997:26

cut 844:8

cutting 944:4

CWSP 950:14

D

daily 895:22

Dalzell 806:5,11

damage 963:19

dark 915:25

data 811:27 943:16 949:6 966:26 969:24,25 983:9 1010:9,12 1011:16,22 1013:3,13 1015:12 1034:12 1037:7 1041:22

data-driven 985:2

date 842:16 911:14 925:28 927:16 961:19 972:8

David 878:7

day 825:28 875:14 1045:3,5 1068:14 1069:24 1070:5

day-to-day 885:5

days 1069:3,15

de-energization

895:13,19 897:3,7 899:11 900:6,9 908:21 939:16 965:10 966:20 969:2,27 970:14 985:25 987:1,5

de-energizations 918:22

de-energize 900:22

de-energized 901:8

deadline 807:7 1068:17

deal 813:23 857:4 885:21

dealing 943:24 1042:17

Debbie 890:14,17,28 921:4 968:9

debt 800:17,25,28 801:4,5,16,26,28 803:11 805:19,21,23 1013:23 1015:26 1024:12,14 1025:15 1026:15 1029:19 1030:2,16,20 1031:27 1032:4,6,9,17,23 1033:2,5,12,16,25 1034:5,13,14,18 1035:23,28 1037:4,9, 10,27 1038:3,12,28 1039:7,17 1042:4 1043:5,13,15,17 1044:4,9,14 1046:20 1063:9,20

Index: crew..degree

decades 879:28

decentralization 1003:8

decide 916:22 1054:23 1055:4,5

decides 941:6

decision 855:17 862:18,19 898:22 900:17,22 901:19 941:9 970:13,18 980:8 984:21 1016:6 1017:1,4,21 1031:11

decision-making 864:17 866:7 970:7

decisions 857:7

declare 1040:16

declared 929:26 1032:25

dedicated 1043:24 1044:21 1046:21

deemed 960:22 979:21

deenergization 946:26

deep 841:14

deeply 841:18 846:1 849:14 877:26

defer 827:5 830:7,19 863:12

deferring 831:14

define 824:10 826:11, 15,17 839:6 956:25

defined 829:27 837:1 844:16 978:25

defines 836:15 935:17

defining 930:5 933:4

definition 1045:7,9 1046:17

degree 857:11

deserves 1020:4

1021:28

Deirdre 910:25 design 838:27 dictating 946:5 disabilities 894:26 904:14 912:1 designated 923:27 delegate 820:13,28 diesel 801:14 disability 905:20,28 900:21 designed 820:10 difference 825:12 906:10,22 delegated 814:4 821:8 867:13 875:17 1030:12 **desire** 989:2 1036:21 1037:3 disagree 819:15 871:1 deliberately 918:5 desk 857:4 859:21 906:13 differences 833:15 **deliver** 837:19 862:17 1036:4 1049:19 disagreement 871:12 delivering 944:12 detail 835:6 845:20 889:15 1029:28 1030:5 differentiation 971:8 866:27 886:22,23 demand 801:13 disagreements 971:28 976:24 982:18 differently 829:8 1031:8 demands 1053:22 983:15 1013:23 969:16 972:14 974:9 disallowed 1021:13 977:24 990:9 1029:19 1031:23 demonstrate 1021:1 1048:26 1052:2 discipline 839:19 **difficult** 847:17.23 demonstrated detailed 836:23 936:27 896:1 900:3 1046:15 disclose 861:8 866:3. 1026:18 1042:1 954:3 975:17 dig 1001:4 22 demonstrates 981:3 details 877:26 903:13 diligently 899:12 disclosed 858:3 961:1 977:14 1029:25 demonstration 1058:8 902:20 966:2 859:23 860:28 1030:7 1032:27 deny 808:18 1043:12 1033:22 1035:17 direct 809:20 811:21 disclosure 856:7 1055:10 857:8,18 860:8 department 839:10 815:8 817:26 882:21 887:3,15,20,26 891:3 935:25 determination 852:9 disclosured 858:1 904:16 905:14 907:23 857:20 858:21 880:12 dependent 993:13 936:15 965:8 977:4 disclosures 856:14.21 964:20 1045:26 991:21 997:17 1009:21 857:2 860:4 depending 868:2 determine 806:28 1020:12 1022:27 932:16 955:17 disconcerting 977:8 835:6 862:25 882:3 1043:13 1061:20 **depends** 1039:12 898:15 899:25 930:28 disconnect 1021:25 directed 894:13,19 960:20 969:26 984:24, 1046:1.7 **deployed** 1003:19 948:8 25 1001:5 1040:17 1005:20 disconnected 977:9, direction 808:6 810:8 determined 826:13 12 deploying 966:26 892:2 893:4,24 1062:13 827:2 942:7 disconnection deposition 819:28 directionally 1064:20 determines 838:3 903:11.14.19 **depth** 875:8 directly 813:15 816:11, determining 941:20 disconnections 904:8 24 825:17 834:21 **Deputy** 809:27 devastated 1004:14 858:26 866:1 870:12 discount 1026:26 derivative 887:25 887:23 903:24 909:8 1027:15 1028:3,10,16 develop 1018:4 943:23,26 944:6,16 derivatively 870:13 discounted 1029:2 developed 1021:3,26 958:6 997:14 1007:22 derive 1037:19 1008:5 discounting 1029:3,7 developing 839:27 **derived** 967:25 1020:23 director 842:3,7 843:6, discovery 805:5 25 844:6,15,19 845:16 **describe** 867:1 907:15 development 982:12 discretion 894:22 846:13 847:5 849:9,25 911:1 974:7 975:15,17 deviate 873:19 874:19 878:7 883:13,17 884:2 discuss 919:24,27 1049:20 1051:14 892:11 893:13 940:26 920:12 1041:6 1050:26 **device** 899:22.23 describing 876:28 955:25 1054:5,7 913:14,15 914:12,25,28 966:1 971:27 Director's 842:24 915:13 discussed 862:8 description 907:13 888:5,6 927:5 933:15 **devices** 913:10,16,17, directors 811:17 941:28 971:10 980:24 1022:21 1024:24 844:11,23,25 848:1 21,27 915:1,5,10 990:6 1027:20 1063:18 849:3,12 861:6 966:28 982:11

Index: Deirdre..discussed

1064:24

dialog 808:11

directs 955:13

Index: discussion..end

discussion 819:2 971:18 978:11 996:26 1012:4 1022:20

discussions 836:19 854:1 856:5 886:4 1005:3

disproportionately 894:28

disputed 1069:18

disrepair 859:16

distinction 860:18,20 964:12,15

distinguish 962:4

distributed 1012:5

distribution 931:26,27 941:13 951:15 955:6,24 956:1

district 829:4 831:21 968:6

districts 932:6

dive 1035:16,17

divide 931:28

divided 829:15 929:4

dividends 880:3

divides 1021:15

division 836:1 855:9

Division's 817:2

docket 816:22

document 805:12 811:22 816:26 817:27 819:23 828:10 829:2 844:5,14 845:17 848:22 878:2 884:21 888:4,8 926:22 971:27 978:25 1012:28 1013:19 1014:9,15 1015:11 1016:5,8,9 1025:18,26 1026:2 1029:21

documented 847:28

documents 833:26 846:17 852:22,25 854:10,11 979:17

dollar 878:11

doubt 875:1

downstream 1059:23

dozens 908:10

draft 937:1,3

drafted 936:28

draw 804:15 1052:3

drawn 913:22

drinking 1030:28

drive 848:28

drives 882:9 931:18

driving 847:22 848:15 946:2

dropped 929:3

drought 829:13

drunk 1031:3

dryness 957:1 992:14

dubbed 953:21

due 895:7 1043:18

duration 897:5 919:9, 11 936:26 938:16 966:6

duty 860:21,23

dying 896:18

dynamic 824:17,25 825:24 872:6 875:9 946:1,18 947:10 968:19 970:1

Ε

earlier 827:13 839:15, 25 843:15,22 845:8 846:4 854:20 855:21 872:7 875:5 887:6 983:10 984:1 988:5 991:27 1005:26 1028:8 1029:18 1042:5 1043:22 1049:26 1053:7 1055:7

early 811:13 927:3 1019:4

earn 1028:15

earning 1027:21

easily 805:16

Edison 936:14 1034:5 1035:24

Edison's 1033:24

EDM 953:13

educate 986:27

education 987:25

effect 967:13 1034:28 1050:12 1055:24

effected 1053:17

effective 815:17,23 839:6,12 845:9 853:17 858:14 991:6 993:26,27

effectively 800:24 821:19 944:17 1038:13

effects 901:7 939:28 969:1,10 970:6,8,17 972:2

effectuate 1050:19 1056:21

efficiencies 1019:7

efficiency 1067:24

efficient 944:12 1069:26

effort 903:15 904:5,19 905:10 906:7,23 909:4 910:18 920:8 930:22 937:6 952:12,15,22

efforts 877:9 897:15 910:27 919:10 920:4 938:6,17 939:2 958:4 968:5 969:4 977:8,12 990:26

eight-minute 944:22

election 1069:24

electric 809:8 815:13 839:26 890:18 891:8 892:12 900:19 902:19 935:19,25 936:13 950:27 952:20 953:3 955:4,5 1061:9

electrical 878:17

electricity 895:22,24 904:28 913:11

element 845:9 858:14

elements 815:15 839:8 859:7 975:11

eligible 909:27

eliminate 965:22 966:10

eliminated 965:19

EM 923:22

embedded 952:25 1011:25

emerged 976:1 1051:13

emergence 1016:17, 21

emergency 863:5 901:2 941:19 944:9 952:17 959:1

emergent 952:25

emerging 982:18

empathy 883:2 884:13

empirical 1042:23

employee 842:2,6 843:21 844:3,20 845:11 847:17,23 848:1,16 849:1,13,26 857:3,14, 22 858:12 860:27 861:4,12 884:3

employee's 860:1,21

employees 821:28 838:21 844:14 847:1 849:4 850:13,28 851:11,21,23,27 852:12 853:20,26 856:18,26 857:25 858:9 884:1 887:2 956:25 1001:28 1019:22,23

empowered 901:22 918:22

EMV 929:3

encourage 909:12

encouraged 992:13 1007:17

end 806:18 826:28 832:1,3 850:8 851:27 861:1 869:14 940:10 952:21 967:16 1013:25 1027:9 1029:5,14 1030:8,19 1037:11 1055:20 1056:18 1058:11 1063:9

ended 816:14 927:25 1031:20

endless 1043:4

energized 899:21

energy 878:8 895:5 936:10 959:10 1009:6, 10 1010:3 1015:5 1016:24 1067:24

enforcement 812:4 815:1 817:2 839:19 855:8

engaged 851:6

engagement 910:20

engineer 1052:7

engineering 863:19 956:6,7 957:17 1058:13

engineers 863:12 956:13

enhanced 828:5 923:22 924:7,8,13,28 925:26 931:14 953:5 975:7 988:18 989:17 991:1

enormously 1058:7

enroll 1007:17

enrolled 902:7 903:1, 20 908:15

enrollment 909:12,24, 25

ensure 814:28 824:27 839:24 853:23 882:9 888:21,26 899:9,12 903:7 909:21 918:20 939:15,17 940:2,5 946:12 957:28 969:3 986:23,26 987:4 988:2 991:5,8 993:6 998:10 1024:9

ensured 816:9

ensuring 897:6 899:1 904:24 905:3 914:15 918:24 951:1 958:2,26 998:5

entail 812:21 982:14

enter 960:27

entered 882:8

entire 952:19 988:28 1041:16

entity 957:11

environment 825:5,23 875:10 885:28 931:5 939:25

environments 927:18

EOC 901:15 942:2,13 944:1,16 958:28

EPUC 1011:9

equal 846:18

equally 1044:20

equate 876:26

equation 987:13,15

equipment 862:24 872:13 954:4 955:19,20 956:15,16 962:7 963:13 964:1,8 966:12 983:13 986:26

equipped 857:4

errata 891:23,26 892:25,27 1010:3

error 1034:28 1037:13

essence 830:2

essential 829:5

essentially 817:1 867:13 916:21 927:9 952:17,19 985:2,5 1001:10,15

establish 1005:28

established 917:2 926:4 935:24

estimate 932:1 944:22 984:19 992:22 1030:1

estimated 879:16 965:16 1008:16

estimates 1016:27 1026:9

ethical 838:23 840:8 844:10 846:2 847:27

849:1,15 856:23 857:19,22 859:17 861:20 862:19 863:16, 20,24 864:3,8 865:21 866:14,17,20,24 867:14,16,19 868:20 869:4,6 871:2 878:25 879:1,2 880:9,15 881:21 882:3 884:24,27 885:9,17 886:14,20,28

ethically 842:12 845:27 859:26 870:3 871:3 880:16 882:1

ethically-bound 882:16

ethics 809:26 814:24 815:7,8,11 836:21 838:25 839:3,7,18 840:7 841:5,15 845:11, 19,23 846:16 852:4 853:17 856:18 857:12 858:6,16 866:15 870:11,16,25 882:2 885:1,20 886:4 887:13, 19 979:25

evaluate 967:20 980:4 984:23 985:18 995:22 1067:9

evaluates 898:15

evaluating 899:7 902:14 942:22 979:16 1023:2,8

evaluation 984:27

evaluator 995:21

event 898:16 899:14
900:2,12,14 901:23
904:25 907:6 914:17
936:24 939:19 940:2,7,
19 941:8,12,20 942:6,
16 946:6,10 947:7
958:14,23,27 967:17,18
968:3,18,25 970:1,2,5,
10,13 985:18,19,23
987:16 1005:21
1006:12,16 1040:4,12
1045:11 1055:20
1056:20 1065:10

events 896:9 898:23 899:9 900:20 901:3 904:9 906:12,25 907:3, 25,28 908:19,21 910:24 911:18 912:27 914:20 916:6 917:22 918:20 919:4,7,26 920:6 936:27 937:9,10,13,16, 26 938:15,16 939:4,17 940:26 941:5,11 943:8, 25 944:10 945:2,17 946:2 947:14 957:26 958:1,5 965:10,13,19, 23 966:3,10,16,18 967:8,14,28 968:27 969:6,8,13 970:16 985:1 986:21 988:2 991:9,11,13 992:17 1003:22 1007:15

Index: ended..excuse

evidence 855:28 1060:24,26

evidentiary 800:6 808:4 921:11

EVM 829:13 923:22 924:20 925:5 927:8 930:13 933:15 934:20

evolution 993:17 994:5 995:9 996:9 1048:9

evolve 1055:26

exact 863:9 917:12,14 928:27 937:3 965:28 1034:2

EXAMINATION

809:20 888:16 891:3 974:12 1000:18 1002:23 1009:21 1059:6 1061:20

exceed 1024:12 1025:5,13

Excel 1011:25

exception 834:4,15 928:19,20

exceptions 827:2,3 833:20,24 835:22 836:5 928:11,15

excerpt 811:23 818:3, 20,23 819:28 823:3 828:14 926:19

excessive 853:14

exchanged 1034:13,14

excuse 819:19 863:14 942:25 1003:27

843:4,5,7,8 926:17 928:27 936:22 949:8 950:4 1009:25,28 1010:7,14,15 1011:20, 21,23,24 1012:4 1015:3,8,11,14 1016:10 1025:20,26 1045:14 1060:11,24,26

Exhibit-1 1061:28

exhibits 832:5 1010:19 1014:26,28 1060:8,13

exist 896:22 986:4

existence 909:6

exists 847:1 849:10 897:14 909:18

exit 1016:20 1017:24

expand 1002:25 1003:12

expect 807:11,14,18 814:4 821:9 868:20 870:28 873:2 881:28 934:14 963:20 1035:19, 21 1036:3 1042:25

expectation 803:14,24 821:3 864:12 872:16,28 880:26 992:6

expectations 822:19 823:7 926:26

expected 940:16 995:20

877:3 927:22 962:18 971:16 1042:9 1051:3

explained 824:8 877:3, 5

explaining 825:14

explanation 840:2 861:16 1035:10

explanations 861:17

exploited 885:19 886:3

exploiting 885:14

explore 959:14

exploring 983:21

exposure 959:18,24

expressed 995:19 1021:23 1039:23 1045:16

expression 848:14

extended 895:7,17 897:12,21,28 898:8,26 899:27 900:27 901:1 907:20 908:16 911:24

face 896:24 1027:23 1041:19

faces 984:4

facilities 801:17,19 911:9,16,17,19,26 912:6,14,24,27 913:9 914:4,5 943:7 1005:28 1006:6

facility 804:14,15

fact 813:6.17 814:16 816:14,20 821:3 825:1, 2 834:28 856:6 860:24 861:11 863:6 874:26 926:9 977:5 992:3 994:12,13 1017:17,23 1027:20 1032:3 1037:26 1054:5 1057:12

factor 947:2,4,11 992:14 994:6 1066:18

factored 987:9

factors 864:16,21 898:14 899:28 912:7

falls 1028:22

falsification 852:22,25

falsified 854:10,11

familiar 816:19 854:28 855:21 903:13 907:4 910:4 913:21 914:2,28 943:13 968:12 1001:17 1035:27 1065:26

familiarity 903:22 904:16

familiarized 886:17

family 871:13,20 997:7

fashion 1056:1,13

fast 1052:22

faster 945:22 1068:21

February 850:12 873:25 927:3 982:26 992:5 993:22 1065:7,24

federal 837:2 839:4,9 869:24 889:2

feedback 969:15,19 991:14,22

feel 805:13 816:15 822:6,16 845:18 846:1 854:5 858:2 859:16 870:28 871:11,26 872:11 883:1 946:26 974:4 981:16 1026:5 1040:27

feeling 806:22

feels 857:15

fees 804:8,18,22 1023:28 1024:6,11 1026:7,8,14 1030:9,10, 26,27 1031:3,28 1032:3,8,22 1033:8,12 1037:2

feet 834:4,15 835:21

fell 823:6 1055:19

felt 847:18 898:11 900:10 971:24 1028:2

field 857:3 903:10 935:21 967:1 993:26

figure 827:1 835:28 927:15 932:12 961:22 1019:25 1028:25 1053:17 1056:2

figures 1026:18 1030:14,15

file 816:25 856:25 1040:4 1046:4

filed 927:17 972:21,22 982:25 992:25 993:21, 22 994:13 1018:20 1049:4,5,14,16 1065:7,

filing 802:9 817:15 875:3 877:4 938:10 1019:19 1049:7,17,24

filings 869:23,24

filled 1055:10

filling 800:9

final 1002:8

finalized 1006:24

finally 922:6

finance 802:3 803:15, 25 804:7 1038:15

financed 1015:27 1032:7

financial 808:5 878:1 907:26 964:19 974:19 979:20 998:8 1030:9

financials 870:22

financing 803:10 979:5 1015:4 1016:6,21 1017:9,14,24,28 1023:17 1026:14 1029:8

financing-related 1026:8

find 856:19 879:13 932:12 957:10 976:19 977:8 1048:23

finding 899:17

findings 832:28 834:26 979:9

finds 1021:24

fine 803:2 852:23 948:17 1025:28

finish 825:19 finishes 917:7

Finkelstein 802:26

fire 829:3 831:20 855:27 865:20 871:17 872:21 881:8 897:10 900:7 908:22 916:9,19 932:6 946:24 956:6,18, 24,28 984:7,11,15,28 985:8,9,12 986:13,24, 28 987:3,25,27,28 988:3 992:9,16 1007:3 1043:18

firefighting 987:3

firemen 957:6.7

fires 865:8 882:4,17 986:27 987:6

firm 917:1

fit 941:27 952:4 1006:20

five-year 954:11

fix 867:25,27 868:4

fixed 867:26 868:8

fixing 868:7

fleshed 1051:9

flowing 839:7

flushed 1019:15

focus 844:13 845:22 847:3 872:2 874:11 897:6 938:9 980:2 985:27 988:1 991:20 996:4 998:2 1052:9,10

focused 815:26,28 897:4 904:23 914:19 938:12 984:16 991:3 997:22 1019:4,17 1023:13,17 1045:20 1052:4

focusing 868:5 880:2 997:24

fold 1058:16

folks 807:12 912:12 1052:11

follow 813:24,28 821:12 839:8 840:17 854:4 922:10 944:8 1005:11 1006:27

follow-up 820:23 1007:6

followup 957:20

food 897:20 986:14,15

foot 1022:4

footer 1013:24

footing 846:18

footnote 1016:4,12 1027:8,20 1028:9

footprint 1006:9

forbid 1055:19

forecast 946:3,13 985:17 1034:28 1035:2

forecasted 1031:17

forecasting 940:17

forecasts 947:9 985:13

foreman's 859:27

foremost 860:3 864:23

889:8

foresee 899:25

forested 932:3

forever 1059:21

form 848:5 912:16 914:11 918:8 1043:15 1046:13

formal 816:22

format 904:13 905:13

formats 904:17

forms 853:16

formula 984:13

formulate 956:15

formulates 954:11

forthcoming 1048:27

forum 824:9

forward 805:18 806:19 840:10,25,26 841:6 842:23,24,27 844:27 846:25 855:28 866:13 879:3 885:20 900:15 945:21 966:4 969:23 971:19 972:14,19 973:4,13,26 977:25 981:4 982:15 993:3 994:14 1001:22,24 1004:20 1005:4 1021:10 1041:16 1044:1 1055:9 1057:4

forward-looking 972:13 980:24

foster 888:2

fosters 888:6

found 833:12,24 841:21 850:14 885:18 886:1 926:5 928:6

foundation 834:10 848:5 856:22 874:15 878:21,23 908:3 916:4 937:28 943:11 959:26 1047:17

foundational 833:28 848:22 874:28 980:26

fourth 925:15 926:4 930:11

frame 807:28 829:7 1057:23 framework 973:2 Francisco 800:1 1052:18 1070:8 frankly 1069:16 fraud 850:14 free 805:13 981:16 French's 951:16 frequency 858:22 936:26 966:5 frequently 821:2 858:9 859:6 889:7 fresh 925:21 Friday 806:18 891:12 front 809:28 811:28 818:12 858:8 883:13,14 884:18,22 949:11 970:20 1008:19 1013:10 1014:9 1017:18 1025:23 1041:23,24 1047:20 **fuel** 801:14 fuels 957:1 992:15

fulfill 964:14 full 809:12 819:5 884:18 890:23 911:6.22 912:28 915:9 942:22 1009:15 1061:14,16 1066:17 fully 823:28 827:11,16, 27 837:13 876:19 912:11 913:3 918:25 1019:14 1051:9 fun 953:19 function 952:6,28 954:2 956:10,12 957:4 971:13 972:16 1052:4 functional 902:12,17, 27 919:21 1051:26.28 functionally 981:3 functions 952:25 1052:1 fund 802:5 803:18 804:9 1042:18

funded 801:27 804:4,5 964:10 1020:16 1021:17 funding 917:15 1022:8 **future** 919:6 966:19 971:17 982:12.13 1040:5 1041:19 1045:11 1048:25 G **gap** 856:7 868:18 994:19 995:24 1021:2 1022:5

gaps 833:14 **gas** 801:13 809:8 813:14 814:11 815:12 819:20 839:25 890:18 936:13 1061:9 gather 888:2 985:23

gave 887:20 941:28 **GEESMAN** 805:15,22,

gathering 841:23

general 801:21 809:27 813:7 834:5 851:1 1023:1 1036:1 1049:5, 6,10,15,23 1051:10 1065:3

generally 801:5 811:3 812:15 855:14 895:1 896:21 897:22 924:10 960:15 962:13 1020:27 1029:12 1033:4 1036:4 1051:21,24 1066:1,5

generally-speaking 896:26

generated 1044:6

generating 832:27 1025:7

generation 899:18

generator 897:17 987:2

generator-caused 986:13

generators 897:13

986:11

generic 1021:18 1054:16

geographic 1048:12 1052:13

geographical 1053:5 geographically

1053:26

geography 1051:25 1052:17 1053:4

gestalt 1033:23

gesture 1022:1

give 838:21 840:13 858:22 874:10 890:23 963:9 983:10 1027:5 1029:17 1036:22 1069:10

giving 989:4

goal 840:10 853:17 969:21 991:7

goalposts 993:5 994:27 995:2,5,16,18

God 1055:19

good 800:5 809:6,22,23 810:23,25 831:14 838:16,17 852:4 866:17,18 872:23 876:3 883:4 888:18,19 890:27 911:17 921:10 928:1 929:12 936:8 948:3 959:9 962:21 1006:26 1009:1,23 1014:6,7 1022:13 1028:2 1042:26 1043:7 1045:3, 5 1048:3,4 1061:22 1063:3,6

govern 866:2 957:2

governance 948:28 979:18

government 1004:22 1005:1

governments 898:6 1007:26

Governor 879:23 880:5

granular 865:4 966:25

GRC 801:20 1019:18,19 1050:3

great 852:20 923:28 1038:6

Index: frame..handle

greatest 994:1

greed 879:26

green 809:18

grid 878:17 957:12,13, 14 963:11 964:4,15 982:12 983:18,23

grid-hardening 963:20

grounds 880:22

group 894:27 895:4 902:6 956:21 1004:13 1005:3

groups 991:20

Grove 808:24,27,28 809:21 810:16,18 819:22 829:18 831:9 832:9 833:26 848:4 869:9 878:20 879:9 880:19 889:16,17,19,20

growing 997:11 1033:1

guarantee 1039:9 1041:11,15

guess 847:14,24 848:20 862:6 884:11 935:7 984:23 992:20 1002:11

guidance 839:28 878:3 guidelines 839:5,9

guides 884:1

Gupta 921:23 922:15

Guzman 1004:10,18

half 844:8 1048:28

hand 874:6 1012:15 1024:27 1058:11

handed 843:14 941:23 953:9 1012:21 1013:1,2

handle 814:2

handled 816:10 820:21 821:5,9 861:13

handling 802:25 808:25 819:2

happen 856:26 858:11 860:3 872:1 908:4 963:11 971:18 1050:17

happened 816:5,18 855:6 942:26 1004:3 1023:11

happening 851:1 872:14,18 1055:21

happy 920:11 999:1 1005:14

hard 814:9 908:12 916:11 977:19 992:19 1069:7

harden 1064:19

hardened 911:16 914:4 957:15 1005:28 1006:6

hardening 957:12,13 962:5,28 963:8 964:4, 16 975:8 976:19 983:18 990:17

hardship 896:26 897:19 898:5

hardships 895:13,16, 19,27 896:8,13,22 897:9,23 898:2,11 900:9

harm 864:15 895:7 896:1,15,28 899:26 901:1,24 918:17 968:25

hasten 878:15

hauling 851:8

hazard 857:16 867:27

hazardous 855:9 924:16 939:23

head 907:7 908:8 914:9 928:23 971:13 1005:23 1006:2 1036:16

headed 948:27

heads 862:21

health 896:19 956:14

hear 938:24 969:14

heard 904:15 912:26 960:7,8 977:23 979:27 1002:14 1054:28

hearing 800:6 841:26 865:6 887:22 964:17 1012:7 1015:3,11 1060:22 1068:16,26 1069:3,15 1070:4

hearings 807:5,9,27 808:4,10,19 821:27 887:17 921:11 1025:19

hedge 876:7

heightened 952:12

held 821:27 823:7 874:21

helpful 869:19 1002:5 1056:2

helping 995:25

helps 1002:3

hesitant 914:27

hesitate 915:11

Hey 925:21

HFTD 829:25 926:24

Higgins 813:23,25,28 814:2,7 815:22 820:16, 17,19

Higgins' 819:18

high 823:7 829:3 831:20 835:21,22 872:28 882:23 895:6 1001:19 1027:23 1028:16 1066:17,18,19

high-fire 968:5

high-level 931:23 960:28

high-risk 985:1

high-threat 932:6

higher 870:24 880:27 881:5 931:18 954:22 987:19 990:2 1034:15 1066:3,12,14,25

highly 886:10

highly-dependent

895:4

hinder 1002:28

hindsight 814:20

hired 817:7 841:16 854:21

hiring 853:26

historically 805:18

history 877:11 979:20

hold 832:24 842:25 847:3 866:20 992:1 1058:11

holding 807:8 868:25 965:17 1045:19,24

holds 966:13

home 881:8 915:25 939:26 986:4,5 1007:12 1011:27

homeowners 996:20

homes 868:22 911:23 997:5

honest 838:19 963:23

honestly 830:6 882:27

Honor 800:13 802:15, 24 804:10 806:3 809:1 810:20 818:1,11,16 819:22 823:13,19 824:18 828:16,27 831:9,24 832:16 836:3 838:8,12 841:24 843:12,28 849:7 850:5 855:19 862:4 863:23 864:10 868:14 879:21 889:18,21 890:13 894:5,9 917:26 921:17, 19 922:21 923:3,10,12 936:5 942:25 947:22 948:10 964:22,28 970:24,28 978:5,18 980:17 1009:5 1010:17 1011:6.14 1012:14 1014:3,23 1015:1,18 1022:15 1029:22 1035:13.25 1047:23.26 1058:22 1059:4 1060:9

hooks 859:15

1068:23

1061:6 1067:3,25

hope 874:16 917:20 949:5 1038:18

hoping 818:18 1069:26

horizontal 1054:5,13

horrific 994:19

hotel 916:6,8,17

hour 875:14 876:10 920:21 1070:7

house 871:16 872:21

household 903:10 905:19,28 906:10,22

households 897:20 906:11.26

housekeeping 800:11 921:20 1068:12

houses 910:27

HR 858:16

huge 868:15,17 1069:13

humility 883:23

hundred 912:9 927:24 929:15,21 931:24 1045:26

hundredths 1034:21

Hvistendahl 955:27

hygiene 911:9

hypothetical 860:7 864:9 868:4 886:11 900:4

hypothetically 1018:22 1041:14

ī

I.18-12-007 818:4

idea 991:18 1003:8 1049:27 1050:4,10 1054:1

ideal 803:2

identifiable 1056:14

identification 818:9 828:19 843:8 891:14,21 892:16,23 893:17 936:22 1009:25,28 1010:7,15 1015:8,14 1059:9

identified 829:14 832:4,7 842:26 891:28 893:2 896:13 903:17 904:7 919:5,14 920:7 926:17 935:12 937:23 942:15 948:14,22 949:15 951:2,3 955:3 967:27 976:15 1006:5, 15 1010:13 1029:18 1040:23,24

identifies 979:13

identify 902:6 903:20 904:21 918:3,15 925:5 948:17 949:1 953:6 957:14 961:12 962:23 969:3,7 983:14 989:11 1007:26 1055:13,16

identifying 829:19 924:2,16 931:17 951:19

ignite 986:27 988:3

ignition 897:11 984:7 985:6,7

imagine 887:10 969:17 972:9

immediately 835:2

imminent 864:15

impact 902:1 918:28 919:15 936:26 939:24 940:2 945:2 960:9 965:12 966:16 967:17, 23 968:14 997:25 1001:22,25 1037:12 1067:12

impacted 868:2 908:26 938:15 940:3 941:7 968:15 1004:2 1006:8

impacts 807:21 919:4 920:6 938:7,10,13 939:3,11 966:3 985:24 991:11 997:25 1023:5, 10 1067:10

implement 912:8 914:17 972:25 1018:4 1050:6 1055:8

implementation 961:25 1023:5 1050:28 **implemented** 842:9 902:1 944:28 945:5 1050:3 1051:6

implementing 1056:23

implicate 947:3

implications 861:20 873:11 875:22 886:20 898:11 996:18 997:2,3, 13

implicitly 998:28

implying 877:8

importance 854:3

important 806:22 835:8 837:14,16 840:28 846:28 847:8 860:1 869:27 870:4 888:21,25 993:15 1011:18 1053:2 1055:8

impose 1025:10

imposed 888:24

impossible 825:26 875:5

improper 851:7,22

improve 835:7 836:12 840:13,24 853:24 947:15,17 969:22 975:26 991:15,25 1003:15 1018:10,13,24 1020:7,8 1037:28 1038:17,19 1063:25 1064:7

improvement 840:23 841:22 993:16 994:10 995:8 996:9

improvements 846:15,20 945:18,20

improving 841:15,18 853:25 940:10 980:1,2 1002:27 1003:13

imprudent 996:10

in-depth 831:1

in-person 903:10

in-scope 829:15 929:4

incidences 865:8 871:21 incident 853:13 855:26 871:27 901:4 943:20,28 944:8,17

incidents 856:3 858:24

include 803:17 820:1,2 891:25 892:27 897:17, 23 915:11 945:23 979:2 990:25 991:20 1023:10 1063:28 1064:25 1065:6,21

included 820:2 974:20 1033:18,20

includes 801:10 899:15 949:12 958:14 974:20 996:14

including 805:4 815:17 819:17 820:6 828:4 833:14 836:23 850:9 875:14 891:22 892:25 897:23 899:20 913:15 995:22 1004:21

inclusion 941:15 942:17

income 894:28

incompletely 929:25

incorporate 977:18 978:28 1049:17

incorporated 846:21 883:8 884:4,20 953:21 954:1 972:28 976:16 1049:23

incorporating 846:10, 12

incorrectly 1026:6

increase 1008:1,7

increased 853:9 998:8

increases 999:9

increasing 1036:19

increasingly 870:20,

incremental 1023:14, 18,20 1034:19 1037:9

incur 975:26 1064:7

incurred 959:22 1032:4 1033:16,17 1063:26 indented 819:5,17,27

independent 908:3 915:24 916:4 976:4,8 995:21 1035:14

independently 895:6

index 985:10

indicating 874:17

individual 821:3,10 833:13 872:11,12 889:27 890:2 948:9 967:7 1051:20

individually 1053:3

individuals 815:16 821:1 823:7 824:27 882:20 895:14 896:14

indulgence 947:23

industrial 936:12 939:1,22 1066:15,27 1067:26,27

industry 830:23 930:16,17 998:13

infeasible 874:2,21,28 876:9.11

influenced 938:17

inform 812:9 982:20 994:15 1002:4 1007:28

information 805:12 806:23 812:2 813:20 817:9,16 819:3 850:26 855:7 862:14 869:21, 25,26 871:24 897:17 900:26 909:5 912:22 914:8,22 915:4,7 928:24 941:15,22 942:4,5 944:2,6 946:6 961:10 985:24 991:18, 26 1010:18

informed 813:15 816:11,24 970:8

informing 812:19,27 901:5

infracture 957:5

infrastructure 869:2 957:7 963:7,25 965:22

Infrequently 870:12

initial 853:7.8 855:5 929:12 942:23 993:21 1061:17 initially 927:12 929:9 initiated 970:14 initiation 985:18 initiative 852:13 **injury** 964:2 input 969:20 996:14,28 **inquiry** 814:4 inside 956:22,23 insight 983:13 989:28 994:1 inspect 962:22,23 inspected 826:28 954:4 **inspection** 953:16,20 954:16,25 955:16,18 975:8 983:19 inspections 832:27 951:12 954:3 955:1,20, 22,25,26 inspector 825:3 925:2 inspectors 989:21 **inspects** 933:18 inspiration 1057:20 inspirational 1057:15 installing 982:28 instance 1065:3 Institute 878:8 instructed 989:15 instruments 1043:5 insulating 963:2 insurance 800:24 996:19,25 997:10,14, 18,28 998:13,19 999:8, 26 1065:22 integrate 1051:16 integrity 864:19 867:5 883:22

intelligence 941:18

982:14 983:7 intended 898:28 941:5 interact 882:24,26 901:13 interacting 887:11 interaction 882:21 963:5 interactions 885:23 887:3 905:20 interest 800:27 801:3,4 802:1 1025:5 1027:21 1028:26 1030:17 1031:2,6,9 1034:14 1038:27 1042:23 1043:1 1063:16 interested 818:26 869:28 983:16 1045:7 interesting 952:9 interests 880:13 894:26 895:1 interface 1020:8 **interim** 1050:17 1051:2,5,7 1054:15,18 1055:28 1057:3 1065:12 interject 860:16 interjection 827:20 894:16 internal 850:19 interrupt 1021:6

interrupted 864:1 981:12 1034:10 introduce 808:23 introduces 959:17 inventories 801:12,14 investigate 854:22 858:18,19

investigating 820:16 investigation 800:7 815:19 816:21 817:6,10 850:19,23 851:14 852:9 854:21,25 860:13 864:13,19 867:2,4,6 1009:2

Index: initial..Kane investigations 815:18 1069:18 839:18 859:4.5 867:8 item 823:26 investigator 817:7 items 804:7 963:7 investment 997:7,8,9, 964:9 972:25 10 1042:26 iteration 992:24 investors 1027:2 1028:12 J investors' 1028:21 **Jason** 800:15 invitation 1004:11 **Jerry** 1048:5 invite 905:26 938:21

involve 1033:7 involved 817:5 854:18 877:26 901:11 907:9 991:4 1019:24 **Johnson** 850:28 involvement 813:3 851:19 852:11 874:7 involves 1022:7 Johnson's 855:21

IOUS 931:4 joined 811:2 irrespective 1064:28 1065:5.16

IRS 1044:3 isolate 1030:21 1034:27

invited 905:18

issuance 801:1,15 804:1 1015:5 1016:14, 17.23 1017:7

Issuances 1016:7

isolation 1030:23

issue 816:1 820:17 822:13 845:2 850:27 856:20 857:22,24 858:10,12,27 860:2,6 862:11,24,26 864:11 867:24 881:8 885:21 921:20 1032:23 1033:2, 5 1040:22,23,24 1041:8 1043:3 1045:22 1068:3

issues 802:25 805:3 807:23 808:5 817:8 826:8 834:24 840:18 852:2,8 854:6 856:27 860:11 870:3 878:5,25 879:1,24 887:10,23 922:12 1001:13 1003:26 1055:15 1056:15 1067:23

Jesus 813:14 821:7 **job** 815:3 859:27 860:10 884:28 900:25 918:2,3,4,13 989:10,24 865:12,25 873:12 joint 958:16 Jordan's 951:23 **Jose** 887:22 915:18 journey 994:10 **Judge** 800:4,8,9 831:6 873:25 875:3 877:3 889:6 1003:9 1008:11 1068:25 judgment 980:24,25 **Julie** 808:28 809:8,14 906:27

jumper 859:15 **Justice** 839:10 justified 880:17

K

K-A-N-E 809:15 **K-E-N-N-E-Y** 1061:18 Kane 806:1 808:21,25 809:1,5,8,14,22 810:16, 23 818:12 822:15 823:10,20 824:23 825:20 835:10 838:15

855:13 862:5 863:14 868:5 888:18 889:13,23

Kasnitz 894:8,9,11,17, 23 910:13,14,17 912:21 917:9,10,23,25 918:1, 12 920:16 921:14 984:1 1007:7

Kasnitz's 968:23

keeping 856:17 915:17 954:10 957:25 958:23, 25

Kelly 802:23 803:7,8, 20,22,28 804:10,12,21, 24 823:12,13,18 824:18,20 825:8,11,16 826:9 827:23 828:13, 16,26,27 829:20 831:18,24,28 832:11, 15,16 833:1,11 834:2, 11,12 835:16,26 836:2 837:23,27 838:9 847:14 848:2,12,27 921:15,17, 18 923:1,3,11,12,15 930:1 932:19,21,25 933:1 935:26,28

Ken 951:16

Kenney 921:28 922:14, 23 1008:17 1061:7,9, 17,22 1063:3 1068:10

Kenney's 976:9

Kevin 1011:14

key 919:19 941:9 946:27 951:11

Kincade 855:27

kind 822:7 829:21 848:8 851:24 864:17 942:28 950:26 953:26 963:5 983:6 989:25 1027:26,28 1053:26 1054:22 1069:14

kinds 863:7 963:6 991:18 1043:4

knowing 842:19 863:8 909:17 915:9

knowledge 810:14 813:3 892:7 893:9 894:2 959:19 960:28 1010:24 1017:22 1062:17

knowledgeable 830:8

Koss 806:2,3,12,14

L

lack 806:21 820:23 896:6

lacking 959:25

lacks 848:4 878:21 1047:16

laid 874:14 934:7 938:10

Lake 1004:12,27 1005:8,12 1053:7,9,10, 13,23,25

lands 859:20 862:16

language 847:28 927:2 965:28 1017:20

large 849:13 851:19 913:17,20,23 936:9,11 939:22 971:27 999:20 1009:6,10 1010:3 1018:16 1020:20 1042:12

large-scale 991:13 999:16

largely 938:17 958:1 987:1

larger 897:27 908:19

largest 938:13,19 967:16

lasted 1004:17

late 813:15 818:22

latest 842:18.21 994:1

laughing 959:18

law 800:4,8 859:2 866:22 867:15 869:8 872:25 873:16,18,28 874:7,8,19,22,26,27 875:2 881:2,13,15 883:10 912:1 933:3,10 934:19

law's 874:26

laws 824:3,6 825:1 827:12 866:2,3,15,16

875:6

lawyers 885:23

lay 834:10 898:14 962:18

lead 815:3 899:28

leader 820:11 838:24

leaders 820:28

leadership 815:16 839:15 1001:28 1006:18,19 1067:24

leading 864:24 1057:25

leads 998:21

learn 910:8,18 969:22 970:5 991:12 993:24 994:14 996:11

learned 853:19 947:14

learning 854:23 910:22 983:3 994:6,10 995:14 1007:13

leave 838:8 894:22 911:23 961:8

leaving 1054:22

led 822:21 869:18 879:24 981:6

ledger 1038:11

left 811:22 862:6 955:9 1014:16 1031:26 1032:20 1045:19,24

legal 811:5 861:17 881:18,24 933:28 934:3 959:26 960:1

legally 870:25 871:23 880:11

length 1026:15 1069:14

lengthy 844:13

lesser 1017:15

lessons 947:14 996:11

letter 802:9,18 804:6,19 832:6,17,21 833:12 834:20 850:27,28 852:12 **letters** 801:18 886:18, 25

Index: Kasnitz..Lisa

letting 865:21

level 811:19 847:18,21, 23 848:16 849:2 858:2 861:3 880:10 905:5 943:6,14 952:22 961:7 972:18 976:23 990:2 996:23 1001:19

levels 954:22 992:5 1044:12

leveraged 983:4

leveraging 966:25 982:20

Lewis 950:11

liabilities 959:23 964:6 1041:19

liability 963:21

liaison 1004:26

lies 1039:21

life 997:26 1041:17 1046:20

light 809:18 962:12 979:19

likelihood 986:12

Likewise 1063:6

limit 822:9 876:10 967:13 1024:5

limited 881:1,26 1015:28 1017:14 1052:9

limits 1026:6

lines 813:20 815:10 822:5 826:27 827:1 839:24 857:20 862:9,12 863:13 868:23 870:23 871:14 887:8 924:13,17 931:26 933:11,13 942:18 943:19 944:26 989:6 1014:12 1015:20 1020:12 1023:23 1026:23 1051:19,20 1063:7 1064:5,10

linkage 1054:5,13

Lisa 951:22

list 818:18 898:14,16,19 903:17 904:6,20 906:19 1018:26

listen 840:16 854:4 1004:3.8

listened 1050:14

listening 840:20 969:12,18,21 1004:1

lists 906:8,24

literature 932:9

litigated 882:6

live 853:21 871:1 872:28 874:8 876:2 895:5,21

livelihoods 997:26

living 862:9 869:3 870:22 908:3 916:4 987:11 999:9

load 1066:17

local 898:6 970:3 987:2,26 1001:28 1002:2 1003:23 1004:22,26 1005:1 1007:24,25 1018:15 1020:9

Locate 812:3,7 813:12 815:21,24 816:21,24 818:4 819:3 821:20 822:1 823:5 853:4,15, 19,24 854:1,24 855:18

located 986:4

locations 911:15 914:10 988:22 1006:20

locators 853:26

long 802:23,24 810:19, 20,22,24 818:1,7,11 819:26 822:10,11,14 823:9,11 865:7 891:10 905:18 940:25 947:26, 27 948:2,3,22 949:21 959:4 1004:16 1024:8 1025:3,12 1026:13 1069:3

long- 1032:23

long-standing 905:26

long-term 805:19,23

896:19,27 952:24 997:9

longer 851:12 953:23

longer-term 896:15 1050:19

looked 825:3 841:26 842:20 844:3 886:23 925:21 949:15 992:4 1025:21 1033:28

loop 832:2

loosely 844:15

lose 868:4 946:9

loss 939:12.21.25.27

losses 1043:28

lost 848:6 897:19,26 1019:9

lot 820:6 836:22 845:15 859:11 869:21,25 882:21 958:20 968:10 971:28 982:17 991:14 1019:23,24 1030:26 1042:16 1051:26 1055:10 1066:16 1068:1 1069:1,10,16

lots 885:3 913:2 1051:28 1052:1

loud 833:3

low 894:28 1027:21 1028:14

low-income 895:2

lower 1034:4 1035:23 1036:22 1038:14,21,27 1039:7,17 1063:16,21 1067:21

lows 992:6

lunch 920:18

M

M-A-R-A-T-U-K-U-L-

A-M 890:26

machine 896:17

machinery 939:23

made 851:23 852:9 860:4 869:21 880:12 904:19 905:10 906:7,23 936:11 942:14 966:12 1004:19 1006:16,26 1018:6 1019:2 1021:8 1045:25 1055:7

main 985:3

maintain 911:11 933:16

maintenance 1058:14

major 817:15 947:10 951:9 953:9 954:13,27 955:2,7,10 957:16 1050:7 1059:24

majority 826:19 957:19

make 806:25 808:7 809:16 814:26 843:3 848:13 849:25 850:2 857:7,17 858:20 859:28 865:4 876:21 900:22 903:26 910:10 917:7 922:11 925:11 934:7,12 945:19 947:17 949:9 951:25 962:15 964:15, 20 970:4 979:9 981:21 983:23,26 984:21 985:22 989:22 998:13 1003:3 1006:19 1011:2 1013:17 1019:28 1029:14 1035:8 1053:12 1056:3,24,27 1057:21,26

makes 857:19 925:3 941:10 988:11

makeup 938:18

making 820:22 900:17 901:19 910:18 933:12 970:13,18 996:2 998:18 1069:5

man 820:5,9

management 824:2,5, 28 827:12 828:6 834:25 840:19 860:22 861:5 875:8 877:1 891:8 923:22 924:8,13,28 925:26 930:22,27 931:15 933:18 950:15 951:7,8 953:5 956:10, 12 975:7 988:15,17,18 989:5,18 990:13,23 991:1 993:2 1001:16 1018:24 1021:27

1022:1 1048:9

managerial 822:18

Index: list..market

managers 944:18

manages 907:2

managing 820:12 880:14,17

Manheim 800:12,13,22 802:13,17,20 803:4,14, 21,27 804:3,16,23 805:3,9,14,20,24,26 808:23 890:5 978:5,11, 12,16,18 980:15 981:20 1061:6,19,21 1062:22 1064:3 1067:8 1068:5

Manheim's 802:28

manner 1044:15

manual 842:15

manufacturing 936:12

Maratukulam 890:15, 17,25,26 893:11,13 894:13,20 895:18 921:4 936:18,19 938:22,25 939:5 957:24,27 965:14,27 966:14,21 968:24 984:12 991:7 1003:28 1004:7 1007:16

Maratukulam's

895:12

March 800:2 816:20 1070:8

mark 812:3,7 813:12 815:21,24 816:21,24 818:4 819:4 821:20 822:1,2 823:5 853:4,15, 19,24 854:2,24 855:18 925:6 1019:8

marked 810:2 811:24 818:5,8,9 828:15,17,19 843:2,6,8 891:14,21 892:16,23 893:17 936:22 949:7 1009:24, 28 1010:6,7,15 1011:17,23 1013:4 1014:8,14,20,27 1015:6,8,13,14 1016:5 1025:19 1059:8

market 909:22 997:19

1042:2.11.22.28 1043:4 1059:14 **marking** 924:21 marks 924:5 mart 893:12 **Martin** 922:7 Mary 955:27 mass 909:21 1008:6 master 1007:12,20 match 989:2 material 891:28 892:1 893:2,3 898:13 963:3

materialized 1005:5 materials 855:9 897:16 904:12,21 905:12 939:23 math 835:23 1034:8,11 1037:13 1044:23 mathematically 1037:8,18 matrix 887:7 889:26 1050:26 1051:17,18,22 1054:22,24,26,28 1055:3 matrixed 839:23 **Matt** 890:17 921:4 929:23 1006:3 matter 806:4 812:23 817:6 821:4,20,24 823:5 830:13 831:11,25 854:18,22 860:2 864:24 1002:8 1003:25 1070:7 matters 800:11 806:1, 16 839:18 858:24 882:5 Matthew 890:14 891:1 maximizing 1068:26 **MCE** 926:17 MCE-X-02 828:19 MCE-X-2 828:18 926:18 928:28 **meals** 911:22 meaningful 807:6 808:11

means 826:14 834:14 866:25 899:20 907:24 910:6 965:21 1042:9 1055:27 meant 820:21 825:13 973:25 1055:23 meantime 834:23 1051:11 1055:22 measure 911:3 931:6, 13 945:1 985:8 986:9 990:7,16,17 measurement 990:7 **measures** 853:23 902:1 907:16 945:4 967:21 974:15,22,28 975:5,11 976:7,14 986:9 1002:18 1019:5 1056:1,13

measuring 931:11 990:14 meaty 844:5 mechanism 909:12 media 909:21 1008:6

medical 894:27 895:25 896:23 899:5,22 902:8, 13 903:1,4,9,21,25 904:3,25,27 905:1,15 906:15,17,21 907:10,13 908:15,25 909:13,24, 27,28 913:10,15,20,27 914:11,24 915:1,5 918:4,16 919:20 1007:17,19,22 1008:8

medically 903:18 904:1,2,6

medically-sensitive 897:3

medically-vulnerable 896:1,5 897:1 1007:9

meet 801:13 822:1 829:25 907:23 916:2 918:6 934:14 935:3

meeting 822:16 926:28 1004:4,6,24

meets 859:7 926:26

Melissa 894:23

member 875:20 905:19.27

members 880:4

memo 801:10

memorandum 1065:10,23

mention 852:15 920:3 940:9

mentioned 823:3 839:14,25 845:8 846:4, 14 854:20 859:10 862:16 867:3 875:4 884:12 887:6 897:24 900:8,17 906:28 933:7 934:18,22 947:1,13 949:26 971:7 982:23 985:20 988:4 993:20 995:24 997:22

merging 844:27 846:16 met 830:3 926:25 928:1 meteorologist 992:8, 21

meteorology 985:11 meter 1007:12.21 metric 930:23 metrics 827:18 828:1

877:19,20 930:28 1056:13.14 Mh-hm 945:27

Michael 959:9 microgrids 967:3

microphone 809:17 823:14 947:28

mid-2000s 1048:16

middle 1017:3 1027:28 1061:17

mile 826:26 827:2.3 831:7,20 833:21,25 834:15 836:5 923:27 925:14,25 927:10 928:11,21 929:14 930:10,12 931:21 932:1,7,11 935:2,5

miles 865:19 876:10 923:24 924:28 925:19, 22 926:7 927:25,26,28 928:5,8,16 929:11,26 930:12 931:28 932:17 934:13 935:3,11,15 953:6

Index: marking..Missouri

million 822:21 852:24 931:25 1016:1,28 1017:2,8 1024:13 1025:8,13 1026:9 1028:27 1030:11,24 1031:1 1036:12 1037:16,19 1063:15 1065:8,24

millions 824:26 874:5 mind 895:15,28 896:8, 13,27 921:21 927:5 1023:7 1039:19 1043:3 1045:13 1057:24

minded 845:27 Mine 1014:14 mini 952:17 1054:24 minimize 919:9 966:3,

minimizing 919:6 946:25

minute 889:17 936:1 1022:28 1055:14

minimum 876:14 989:7

minutes 823:4 861:24 879:18 922:5 932:23 950:25

Mischaracterizes 880:20

mischaracterizing 965:25

misconduct 858:20

mismanagement 880:1

mismatch 861:10 missed 832:2 833:13

839:20 missing 978:4 1012:5

mission 883:17,27

884:6

Missouri 1066:9,22,26, 27

Index: Missouri's..number nauseatingly 1013:12 noncompliant 930:4 ne 1070:3 nonpayment 903:11 necessarily 833:3 nonrate-based 801:12 844:23 861:11 nonstandard 904:13, necessity 1051:5 20 905:13 needed 812:8 852:10 Nora 936:8 1009:6 928:2,7 929:13,21 1063:3 1033:1 **norm** 873:20 874:19 **needing** 879:27 normal 801:22 1000:11 negative 968:26 norms 930:19 negatively 960:9 North 848:11 neglected 869:2 note 928:26 937:22 neighborhood 862:13 1000:10 1005:26 neighbors 859:18 **noted** 1036:10 1062:15 net 1029:10,12 1030:8, **notes** 895:13 1052:24 10,22 noteworthy 1015:22 neutral 1002:28 nother 998:21 1025:15 1041:8 1064:15 notice 906:11 937:9,27 940:4 943:10 946:10 neutral-on-average 947:4.6 1023:3 1024:21 1025:2 noticeably 800:10 neutrality 1022:21 1023:9 1026:16,19 notification 905:3,5,7 1028:7 1041:5 906:25 907:16,18 940:16,23 941:25 news 879:22 946:27 947:20 958:5,15 **Newsom** 879:23 notifications 905:4 **Newsom's** 880:5 907:6 946:17 991:6,19, 25 nice 1057:22 1063:4 notified 852:16 864:14. **night** 818:18 825:28 15 899:13 939:18 940:7 896:19 915:15,21,26 991:8 **NOLS** 1043:14,23,27 notify 904:24 940:18 1044:6,11,19 1047:6 946:21 1007:21 non-bankruptcy notifying 1007:14 1035:22 **notion** 821:7 871:1 non-car 963:9 November 878:9 non-financial 808:4 967:14

Missouri's 1066:12,14 misstated 929:8

mistake 1034:23

mitigate 898:28 902:1 918:28 919:3,15 920:5 945:1 946:24 970:17 972:1,24 997:13

mitigating 984:16 993:27

mitigation 827:7 831:2 835:4 902:15 907:17 911:3 919:26 920:7,10 934:6,11 937:5 938:12 950:19,22 952:8,11 956:19 957:10 967:20 968:5 971:23,26 972:21,23 973:3 974:21,23,24 975:1,18 976:20 977:17,24 978:2 979:1,25 980:7,10 982:17,25 985:11 992:25 993:10,19 994:4,25 996:1 1000:22 1001:1,3,23,26 1002:5, 18 1006:5 1064:1,12, 18,26 1065:2,9

Mm-hmm 1066:23

mobile 1007:12

mobilized 1005:17

modeling 983:9

modern 895:21

Moke 1004:11

moment 803:24 818:15 819:8 825:2 860:17 875:13 902:25 920:13 978:6 1014:5

money 851:20 1026:21 1027:1 1028:11,13,22 1030:26 1039:9,14 1042:12,16 1044:2 1059:22

monies 1046:4

monitor 817:11 832:6, 17,26 833:23 834:21,23 837:2 852:18 858:17 859:5 889:2

monitoring 839:17 943:21 985:12 990:15

monopoly 879:5

month 891:12 982:26

monthly 1067:11,16

months 1005:2 1050:8, 9.11

more-distributed 878:16

morning 800:5,20 809:6,22,23 810:23,25 838:16,17 861:24 888:18,19 890:27 1070:1

mortality 929:4

mortar 911:16 914:5

motion 806:19,20

motivated 1052:16

motives 822:4

mouth 1023:23

move 831:24 850:10 884:14 885:11 886:16 924:1 973:13,26 981:17 982:5 993:2 994:14 1018:1 1055:9 1060:8

moved 1048:17 1060:10

moves 1038:12

moving 846:8,25 924:14 972:19

MPMP 957:19

multi-page 1029:20

multiple 858:13 866:10 1013:7,14 1065:9

multistep 924:1

Munger 808:24

Ν

naked 983:20

narrowly 991:2

national 1067:17,19

natural 801:13 825:5

nature 824:17,25 875:10 877:8 946:1

non-perishable 911:25

number 820:12 827:1

833:20 834:24 835:8

837:17 846:14 853:16

864:16,21 899:3 908:7

928:4,20 929:2 931:22

932:7,8 933:13 935:12

911:17 917:1,11,12

nonbypassable 1040:2

noncompliance

830:14,15 926:12 931:9

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

937:8 938:13 949:1 967:6,24 968:15 979:9 992:17 994:5 1004:19 1005:22 1006:2 1022:12 1028:2 1031:13,16 1032:12 1033:15 1034:2 1036:22 1059:10

numbering 832:22

numbers 835:24 908:12 917:15 931:24 932:15 975:10 1008:19 1031:21 1036:17

numeric 836:23 numerous 887:1

0

O&m 1049:21 **oath** 921:12

object 825:8 848:5 912:16 918:8 959:25 965:24 1046:13

objected 806:8

objection 819:22 824:18 831:9 833:26 848:4 869:9,16 878:20 879:9 880:19 937:28 943:11 1022:15 1035:25 1047:16 1060:12,16,22

objectives 944:13 996:4

obligated 866:21 871:23

obligation 863:2,24 864:4 903:7 918:6 934:14 1045:27

obligations 844:22 863:3

obliged 881:17 1039:15

obtain 904:19 906:8

occur 871:21 951:4 954:20 955:20 966:19 968:1,18 985:7,16,24 1045:23 occurred 855:11 871:28 925:8 942:8 945:17

occurrences 825:5

October 852:21,26 879:22 943:7 967:14,17

off-line 803:5 805:14

off-the-record 978:11

offer 916:8 1039:17

offered 805:1 978:16

offering 913:25 979:24

office 939:26

officer 809:26 811:8,14 812:9,20,25,28 814:24 815:7 836:22 840:7 852:17 857:12 858:6 859:6 861:5 882:2 885:20 886:4 887:20 889:2 900:20,27 901:5, 10,12,13,15,20,21 935:17 941:6,10,23,27 943:26 1003:2

official 973:2

officials 958:19 970:3 985:21 987:3

offset 1040:2,10 1043:24 1044:20 1059:24

offsets 1041:6,7

offsetting 1030:9

OII 817:15 821:27 843:4 853:4

OIR 915:19

one's 1020:24

one-pager 1041:23

one-third 954:9 967:9, 11,24 968:14,17

one-year 997:8

ongoing 851:14 855:5 937:18 995:9 1001:12

online 888:2

onus 986:25

open 910:27 911:27 915:17 920:11 998:22

1022:26 1025:22 1040:14

opened 816:22

opening 1068:17

openness 888:3,7

operate 916:27 931:4,5

operates 1050:18

operating 963:11 1003:23

operation 901:3 959:2

operational 860:5,13 935:14 937:12

operationalize 968:4

operations 813:14 814:12 815:12,13 819:21 839:26 891:8 892:12 900:19 935:19, 25 941:19 950:27 952:17,20 953:3 955:5, 6 979:3 1003:18 1020:26

opinion 1018:9 1020:3 1038:4 1041:12

opinions 1010:27,28

opportunities 840:12

opportunity 802:27 887:20 966:15 1052:27

oppose 1044:16

opposed 867:16 994:27 1045:7

optimism 1047:12

optimize 1057:27

option 800:24

options 909:23 1056:8

order 826:12 904:21 906:10 920:5 921:22 1015:4 1016:7 1040:1,9

ordinarily 1022:13

ordinary 1028:13 1046:2

organization 814:13 820:11,14 821:1 838:27 842:9 846:19 865:17 877:23 879:5 885:4 887:7,12 892:14 907:1 910:21 914:18 944:16 949:13,16,19,23 950:3, 8,9,15,17,23,26,28 951:9,11,16,17,23 952:3,5,13,16,26 953:1, 10 954:15 955:2,8,11 956:9,11,12,22,23 957:11 958:10,12,22,28 960:22 977:6 991:16 1008:3 1049:18,20 1050:27 1051:18,22 1053:26 1054:23,27 1055:1 1058:9

Index: numbering..outreach

organizational 948:27 973:2 1048:18 1055:27

organizations 820:27 839:6 898:7 902:21,22 907:22,27 908:24 909:1,9,16 910:3 916:1, 21,27 919:18 920:1,5 949:1,25 950:21 951:18,20 952:27 955:6 958:18 968:11 1007:25 1008:6 1055:3

organized 950:25 952:20 1048:11 1051:24.25

oriented 998:6,7

original 995:17,18 1000:28

originally 806:7 811:12 1032:6,7

outage 897:12,21,28 898:9,26,28 899:27 900:27 901:1,24 907:20 911:24 918:18,25 985:5

outage-producing 985:4

outages 895:8,17 898:22 908:6,17,26 917:19 918:28 919:9, 12,16

outcomes 877:21 930:25 968:27 969:25 989:2 990:4

outlined 983:17 991:2

outreach 897:15 899:15 905:11 907:2,8, 13 909:10 910:27 939:7

985:21 987:25 outreached 1007:27 outweigh 969:27 outweighed 901:24 outweighs 899:27 overarching 1057:2 overhanging 924:14 overhangs 931:16 overhead 867:24 868:7 931:27 overlap 905:14 overlapping 881:19,20 903:24 override 900:11 overrule 869:15 Overruled 819:24 831:12 833:28 943:12 959:27 965:26 1036:1 1046:14 1047:18 oversaw 835:4 oversee 838:26 overseeing 961:13,19 overseen 878:2 oversight 837:2 838:22 878:4 884:24 885:2 888:28 overview 839:22 851:1 owned 842:8 935:24 owners 1005:27 1021:4 1022:1 ownership 856:2 1021:26 P P-E-N-D-E-R 891:2 P-O-W-E-L-L 890:28

p.m. 920:21,22 921:1 1070:7 Pacific 809:8 890:18 936:13 1061:9

package 953:9 pager 1013:6 pages 818:25 822:25 842:17 844:7 919:14 940:20 948:28 972:24 1000:26,27 1013:7 1016:12 1060:15,21 paid 851:20 882:7,10 1044:3 1046:19 panel 830:9,25 831:10, 15 889:25,27 890:1,3,6, 14 921:12 922:10,13,27 923:8 948:9,10 965:6 971:3 981:15 982:4 panels 996:22 paper 801:9 822:22 1011:26 Paradise 963:13,26,28 1026:4

paragraph 819:5,14,17 832:20,23,25 833:6,17 959:15,17 1017:4 parallel 1034:27 parameters 903:16 paraphrasing 847:19 park 1007:12 parking 913:2 parlance 854:27

parse 875:16 part 800:22 820:25 833:18 835:3 836:20 838:26 844:21 846:3,5 851:11 853:16 856:2 870:9 872:4 877:15 884:23 892:20 893:21 896:7 897:15 898:4 899:5,15 913:28 916:3 918:19 924:19 925:2 927:3 937:5 941:17 942:1 949:15,26 953:13,17 954:1 956:11 971:21 972:9 974:16 975:2 980:7,22 990:19, 22 995:8 998:23 999:22,28 1000:28 1013:25 1020:25 1021:16 1054:12

partial 875:28 876:1,5 partially 801:20 participate 910:26

participated 887:16 996:22

999:1

participation 806:20, 21 807:5,9,26 808:10, 19 887:17 1008:1,8

parties 806:7 882:6 922:1 972:10 1068:27 1069:18

partner 902:20 909:17 914:17

partnering 908:2

partners 919:18,25 985:22 995:11 998:12, 15 1000:3

partnership 908:28 987:26 995:9 1008:3

partnerships 907:21 910:2

parts 860:7 862:12 891:18 932:2,4 1020:19

party 854:22 921:24 922:3

pass 926:6 927:20 930:7 933:26

passed 801:6

passes 934:25

past 877:10 971:10 972:12 1004:15 1008:20

path 885:20 974:6 998:16

paths 862:15

pathways 859:19

pause 822:27

paved 913:3

pavement 913:1

pay 979:6 1039:15 1041:3 1046:28 1047:1

paying 1039:13,17,21 1041:1 1059:21,22

payment 802:5 882:14 1044:7 1046:18

payments 851:22 1041:15

PD 1001:27

Index: outreached..percentage

peace 1057:24

peak 801:13 1006:28

penalties 822:21

Pender 890:14,17 891:1 892:9,11 921:5 923:17,19 929:28 930:6 933:6 936:16,20 937:2 938:9 982:16 988:4,14 993:15 1000:24 1006:4

pending 943:8 1032:24 1035:5 1065:6

people 825:27 826:18 830:28 836:17,18 837:8 840:18 854:3,5 857:3,5 860:11 863:12 864:14 868:2 869:3,27 870:4 875:11 881:28 888:3,7 894:21 896:28 897:11 899:26 904:6 908:10,15 909:15,26 911:10,20,23 913:9 918:4 944:7 990:1 1002:2 1003:20 1027:21 1028:13.14 1051:21 1052:3,16 1054:19 1058:15

people's 1055:26

percent 803:28 829:12 830:4,13,15 865:18 868:22 871:15,28 872:3.14.20.22 881:7 912:10 924:27 926:6,9, 12,13,26 927:1,8,12,19, 23,24,28 928:2,4,10,15, 18 929:9,11,13,16 930:13 931:10 933:26 934:19,23,27 935:4,8 954:8 984:19,20 1026:27 1027:10,13,28 1028:4,5,16,20,25 1029:28 1030:19 1031:6 1032:18 1033:11.27 1034:17.22 1036:11,19 1037:14 1045:26

percentage 829:26

927:23 928:19 929:5 930:3 1028:5,7 percentages 1036:25

perception 819:14,16

perceptions 870:8
perfect 824:16 825:15
826:2 835:19 836:9
837:20 838:5 873:27
875:6 876:28 1053:23

perfectly 926:14 963:23

1056:5

perform 871:3 956:13, 26 960:26 962:25 994:2

performance 877:20 951:13 989:9,27 995:17 1019:6 1020:7

performed 924:27 925:4,28 927:7 933:22 935:9 951:15,19,26 952:16 953:8 955:1,4 956:16 957:3,19 963:25 967:15,19 968:3 988:20,26 989:23

performing 951:20 956:27 972:1

performs 924:22 953:1

period 841:6 997:27 1024:9 1025:8,9,12,14 1029:1,13 1042:5 1050:17 1051:2,5,7

periodically 1033:6

peripherally 937:17

permanent 1006:7

permit 1023:26

permitted 1017:23

person 812:26 826:16 836:25,28 862:22 864:11 868:20 871:19 872:11,12 875:20 876:2 900:28 903:8 904:18 916:9 917:6 937:20 965:7 973:19 977:22 989:10,23 1051:16

personally 900:16 906:27 1042:10

personnel 1058:14

persons 858:16

perspective 872:10 989:27 990:3 1027:19 1039:22 1043:2 1050:16 1053:13 1055:28 1058:10

pertains 970:12

pervasiveness 1050:1

Peter 800:8

PG&E 800:15,18 801:7, 24 803:18 804:18 805:16 806:1 807:11 808:6,21 809:24 810:27 811:12 812:13 813:8 814:28 816:25 817:6 820:1,27 821:21 823:2, 4 824:4,7 826:10,13,14 827:2 828:14 829:9,11, 24 830:3 832:28 834:20 838:2 840:16 841:5,11 843:4 845:19 846:3 848:12,14,15 850:16 851:25 852:2,23 854:27 855:2,7 862:9,24 865:7 866:12 870:20,22,23 871:14 872:13 873:24 876:13 877:14 878:3,11 879:7 880:17 884:6,7 885:2 890:11,13 891:6 892:10 893:12,15 894:26 895:18 898:14, 20,25 901:28 902:11,16 903:6 904:2,11 905:17, 25 907:18,26 909:14 910:8,18 912:13,23 915:14 916:25 918:5,24 922:22 923:21 925:18 926:28 927:16 931:21 933:3 936:23 937:10,27 938:27 939:5 941:16 943:22 946:11 947:6 948:14 957:26,27 958:22,24 959:23 961:17 963:10,22 964:1,6 965:11,15 966:2,13,14 968:25 969:6,25 972:7 975:21, 25 976:1 978:21 979:23 981:5 984:4.12.20

986:1,7,23 987:9,11,13,

994:21 995:9,23 997:5

14,18 990:8 993:8

999:18 1000:7,12 1001:17,27 1003:6 1004:19,24,25,28 1005:17 1007:10 1010:9,12,13 1011:9, 12,13 1014:26 1015:2, 12 1016:27 1017:13,18 1018:20,24 1019:2 1020:15,28 1023:27 1024:26 1025:3,18,19 1026:7 1028:27 1031:4 1032:4,14,23 1033:1,4 1034:4,16 1035:22 1036:6 1039:2,25 1040:2,4,12,15 1041:16,19 1042:1,19 1043:7,13,16 1044:10 1045:12 1046:3 1047:11 1048:11,15,17, 23 1049:10,12,17 1051:1,12,26 1057:7 1059:10,12 1061:5,24, 28 1064:6 1067:9

PG&E's 800:22 801:5, 26 809:28 810:2 813:13 814:24 817:1,19 818:3 823:25 827:25 831:7,20 832:7,10 834:17 870:10 888:21 910:23 911:1 920:4 950:18 976:3 978:20.24 979:2.28 992:2 994:26 997:13,17 999:21,25 1000:22 1011:21 1015:11,24 1017:5 1018:3,11,15 1020:7 1022:1 1024:20, 27 1025:1 1026:15 1027:1,9 1030:2,3 1037:7,13,17,28 1043:12 1046:11,26 1048:9,23 1057:1 1059:23 1064:17 1067:16

PG&E-01 959:16

PG&E-1 891:14 892:16 893:17 936:22

PG&E-7 891:21 892:23

PG&E-X-01 1014:9 1015:7,8 1016:6

PG&E-X-02 1015:13, 14 1041:22

PG&E-X-2 1059:9

phase 860:13 983:2

photos 983:20

Index: percentages..plan

phrase 815:5 945:24

phrased 1045:9

physical 895:28 896:15,28

pick 976:17

picked 1034:21

picture 830:12 900:3

piece 822:22 854:15 862:24 872:13 951:22 962:7 964:1 983:13 991:3

pieces 817:16

piloting 983:1

pin 992:21

Pipeline 855:9

pithy 844:16,17

place 844:22 908:23 914:16 934:12 956:24 958:13 967:21 969:4 1007:2 1045:28 1055:12,15 1056:23

places 867:21

plan 806:24 807:13,16, 21 808:14 828:3,10,14 829:8.9 830:17 834:17 845:20,23 846:6,10,22 847:2 850:10 873:9 877:7,16,22,26 880:27 881:4,11 884:25 885:4, 8,13,15,16 886:1,13,20 913:26,28 920:10 926:20 927:4 933:3 934:5,6,11 935:1 938:12 950:19,23,26 951:2,28 952:5,8,11,27 953:13,17,26 954:2,11, 12 957:10 971:7.12.21. 25,26 972:3,5,21,23 973:1,3,12,18,23,24,25 974:5,8,16,18,19,21,24, 25 975:1,2,12,14,16,18 976:3,4,8,16,17,20,21, 22 977:3,7,9,12,18 978:3,20,24,25,28 979:1,10,17,25 980:4, 11,21,22,26 981:2,23

982:9,17,25 985:11 990:13,23 992:25,26 993:6,10,19,21 994:3,4, 15,25 995:1,13,19,23 996:1 998:5,12 999:12, 25 1000:22,28 1001:1, 3,9,11,23,26 1002:5,6, 18 1003:10 1006:5 1008:12,14,21 1018:5 1019:15 1021:9 1022:6 1023:6,15 1024:20,27 1025:2,4,6 1026:15 1028:27 1030:2 1031:7 1048:24,26 1049:9 1051:9 1052:6 1055:9, 11 1056:22 1057:2,3 1063:27 1064:1,12,13, 18,26 1065:2

planned 900:2 901:22

planning 941:18 950:28 952:7 953:2,4 954:19 971:13,14,17 972:16 977:17 1005:16, 18

plans 872:8 918:28 919:13 930:27 956:15 961:14 975:17 994:20 1005:4,12 1006:20 1048:24

plate 814:11

play 952:18

playing 876:4 993:25

plays 968:20

pleading 817:22 818:25

pleadings 824:8

plenty 1068:2

point 820:5 821:17 825:9 849:24 851:13 852:15 854:26 865:10 872:19 875:19 902:7 937:19 940:15 987:27 998:17 1011:15 1034:26 1055:7 1056:7

pointed 881:25

points 918:26 919:14 941:10

poles 963:1

police 875:26

policy 801:7 878:8 1039:22

political 879:7

pollution 805:17

Pomerantz 878:7

poor 972:8

population 849:13 910:9 915:10

populations 899:2 901:7 902:24 903:4 907:5 910:4,19,22,28 916:3,23 917:21 919:1, 22 938:19

POR 1015:28 1030:18, 20 1034:28 1037:20 1049:14

portion 801:25 802:3 803:11,13,21 804:9

position 809:24 811:1, 4,7 814:17,22,27 816:7 823:2 836:10 840:8 891:11 900:24 916:8 1002:16 1058:6 1061:23

positions 813:8 949:18 1002:10 1053:16

positive 1018:28 1031:4 1038:10

possibility 872:1 1043:22

post 878:9 1016:17 1031:8

post-emergence 1030:1

posted 825:27 875:12

potential 897:7 899:8, 10,13 900:7 901:7 909:10,22 910:5 911:2 915:9 918:21 919:9 939:11,15 942:16,22 946:11,20 956:27 958:3,6,27 964:5 966:16 967:22 969:1,27 970:5,8,17 972:2 984:28 985:3,6,7,9,15, 19,23 986:28 987:6 991:10 992:19 1018:10, 13 1037:27

potentially 803:17 815:3 867:7 875:15 914:6 915:2 918:15 940:6,18 946:20 992:15 1033:5 1042:20

Powell 890:14,17,27,28 891:5,7 921:4 929:23 948:5,23,25 949:4 959:14 960:1 971:6,20 973:27 974:14,18 977:11,15 978:9 982:6 1001:19 1003:1 1054:25

Powell's 979:11

power 868:4 880:14,18 893:14 894:14 895:7,9, 17 896:6,14,19 897:5, 12,28 898:9,22,26,27 899:27 900:1 901:1 907:20 908:6,16,26 911:24 914:24 917:18 918:7,17 919:11 924:12,17 933:11,13 936:11 939:4,12,22,26, 27 946:9 971:24 975:9 984:3,6 986:5,17 1066:16

powered 913:10 practice 873:20 practices 930:16,17

pre-bankruptcy 1035:22

pre-established 1005:24 1006:11

pre-inspector 924:4, 19,22 989:11

pre-petition 1031:27 1032:4,17

pre-positioning 967:2 precipitation 992:5.12

predictive 983:8

preference 803:3

preferences 1011:11

preliminary 805:28 806:15

premise 879:2

Index: planned..principle

preparation 841:25 855:20 904:8

prepare 897:8 918:23 939:19

prepared 800:19 810:1,7 891:15 892:2, 17 893:4,18,23 918:25 1010:19 1062:13

preparedness 897:16 898:8 958:3 969:4

preparing 910:24 prescribed 924:23

present 807:19 841:7 867:26 1029:10,12

presented 847:15 848:3

preserve 1055:4

preserved 1030:3

presided 821:26

president 809:25 813:14 814:12 819:20 891:7 900:18 937:14 950:11 1061:25

pretty 926:4 1000:6 1060:20

prevalent 870:20

prevent 815:4 1054:8

preventing 822:19 1054:8

prevention 956:6,19

previous 966:9 971:5 1015:23 1017:25 1027:20 1042:14,15

previously 926:17 933:15 934:7 965:17 991:2

price 965:9

primarily 954:26 956:5 977:27 1067:22

primary 907:24 918:6

principal 980:26

principle 1021:14,21

principles 868:25

printed 1013:7

prior 804:14 874:23 880:8 903:11 906:28 928:26 970:12 1048:11

priorities 820:12

priority 905:4 907:5 946:23 953:6

privileged 850:22

probable 856:11 865:14,27

probation 812:9,17,20, 24,27 823:26 827:9,10, 26 829:6,9 830:22 837:13 852:17 859:3,6 888:23,28 889:2,5 979:20

problem 813:15,24 814:2,8 815:27 816:10, 13 848:28 856:19 857:13 859:17,25 861:11 866:10 871:15 1019:28 1031:12

problematic 851:11

problems 815:2,24 816:25 819:4 879:24 1055:15

procedure 957:2

procedures 903:14 914:16 958:13

proceed 825:16

proceeding 801:23 802:10 812:4,7,8,10,13, 20 813:4 822:25 847:13 915:19 959:11,12 960:27 961:3 971:5 977:26 978:22 979:7 980:8,10,14 981:11 996:1 999:18,19 1001:1,3,14,22 1015:24 1033:26

proceedings 937:18 960:10,25 996:13 998:24 1024:3

process 801:22 804:20 808:7 817:10 845:26 884:25 924:1,26 925:3, 25 926:2 927:10.21

929:24 941:17 942:20 945:19 947:16,19,20 951:24 988:28 989:1,3, 10,19,28 990:1,3,27 991:4,25 993:4 994:12 995:13,21 1006:14 1046:6 1049:28

processes 833:14 933:14 935:20 947:13 953:22 956:25 958:13

produce 995:2,3 1011:27

Producers 959:10

professional 1010:27, 28 1023:28 1024:11 1026:7

prognosticate 992:9

program 815:9,11,14, 18 834:25 835:5 836:12,25 837:9 838:26,28 839:3,7,11, 15,16,27 845:10 852:5 853:18,24 854:5,24 869:22 891:9 892:13 893:14 894:15 895:9 902:8 903:2,16,23,25 904:4,19,23,28 905:2, 10,15 906:8,21 907:7 908:16 909:14,18,24 914:9,13,14 924:20 930:24 931:15,16 933:5,18,27 935:21,23 937:5 944:13 946:23 951:7,8 953:17,18,20, 23,26 954:17,24,25 955:16 975:7,9 979:26 980:7 983:19 988:6,7, 11,12 989:18 990:19, 22,25 1007:18,28 1008:9

programs 909:7 916:22 931:6,19 933:20 934:6 950:18,22 951:10 952:8,11 953:10 954:13,27 955:2,10 957:10,12,13,17 961:13,18 980:6 988:15,16,19 990:27 994:5,8

progress 968:12 1006:26

project 954:13,27 955:10

projected 961:26,28 1063:10

projecting 916:26 1044:1

projection 966:1

Projects 951:10 953:10 955:2 957:16

promise 1069:19

promises 1069:5

promote 815:10 909:23 1003:15

promoting 815:17

properly 836:1 861:13

property 1005:27

proposal 800:23 979:28 1017:6 1018:3 1043:12 1049:8 1054:10

proposals 1001:21 1050:3 1054:6

propose 807:4 909:28 1023:16 1064:18

proposed 1001:9 1003:6 1040:2,3 1042:20

proposes 1030:18

proposing 800:23 1057:1,7

pros 845:6

protect 998:3

protection 957:5,8 960:16

protections 903:19 959:21

protocol 899:6 901:19

protocols 914:16 956:23

proud 873:14

provide 870:1,2 878:23 879:7 880:27 900:25 909:5,11 911:9,19,22, 25 913:13 914:19

916:15,26 918:15 944:5 949:16,23 972:18 982:11 989:26 990:2 991:9 1012:9 1035:10 1038:10 1052:26

provided 911:14 942:5 943:16 961:11 980:3 994:20 1060:14

providing 846:17 907:24,26 916:18 991:26

provision 942:4 979:13 1024:25

proxy 985:6

prudent 998:9

pseudo 1051:17

PSP 937:9.26

PSPS 896:9 898:16,22 899:6,11 900:2,12,20 901:3,23 902:2 903:16 904:9,18,23 905:9 906:7,11,25 907:6,28 910:24 914:9,13,17 918:20 919:4,26 920:6 936:24,26 937:4,10,12, 15,26 938:7,10,12,15 939:8 940:2,7,26 941:4, 7,11 943:8 945:2 946:2, 10 947:7 957:26 958:1, 23,28 965:10,19,23 966:3,10,16 967:8,14, 28 968:25,27 969:8 976:19 985:18 988:2 991:5 994:6 996:6 997:25 1002:4 1003:22 1006:9,28 1007:15

PSPSS 1004:1,13

public 806:19,24 807:4, 9,21,22,26 808:10,12, 14,19 851:17 852:1 854:12,13 855:3,6 857:25 859:25 860:22, 24 861:9,21 862:8,20 864:19 865:21 866:26 869:6,20,28 870:7,8,17 875:21 876:16 880:4 887:16 893:14 894:14 898:21,27 939:4 946:25 965:9,12,16 968:25,27 969:27 971:23 972:10 975:9 979:14 984:10,

15,21 985:22 986:2,8, 16,24 987:4,19 994:23 995:6,10 996:13 1007:28

publicity 855:12

publicly 850:26 851:26 867:7 870:15

publicly-traded 861:7

PUC 816:22

pulled 875:25 952:13

pulling 919:8

pulse 806:23

purpose 903:18 978:23 1001:4

purposes 837:1 839:2 865:13 933:2,4 959:12 1013:16 1028:6

pursuant 839:4 976:2 1015:6

pursuing 996:2

purview 842:1,5

put 806:19 814:9 842:23,27 879:3 883:20 927:12 934:12 956:24 969:4 1001:21 1002:6 1021:20 1023:22

putting 952:10 963:1,2 1027:18 1032:27 1034:2

puzzle 991:3

Q

Q-6 1041:26

QA 925:16 927:7 930:10 935:8 990:2 991:4

QC 989:20,28 991:1,2

quality 826:22,24 829:3,24 925:16,24,28 926:3,8,23 927:20 928:28 929:24 930:25 931:13 933:19,20,21, 22,27 934:17 935:10, 22,23 951:24 988:6,7, 11,12,16,19,27 989:8, 16 990:6,8,12,13,18,19, 20,22,23,25,26,28 997:26 1018:11,14 1052:20

quantified 984:19

quantify 877:13 969:10 984:9,14

quantitatively 998:11

quantity 908:13 quarrel 1036:23

quarter 925:15 926:5

930:11 question 800:16,25 803:9 804:13 805:15 811:26 812:5 816:4,8 817:13,18 823:21 824:19,21,22 825:18,19 826:10,25 830:1 831:17 834:11 835:11,13 838:1 841:1,21 848:6 849:11 850:4,8,11 854:15 857:10 861:19 863:15, 20 866:9 867:11,12 868:6,16 869:15,19 870:7 874:11,15 882:11,19 885:12 887:27 902:26 905:24 910:16 912:17,20 917:8 918:9 919:23 923:28 938:26 942:12 947:1 949:14,22,27 952:10 957:20 958:9 960:19 964:21 965:6,8 966:8 970:12 973:8,16 974:10 975:4 976:14,24 978:8 980:18 986:6 990:10 994:18 999:4,16 1000:16 1002:12,26 1003:4 1007:5 1013:13,

question's 958:21 questionable 1069:1 questioning 837:25

15 1016:27 1022:16

1035:5,7,9 1036:2,15,

1040:6,14 1042:21,24

28 1038:24 1039:20

1058:19,27 1059:18

1024:28 1031:15

1045:6,8 1047:9

1068:15

968:23

questions 802:13 803:1,4 804:25 807:13, 20 819:10 823:10 831:14,25 832:2 833:5 834:6 836:23 837:26 838:7,18,19 850:8 871:27 875:18 888:12, 15 889:6 894:12,19 917:26 920:14,15 923:7,16 932:26 935:27 936:15,17 944:25 947:24 948:6,8,16,23, 26 957:22 959:4 962:16 964:23,27 970:23 971:4,5 981:16 983:26 996:16 1000:8,13,14 1003:28 1007:6 1015:13 1023:1 1037:18 1044:25 1048:21 1049:25 1050:18,24 1054:3

quick 883:16 921:24

1067:4

quickly 825:23 911:18 938:20 944:11 946:12, 16 982:5 1051:2 1055:25 1069:8,12,22

quotation 819:17

quote 819:6,27 820:3,6 873:13 887:22 888:4 936:23 1015:21 1016:2 1017:4,10 1020:13,21 1023:24 1026:24 1037:24 1038:6 1041:28 1042:6 1043:11,19 1063:8,9 1064:22

quotes 820:2

R

R&d 983:1

radial 924:11,15 933:11,16

raise 805:3 856:27 858:15,24 860:19 1069:19

raised 800:16 835:7 854:7 864:11,25 878:6 1001:14 1034:24 raises 858:12

Index: publicity..reached

raising 840:18 854:6 860:1,11 870:3 979:5

ran 963:14

range 917:13 932:10 1027:27 1028:14,23

ranging 982:27

rank 856:25

rate 801:4,9 802:1,3 803:12,15,25 804:7,9 926:6 931:9 933:26 964:10 1022:21 1023:5, 8,10 1026:16,19,26 1027:15 1028:3,6,26 1030:17 1031:2,6 1032:28 1036:21 1037:11 1038:21,27 1040:1,3,9,11 1041:5 1043:24 1044:21 1046:19 1047:1 1049:5, 6,10,15,23 1051:10 1063:21 1065:3,12 1067:9,10,15

ratepayer 807:19 1039:11,20

ratepayers 804:2 959:22 960:17,23 964:5 1020:18 1027:3,19 1028:12,24 1039:13,15 1040:25,27 1041:8 1045:17,18,24 1046:28 1047:1 1059:16,20

rates 800:26 975:24 996:19 997:15,18 999:8,26 1021:16 1025:11 1027:22 1028:10,14,16 1031:9 1034:15 1045:28 1063:10,16 1064:6 1065:27,28 1066:3,12, 14,19,25,27 1067:26,27

rating 1038:1,18 re-cross 1068:7 re-emphasize 854:3 re-work 1013:8 reach 970:2

reached 886:9 968:18

realized 947:15 987:27 1011:16 1063:20

realm 864:10

reason 850:24 865:3 919:24 920:6 948:22 972:7 1019:16 1030:25 1039:23

reasonable 820:23 821:6 881:27 960:22 1030:1 1041:2 1045:28

reasons 877:2

reassure 1040:19

reassured 1058:3

reassuring 1058:7

rebuilding 997:5

recalculation 1032:13

recall 913:2 1005:22 1006:2 1066:11,13,26

receipt 905:6 1060:13

receive 834:21 903:10 904:20 905:12 909:15

received 813:19 819:3 834:20 852:3,7,14,23 855:7 937:9 969:20 991:14 1060:24,26

recollection 855:13

recommendation 915:27 1018:7 1021:8 1051:4

recommends 1019:17

record 800:5 805:5,10 809:2,3,4,13 822:23 828:23,24,25 832:12, 13,14 841:10 843:2,3, 16,17,18 855:2,16 861:27,28 862:1 884:11 890:8,9,10,24 921:9 936:1,2,3 961:11 972:8 978:6,13,14,15 992:5 1007:19 1008:26,27,28 1009:16 1011:28 1012:1,2,3,12,18,19,20, 24,25,26 1013:18 1014:17,18,19,20,24 1059:28 1060:2,3,10 1061:2,3,4,15 1068:2 1070:6

recorded 1065:8

recordkeeping 833:15

recover 963:21 1015:25 1017:13,23,27 1023:27 1025:4 1026:8 1046:4 1065:22

redoubling 877:9

reduce 919:11 936:25 938:7 939:3 940:1 966:15 967:5 982:21 983:5 1025:12 1038:2

reducing 938:9,12 996:5 997:23 998:2

reduction 967:22 968:14,17 1024:14 1025:9 1030:17 1031:2, 9 1037:15 1063:8.10.14

refer 832:5 930:26 941:3 972:20

reference 847:25 879:14 889:26 927:9 1063:8 1064:22

referenced 971:26 1012:16 1014:11 1028:8 1063:17 1064:10

references 865:26 927:6

referencing 853:3 1065:11

referred 960:12 963:12 1054:7,25,26,28

referring 866:1 875:4

888:9 895:10 910:5 938:3 940:12 1014:15 1016:20 1023:21 1025:24,25 1039:25 1043:22,26 1063:13 1064:4

refers 1043:21

refinance 1043:16 1044:8 1063:21

reflect 802:1 1028:10

reflected 845:16 884:13 993:28 1049:21 1050:23 1051:10

reflective 1026:28 1028:17,21

refocused 905:2

refrigerator 986:15

regard 840:1 904:7 905:9 906:7 919:26 966:8

regime 815:1

region 1052:14 1057:2

regional 1002:10,16,28 1003:2,5,8,19 1018:2,4, 18,24 1019:11,27 1048:24

regionalization 1001:15,18,21,26

1055:27 1057:3

regionalizing 1057:17

regions 1019:10 1048:12

regular 858:22 866:15 876:2 888:27 931:2 937:4 953:22 1066:16

regularity 852:19

regulate 875:7

regulated 875:12

regulation 933:25 997:19

regulations 868:10 933:17

regulators 995:10

regulatory 822:20 837:10 892:12 930:19

988:24 998:19.21 1061:26 reiterate 805:1 relate 1000:21 1002:17 1003:10 related 825:18 834:24 887:11 933:15,28 935:21 989:26 999:19 1012:6 relates 824:19 829:2 849:19 926:23 934:4,10 988:14 993:18 998:19 1016:16 relation 812:3 relationship 820:18 851:4,6 852:10 1000:23 1003:13 relationships 949:18, 25 1002:1 1018:14 relative 986:7 relevance 878:20 879:9 relevant 895:11 972:3 979:21 981:8 reliable 865:17 879:8 895:5 823:1

reliance 919:28 reliant 946:3 relied 877:17 relief 1065:12 relies 895:21 896:16 reluctant 814:13,21 rely 877:10 895:24 896:2 897:11 913:10 914:12,25 987:2 1006:1 relying 904:28 1027:24 remain 1025:15 remaining 928:18 remains 996:6 1039:19 1040:14 remarks 802:28 remedial 853:23

812:22 884:20 **remind** 921:11 remove 989:14 **removed** 924:18 removing 851:8 924:24 931:16 renegotiation 1031:28 **renewed** 806:20 reorganization 806:24 807:13,17,22 808:15 845:21,24,26 846:7,11, 22 850:10 870:24 873:10 877:7,17,27 880:27 881:5 884:26 885:5,9,13,17 886:2,14 971:12,22 972:4,6 973:1,9,24 974:5,17,19, 25 975:2,12,14,16 976:18,21,22 977:4,10, 13,19 978:3,21,24 979:10,16 980:5,12,22, 23,27 981:24 993:7 995:1 998:6,12 999:13, 25 1019:17 1021:9 1022:7 1023:6,12,15 1031:7 1034:4 1049:9 1050:7,12 1053:27 1054:20 1055:9 1063:27 1064:13 reorganized 952:21 reorganizing 1019:21 rep 941:27 943:27 repair 962:26 963:16, 17,21 964:6,8,14,16 983:13 1052:7 repaired 962:13 964:3 **repairs** 951:13 955:3 962:3,4,7 repeat 831:16 835:12 905:23 910:15 938:25 1040:6 rephrase 848:8 912:19 918:11 replace 963:17 1062:9

remedied 857:21

remember 809:17

replacement 983:14,

17 **replaces** 1060:15 **replied** 915:18 **reply** 1010:1 report 816:26,28 818:4 848:11 856:23 859:4 860:21,23 861:4 870:26 881:7 995:17 1004:5 reported 811:8 850:12 852:21 859:8.18 862:14 864:25 881:2 1004:19 reporter 827:21 894:16 949:20 **reporters** 1068:24 1069:6,20

replaced 1034:13

reporting 811:14,15,18 857:23 858:13,23 859:12 860:23 865:13 949:18.24 954:21 1051:20,23 1052:18 **reports** 857:16 represent 807:1 866:12 894:24,25 895:1 936:9 959:10 representation 807:15 831:5 967:10 representative 906:2. 3 1027:18 1028:1 representatives 905:21 996:24 represented 882:20 971:14 1037:10 1053:25 **reps** 941:16 943:24 request 808:18 811:27 922:22 943:16 949:6 980:20 1010:9.12 1013:13 1017:18 1037:8 1041:22 Request-001 1015:12 requested 921:25 requesting 1039:2 **requests** 1032:24

Index: reiterate..respect require 874:1 875:11 924:11 935:13 944:3 957:15 962:24 966:20 970:19 1020:15 1024:7 required 816:25 837:13 857:8 859:1,7 860:4 862:28 863:25 864:5 866:3 867:17 868:8,10 870:2,26 880:11 881:1,13,15 889:9 917:18 953:7 1020:4 1064:23,28 1065:16 requirement 826:21 877:1 881:24 933:28 1023:3 1024:21 1025:3 1026:16 1037:12 1049:4 1063:15 requirements 837:10 842:13 847:27 864:27 867:15 871:2 876:19 880:15 881:18 911:28 912:2 924:10,11 988:24 989:7 1036:25 1037:5 **requires** 831:1 856:13 867:19 979:8,15 research 983:2 1020:16 resident 984:6 residential 939:1 residents 997:21 999:17 resolution 853:4 978:27 979:4 resolved 815:26 980:13 resource 899:20 911:2, 5 913:8,13 1003:23 1005:15,18 resources 907:27 913:27 914:11 919:8 951:3 1003:19 1020:6 1053:22 respect 801:3,15,23 803:10 812:23 813:7 821:23 822:1,19 827:6 828:4 856:25 865:28

867:3 883:5 884:13,19

887:17 961:3,4,13

969:5 979:10,23 980:5 1047:6.12

respirators 896:3

respond 894:22 948:19 952:14 994:26

responded 850:16 1059:13

responders 970:4

response 805:5 811:26 813:6 817:1,19 853:15 856:3 889:14 890:20 898:8 938:23 944:10 949:6 950:2 952:12 968:22 972:6,8 977:4 1008:23 1010:8,12 1011:16,22 1013:4,13, 15 1015:12 1037:7,17 1041:26 1047:8 1059:10 1060:6 1068:11 1070:3

responses 813:16 964:23

responsibilities

838:23 869:4,6 875:24 949:17,24 1052:23

responsibility 814:28 821:22 823:5 838:20 862:25 884:23 885:6 887:3,9 954:15,19,21 958:10,11,17 1051:19 1052:20,28 1053:12

responsible 812:27 820:16 838:25 885:1,23 950:18 956:6,21 957:11,25 958:22

responsive 825:9 981:6

rest 952:15

restate 882:11,12

restated 806:26

restructuring 886:21 973:9,25 974:6 1018:2, 5,10,18,25 1019:12 1055:11 1056:22

result 882:22 947:5 966:24 975:24 985:25 1030:22 1035:18 1036:19 1057:25 1063:20 1064:6

resulting 979:18 1034:25

results 829:3 925:27 926:24 929:1 969:18 992:28

resume 922:6

resumed 921:6 977:1

Resuming 921:10

retested 945:15

retired 1005:2

retrospect 822:15

retrospective 967:12

return 820:20

returning 800:8

revenue 1025:8,9 1036:24 1037:5,11 1063:14

review 802:27 817:24 819:9 842:14 848:17 854:26 885:16 927:7 937:1,2 1025:17 1047:10

reviewed 817:23 829:15 885:8,15 886:13 937:14

revisit 861:23

rework 925:10 928:3,7 929:22,26 930:7 934:8, 24 935:13,15 1030:6,8

reworked 925:9

Richard 847:14 848:2, 12

right-hand 1030:4 1032:16 1033:10

rings 865:5

rise 858:1

rising 1033:25

risk 862:20 865:22 868:21 873:1 891:8 895:7,28 896:6,15,18, 27 897:10,14,18 899:26 900:5,6,9,28 901:6,10, 12,15,17,20,23,25 946:24,25 950:14 953:7 956:14 972:25 979:26 982:21,22 983:5 984:9, 10,14,15,17,20,28 986:7,25 987:28 993:27 996:5 997:23 998:2

915:20,21 931:25

1038:4 1039:24 1040:19 1045:9 1046:10,11 1047:14

1059:11,25

risks 898:21,26,27 900:13,26 915:15,16 968:26 969:28 970:20 984:2,3,5,24 986:16,28 987:8,13,14,18 992:7 1045:12 1059:15

risky 987:17 993:13

Robert 921:28 1061:7, 9.16

robust 989:26 1020:23

rocky 913:4

role 811:11 812:3 813:7 815:8 820:10 831:3 840:4 870:9 891:6 892:10 893:12 894:25 900:19 901:10,14 910:24 940:28 949:2 999:12

room 841:21 847:16 1012:7 1015:3,11 1068:26

roughly 1033:15 1036:11

round 961:22

rounding 1027:16

routine 863:6 933:17 988:17 989:5

row 955:9

RSA 1031:28

rub 1039:22

rules 876:4

Ruling 1003:7

rulings 937:15,18,22

run 860:10 915:20 916:22

running 814:11 986:10 1069:9

runs 909:14

rural 932:3

RUTTEN 890:13 891:4 894:4 912:16 918:8 921:19 937:28 943:11 948:7,21 959:25 965:24

S

safe 854:5 859:28 860:10 863:13 876:22 879:8 907:19 918:5,17 957:25 958:23,25

safer 878:16 1057:28

safety 807:22 817:2 828:3,10,14 835:5 836:12,24 837:9,15,22 847:16,22,26,27 848:15,18,28 849:14 855:8,10 856:20 857:16,24 859:25 860:2 861:5 862:20,23 864:23 865:6,22 867:27 870:22 877:15 879:23 880:13, 28 883:20 891:9 892:13 893:14 894:14 897:17 898:21,27 915:14,16 926:20 927:4 934:5 935:1 939:4 946:25 949:2 953:16,20 954:16,25 955:15,18 957:28 958:16 968:27 969:28 971:23 974:15 975:8,9,27 976:14 979:19 980:2 981:1,5, 11 984:15 985:22 994:3 995:13,19 998:6 1000:21 1002:10,16,17, 27 1003:1,2,10,13,16, 18,23,24,25 1063:25 1064:7

sample 930:11 932:16 988:19

sampled 926:9 930:12 935:11

samples 933:23

sampling 925:18 926:5

San 800:1 841:10

887:22 915:18 1052:18 1070:8 **SAR** 854:27 **sat** 835:2 satisfied 885:25 **satisfy** 1024:20 1025:2 1026:16,19 satisfying 837:7 **saturate** 1042:11 saturated 1042:22 saturation 1059:14 **savings** 808:9,18 1025:5,15 1027:22 1028:15,26 1030:8,10,

23 1036:12,26 1037:6, 16 1043:27 1063:19 **SBUA** 965:5 scale 804:21 899:8 908:19 930:21 932:14 945:16 967:18 1001:7 scarcity 997:10 scenario 863:4 867:23 899:24 900:4 970:20 schedule 962:25 scheduled 806:7 922:4 1004:1 scheduling 806:4 scheme 952:4 998:19 school 897:20 **science** 985:12 **scope** 829:14 838:22 879:10,12 919:6,11 929:4,10 930:21 931:14,15 939:18 941:4,12,20,21 942:6, 15,22,24 943:8,9 946:5, 21 961:5 966:5 967:17 985:19 999:25 1001:7 scoping 941:17 947:7, 16,19 **SDG&E's** 931:8,19 season 899:11 901:16 908:23 916:10.12.19 919:22 939:8,16 956:24

958:2 966:24 967:16 985:14 987:26 991:28 992:3,7,22 993:5,13 994:22,27 1005:22 1007:1,3 season's 992:9 993:14 **seasons** 994:20 seated 809:12 890:23 1009:15 1061:14 **SEC** 856:6,15,21 857:1, 18 859:9 868:10 section 833:19 862:12 936:27.28 938:3 940:22 941:18 942:1,13 948:17,24,26 959:1 971:9 979:15 981:9 983:7 1007:13 1016:13, 16,26 1022:23 sectionalization 966:28 sections 901:4 944:3 948:15 957:14 **secure** 911:15 secured 1006:15 securities 866:1,3 1046:22 securitization 1038:5, 9,11,21,22,26 1039:3 1040:3,11 1041:4,17 1042:18 1043:25 1044:13.17.18 1045:10 1046:10,12,24 1047:13 1059:12,16 securitizations 1042:15 securitize 1043:12 securitized 1042:4 1044:15 securitizing 1037:26 1039:6.7.16 **security** 858:16 880:28 **SED** 817:3,11,17,20 seek 804:8,18 805:9 837:19 911:10 961:19 **seeking** 803:18 837:12 963:15 980:6 1007:28

seeks 1025:3 1026:7 918:15 sees 859:14 serving 814:23 902:16 **segment** 928:13 SESSION 921:1 934:27 sessions 969:12,19,22 self-identified 903:8 991:23 1004:2 906:9,20 **set** 807:7,28 827:18 self-identify 905:19,27 828:1 829:12 831:25 832:3 839:10 889:26 self-reported 862:28 908:27 935:20 936:17 **Senate** 1015:6 997:19 1006:1 1014:4 1030:13,15 1054:19 send 935:14 1055:5 1057:4 senior 809:25 813:13 sets 822:18 876:4 814:12 819:20 820:11 1031:20 839:15 900:18 950:10 settlement 814:16 sense 838:21 1021:19 821:27 853:8 885:27 sensitive 896:5 904:1, 886:9 3,6 settlements 882:8 885:24 **sentence** 1016:26 1027:9 1038:8 1041:27 severe 900:14 sentencing 839:5,9 severity 966:18 968:21 **separate** 844:24 845:5 **shape** 846:25 890:2 906:19 925:2.17 **share** 817:4 818:19 933:8 951:18 952:14 819:13 870:16 920:12 960:27 976:8 1065:14 1004:27 1037:10 September 1006:28 **shared** 817:9,16 1007:1,4 852:17 958:17 **series** 969:12 shareholder 1020:16 seriousness 936:24 1022:8 1043:23 serve 883:24 895:20 shareholders 880:2 896:11 902:23 907:28 963:22 964:7 1021:1,17 908:25 910:6,28 **sharing** 943:22 916:23,24 917:17,20 919:19 943:6 957:28 **sheet** 805:6 1025:22,25 963:11 997:24 1029:16,18 1035:18 served 907:22 908:5 1036:14 1037:2 915:6 916:2 941:22 1038:13,17 **shelter** 911:20 **serves** 900:19 shelters 911:6 **service** 818:17 824:25 831:8 875:9 876:21 **Sheriff** 922:19.20 877:1 879:8 901:18 936:4,5,7,9 938:5 905:21 906:2 932:3,4 942:25 943:4,18 991:21 997:21 1018:11, 944:21,24 947:21,25 14 1020:20 1055:2 1009:3,5,6,9,20,22 serviced 875:15 1010:17 1011:6,24 1012:9 1022:15 **services** 909:10,16 1035:25 1058:25,27 911:10 916:16,22,28

Index: SAR..Sheriff

1059:3,7,26,27 1060:7, 9 1062:24,25,26 1063:2,4 1064:5,16 1067:3,25 1068:8,15

Sheriff's 1008:16

shifts 1066:17

Shippers 959:13

shoes 814:10

short 805:16 823:6 921:27 1004:9

short-term 800:17,23, 25,27 801:4,5,16 805:19,21 1028:17 1032:23 1043:17

shortcomings 821:23

shortening 938:15

shorter 849:26

shot 1019:8

show 829:18 980:23

showers 911:11,14

showing 1030:8

shown 950:8

shows 889:27 949:17, 24 1060:18

shutoff 893:14 894:14 895:9 939:4 943:10 947:6 971:24 975:9 986:22

shutoffs 896:14 897:5 984:3 986:17

shutting 984:5

side 987:12,15 1021:20 1030:4 1032:16 1033:10 1038:11

sides 900:5,24 915:17, 22

signal 847:9 1043:1

signed 1046:24

significant 808:17 832:27 833:14 853:22 855:12 858:25 997:7

significantly 966:15

similar 931:5 957:6

989:25 1018:6 1036:4

similarly 831:4 980:28

Simon 1004:11

simple 958:21 1065:18

simply 831:3 875:11

single 826:26 845:4 1013:12,18 1051:23

sir 852:26

sit 837:14

sites 1006:10

sits 968:10

sitting 816:17 821:25 1018:23

situation 850:16 856:9 861:2,14 863:9,17 864:22 943:21 1017:13 1020:28 1052:2

size 844:9 899:8 913:28 914:3

sized 803:16

skills 957:5

Skylar 808:24

sleep 873:6 896:17 911:19

slight 1012:28

slightly 931:11

slow 827:22 1057:9,10 1069:4

slows 910:11

small 897:26 899:22 913:14,15,22,24 935:12 965:5 1062:4

smaller 844:9 849:2 1015:10

smart 982:12

smarter 945:22

snacks 911:25

snippet 960:28

social 873:20

society 895:21

solely 881:26 979:4

solid 847:21 848:19

solution 1056:5

solutions 919:5 966:5

solvable 999:18

somebody's 868:3 986:14

sooner 816:13

sort 818:21 839:2 841:1 844:7 853:27 856:1 862:7 864:20 962:18 971:7 991:23 999:11 1033:22 1047:10

sorts 986:16 1046:23

Soto 813:14,19,22,24, 27 814:1,3,6,10,17,20, 22 815:22,28 816:6,9, 23 819:20 820:4,9,18, 20 821:7,11 822:5

Soto's 819:2,28 820:22

sought 1017:13

sound 815:1 932:13 980:23 1033:27

sounds 855:14 929:5 939:9 976:6 1064:20

source 865:17 1038:15

sources 897:11 987:7 1013:19.20

sourcing 842:9

Southern 936:14 1033:24 1034:5 1035:23,27

space 930:18,25 990:28 1006:1

span 935:5 1027:27

spark 963:5

sparked 987:7

speak 840:16 852:18 854:4 885:22 977:13 997:16,27,28 1047:21

speaking 811:3 924:10 1020:27 1066:1,28

speaks 819:23 833:27 910:11

special 854:26 1004:13

specific 831:22 837:10, 24 840:14 844:10 863:15 865:9,11 882:28 901:18 908:11 931:22 936:15 940:12 941:15 943:14 948:13,15 954:12 955:19 968:26 969:5,24,25 976:14 981:15 1055:14 1067:27

specifically 802:2 811:25 812:23 884:28 895:12,15,27 896:12 897:4,25 905:10 906:4 907:4 916:12 932:5 937:23 941:12 948:16 956:20 961:15 965:28 967:18,24 968:3 971:6 976:16 998:10 1048:10 1054:7 1059:11

specificity 846:18

specifics 863:9 914:3 993:9 1005:7

speculate 816:5,16 916:11

speculating 821:8 822:4

speculation 912:17

speculative 968:15

speech 869:14

speed 876:10 990:16

speeding 875:25,27

spell 809:12 890:24 1009:15 1061:14

Spelled 1009:18

spend 846:5

spending 878:14 951:5

spends 878:12

spirit 1021:7

spoiled 897:20

spoils 851:8

sponsored 877:25 948:24 966:22

sponsoring 810:4

891:18 892:1,20 893:3, 21 1061:27

spread 805:6 985:8 1025:21,24 1029:15,17 1035:17 1036:14 1037:1

spreadsheet 961:9,16 1011:18,25 1012:6,10

spreadsheets 1012:22

square 874:25,26 876:15,17

stab 856:24

stages 942:19

stakeholder 996:14

stakeholders 802:19 846:23 847:10 866:24 946:7 995:11,26

stand 806:6 809:7 817:28 890:16 914:4 916:5 921:6 945:20 1008:14 1009:8

standalone 953:23

standard 825:26 826:23,24 870:25 874:28 880:15,28 881:2,6,10 925:5,6,12, 23 926:10,15 928:1,14 930:14 935:1,4,6 989:14

standards 830:23 844:10 866:20 874:1 880:9 881:21 882:23 912:8 935:20,24 979:8 988:23

standing 912:3 913:1

standpoint 814:23 854:17 860:5 886:14

Star 848:11

start 800:11 806:11 881:8 891:5 895:10 920:2 955:21 964:13 984:6 985:18 1006:28 1007:3 1011:11 1029:7 1051:1 1053:11 1069:23,27,28

started 840:4 855:2 985:20 1029:3

starting 823:24 921:23 949:22 966:4 984:10 1011:12

starts 832:26

state 809:12 847:19 868:16 873:28 901:28 912:1 936:23 941:5 944:27 958:18 966:22 971:22 996:23,25 998:20 1009:15 1015:21 1020:13 1026:24 1048:16 1061:14,23,25 1066:7

stated 802:7 803:9 848:18 851:19 856:4 873:13,25 878:9 879:23 881:12 929:1,9 960:5 999:4 1017:20

statement 800:19 802:13 803:6 805:13 814:14 856:17 878:19 879:3 880:6 883:18 887:28 888:1 906:14 960:17 973:11 978:17 981:20

statements 851:17 880:8

states 975:22 1023:24 1027:9 1043:11 1066:4

states' 1065:28

static 872:6

stating 826:3

statistics 986:11

stats 928:23

statutory 960:16

stay 842:10

stays 888:22

step 821:19 925:17 926:3,8 998:9 1029:26 1031:23 1035:15 1054:15,18 1057:4 1061:1

Stepping 1033:21

steps 835:9 851:25,27 855:3,4,5 860:5 925:20, 24,25 934:12 936:25 944:5 1051:12 1055:28

1056:9

sticking 954:24

stock 1033:5

stood 911:18 912:28 914:7 956:26 969:11

stop 1000:8 1014:13 1068:19

strategy 838:27 892:12 941:23,27 943:26

Strauss 923:4,6,10 964:26,28 965:3,4 966:7

stream 1039:9,14 1041:15 1043:24 1044:2

streamlining 808:6 1019:5

streams 983:9

stretch 865:19 871:14

strictly 1038:20

strike 1024:26,28

stringent 847:26

strive 940:5 944:8 946:28 991:9

strives 946:12 957:27

striving 837:17 876:18, 21 877:14 913:13 916:15

strong 848:15 1002:1 1003:25 1018:10

strongly 903:24 914:17

struck 971:10

structural 862:11 1054:17

structure 861:7 901:15 943:20 944:1,9,17 948:27 950:27 979:18 1002:8,28 1003:2,5 1037:1 1038:22,27 1048:10,18 1051:18

structured 1041:5,7

structures 1001:16 1006:7

structuring 1048:24

Index: spread..suggesting

struggling 822:7 836:20 854:14 882:18 1056:10

study 967:12,19,23 968:2,16

stuff 859:9 1007:2 1053:14 1068:28 1069:15,16

stumbling 848:17

style 1054:26

sub-regions 1052:19

subject 802:18 831:11, 25 834:3,6 919:1 964:4 1018:1 1026:12 1064:14

subjective 984:23,27

submit 1048:25

submittal 927:4

submitted 978:22 1018:8 1025:18

subordinate 813:23

substantial 824:7,11, 15 825:13,14 826:3,11, 13,14 835:15,18,20 836:5,10,15,28 837:21 838:3,6 874:24 1019:14 1022:8 1063:8

substantially 844:8

successful 989:4

sudden 939:12,21 946:19

suffer 899:26

suffered 897:26

sufficient 908:24 913:26 914:10,24 917:17 1044:11 1065:19

sufficiently 815:27 1019:12

suggest 807:8 808:2 1034:3

suggesting 1021:10 1034:7

summarize 1026:5 1022:18 tap 823:15,16 ten 932:23 984:20 summarized 1000:25 sworn 809:9 890:18 target 829:11,23,24 tenant 1007:12,13,19 1023:21 1009:11 1061:10 830:3 846:8 905:11 tend 1066:3 906:11,25 926:25 summarizing 1026:6 **sympathy** 1069:14 tens 822:25 874:4 927:13,15 967:11 **summary** 832:26 968:7,13 **system** 859:28 860:10 tent 911:17 855:23 1001:11 928:25 931:27 933:23 targeted 907:8 919:6 942:21 944:12 946:14, tents 914:7 1006:1 sums 851:19 1042:12 18 955:25 962:27,28 targeting 907:19 939:7 term 903:28 914:27 supervision 1010:20 963:18 975:8,27 976:19 967:5 1005:24 951:27 956:18,20 982:20 983:1,4,11 targets 827:17,28 supervisor 858:17 960:11 962:2,3,4 985:5 988:21 989:2 829:5 906:15 992:28 1004:11 990:17 1050:18 terminate 851:27 995:18 supervisory 813:7 1055:12,15 1057:28 852:10 1063:25 1064:8,19 task 1052:15.16 supplemental 805:7 terminated 851:5 systematic 852:22 891:22 892:24 task-oriented 1051:27 terms 838:19,21,23 systemic 833:15 supplied 818:17 949:9 tasked 870:10 844:10 845:22 846:15 847:22 884:13 889:5 Supplier 842:3,8 847:6 tasks 1019:23 1053:1.2 899:17 904:8 930:20 Т tax 1043:27 suppliers 846:4 931:16 962:18 969:20 970:13 972:16 973:26 **TCC** 806:21,28 807:15 **supply** 842:10 950:3 table 811:22 818:24 987:19 989:5 997:1 1011:10 support 805:7 899:18 999:12 1046:23 1052:8, tacks 860:9 20,21 1054:9 1057:15, team 813:1 826:6 907:25 909:22 910:7 tactics 993:18 17 1067:17 833:23 835:3 836:18 919:20 1043:14 1044:4 901:4 910:25 925:17 tag 965:9 **territory** 824:26 831:8 supported 973:4 935:15,16,19 941:24 870:20 873:1 875:9 tagging 907:5 supporting 899:19 956:4,5,13 957:14 876:22 877:2 901:18 967:1 985:12 1017:9 takes 836:10 845:12 932:3,4 987:11 991:21 supportive 1018:3 941:24 1019:24 1020:5. team's 832:26 997:21 1020:20 supposed 950:3 6 1050:11 tech 1058:16 test 1049:15 1052:21 taking 815:18 845:13 technically 842:8 **tested** 945:7 surface 913:4 1056:16, 853:11 854:9 855:2 17 936:25 958:4 1001:5 testified 809:9 886:12 technologically 874:2 1036:24 1037:2 1057:6 890:18 901:9 921:6 **surprise** 932:15 937:21 technologies 982:18, 1058:9 965:18 1009:11 19,27 983:22 surrounding 939:11, 1061:10 talk 826:7,17 847:24 25 Technologies' 957:21 877:6 887:12 917:6 testify 922:4 1002:13 surveillance 874:4 943:20 973:19 978:1 technology 853:25 testifying 831:10 982:26 983:6,15 993:8 894:25 surveying 1021:12 1022:20 1048:10 testimony 802:8 805:7 teed 1048:23 survivor 875:22 1049:28 1050:9 810:1,5,7,11,13 823:22 1063:24 telemetry 983:6 827:14 832:6,7,10,11, survivors 870:19 18 855:21.24 865:12.26 881:3 997:4 talked 872:6 1056:16 telemetry-type 982:11 873:13 874:23 879:13 1059:13 **suspect** 1049:18 telling 868:9 871:21 880:20 891:15,22,26 1066:24 talking 906:17,18 892:4,17,24,28 893:6, tells 962:12 932:14 951:6 955:19 18,23,27 895:12 900:18 sustain 848:7 880:22 956:4 990:21 1039:1 901:28 906:28 907:15 temperate 1067:23 sustainable 952:23 1042:11 1050:5 915:19 918:27 919:15, 1057:20 temperature 957:1 25 920:3,8 922:6 Sustained 879:11 923:18 936:21 937:1,3 talks 823:24 829:23 temporary 1044:8 912:18 918:10 938:1

Index: summarize..testimony

940:9,21 941:5 956:3

833:20 940:22

959:16 960:6 961:6 962:3 964:18 965:25 966:9,22 971:9,15,19 972:16,17,22 974:7 975:20 976:9 978:21 979:11,24,27 981:23 988:5 993:9 1000:21, 26,27 1005:17 1010:1 1011:3 1014:11 1015:20 1018:7 1019:2, 16 1020:11 1022:22,25 1024:18,19 1026:22 1027:8 1028:8 1037:23 1040:26 1043:9 1045:13,16 1047:11,20 1048:8,17 1049:1,3 1050:26 1052:12 1054:4 1062:3,12 1063:19

that'll 1054:20

theory 1038:16

thereof 885:2

thick 1014:9,15

thing 834:5 837:16 855:5 860:1 888:21,25 931:12 962:22 971:9 1022:11 1043:8 1056:11

things 806:28 813:12 815:17 820:6 822:5 838:28 839:13,25 840:15 847:8 853:26 858:7 860:16,25,26 861:18 862:27 863:4,8, 19 864:20 865:23 870:14 871:5 872:5 876:12,24 883:7 884:12 885:3 888:26 889:3 897:23 913:12 931:7 933:7,8 963:6 972:18 974:8 975:10 982:9 986:3 989:23 990:1 992:27 993:10,11,24 995:27 999:9,20 1021:16 1022:9,12 1023:10,19 1029:13 1035:20 1053:19 1054:8 1055:11,16,19, 21,24,26 1056:15,21

thinking 822:4 889:28 932:5 1011:12 1018:23

1058:11 1068:12

1028:6 1052:8,11 1053:6,8 1054:20 1055:26 1057:12,13,16 1069:27

third-party 817:7

thought 820:20 825:11 921:22 922:4 948:10 999:28 1000:1 1019:24 1020:4 1059:17

thousands 822:24,25 824:27 908:14 917:17

threat 829:3 831:20 864:15 956:28 968:6 972:27

three-month 801:8

three-year 1064:17

threshold 934:17,26 999:6

Thursday 1004:4

tickets 813:16

tie 997:17

tied 903:24 997:14 998:12

tier 801:8 802:17,18 954:5,6,8

Tier-01 954:10

Tier-2 954:9

ties 847:27

til 1055:17

time 803:6,24 807:28 808:8,9,17 813:17,21 817:5 818:2 825:2,28 836:13 837:23 841:5 845:3 846:5 854:17 865:7 867:27 875:14 879:15,16 887:4 890:23 897:20 905:18,28 917:7.24 921:21.25 922:16 923:8 930:9 932:20,23 933:23 943:25 951:6 973:20 981:28 997:27 1011:3 1019:14 1020:5 1024:9 1025:8,9,12,14 1027:23 1028:11,13 1038:25 1049:13,14 1050:5 1068:27 1069:11

timeline 1006:27

timeliness 946:4 947:8 1068:16

timely 944:6 947:17

times 867:21 962:3 1036:21 1037:9,10 1051:26 1053:5

timing 942:3 991:10 1049:27

title 818:24 887:10 1029:17

titled 818:3

to-dos 1018:26

today 816:17 841:3 877:6,25 888:5 921:21 922:11,17,24 923:8 926:28 950:25 951:6 977:23 1008:15 1049:3 1050:10 1062:23 1068:10

told 943:6

Tolles 808:24

Tom 810:23 948:3 951:15

tomorrow 1070:1

tone 822:18 869:13

Tony 811:13

tool 990:7,14,15

tools 983:3,7

top 829:21,22 847:21 848:18 908:8 926:22 927:6 928:23 1005:23 1006:2 1013:21 1036:16 1037:23

topic 981:19 993:16

topics 971:24

Tort 1048:6

total 917:3 923:23 961:12,17 990:12,23

touch 886:27 887:4 969:6 1019:9

towers 963:16,17

track 972:8 1056:24

Tracy 890:15,17,25 921:4

Index: that'll..trees

traditional 990:5

train 859:10

training 815:16 839:16 845:8,10 853:20,25 854:1

transcript 865:25

transcripts 1068:17 1069:4,7,11,21

transition 878:15

translate 870:6 1039:10

translates 862:8 946:14

transmission 941:4,7, 13,16 942:18,21,23 943:6,14 951:14 955:5, 21,22,24,28 963:16,17 966:12

transparency 883:23

transparent 920:11 995:12 996:12

transpired 854:11 972:12

transportation 915:24

treat 882:4,14,15,16,23, 24 883:1.5

treated 885:26 924:18 977:24

treatment 963:24 977:14

treats 819:18

tree 825:3,4,25,28 830:16 834:4 835:21,22 875:12 924:21 925:1,9 928:3,5,17 929:3,19 989:12,13,19

tree-mortality 829:14

trees 824:26 829:13,15 830:4 831:7,19 833:13 874:5 924:6,17,21,24 925:7 928:4,10,20 929:2,5,6,10,11,15,16, 21,24 930:3 931:17,21, 25 932:7,11 933:12,25

Index: trick..vegetation

934:27 935:4 989:6,12, 13.14

trick 849:10

trim 989:13

trimmed 825:4 830:4 924:18 925:7 989:12

trimmers 989:19

trimming 830:16 924:24 989:13

trouble 822:3 873:22 874:9,18

troubleshoot 1055:13 1057:21

troubleshooter

1051:15 1052:25 1053:10.15 1056:5 1058:4.5

troubleshooters

1057:13

troubleshooting 1054:9

true 810:13 865:5 867:8 868:26 892:6 893:8 894:1 895:23 1010:23 1062:16 1066:24

truncated 844:20

trust 888:3.7 994:19.28 995:24 1043:18

trusted 877:18 902:22 919.18

truth 867:20 868:9 871:4 881:23

truthful 866:23 868:27 873:3

turn 802:25 810:24 811:24,25,27 818:6,27 823:21 828:9 829:1 857:17 923:17 940:8 948:4,5 949:6 975:20 1016:11 1020:10 1023:2,23 1026:22 1029:15 1037:22 1041:21

TURN's 818:2 832:5, 11,18

TURN-X-07 818:8,9

TURN-X-2 811:25

turned 815:25 957:16

turning 832:17 892:9 893:11 900:1 901:27 907:12 926:16

twist 1051:28

two-year 997:9

type 885:14 939:28 963:18 982:14 1050:27 1051:16,18 1055:1 1056:14 1058:6 1064:9

types 829:5 846:20 856:2 938:8,28 939:6, 13 999:9 1038:28 1051:13

typical 964:12,13

typically 800:26,28 801:1 839:6 858:7,11 964:9 990:13

U

Uh-huh 841:28 850:21 933:9 998:26 1065:13

ultimately 814:17 1013:25

unacceptable 819:18

unaware 905:16

uncertainty 1035:3

unclear 929:8

undercollections 801:10

undergrounding 963:6

underlying 889:9 1028:11

undermine 864:18

867:5

underneath 868:23 871:14 950:10

understand 808:12.13 814:27 821:17 826:25 840:11 848:21 863:18 866:19 868:21 870:18, 23 871:10,11 873:5

875:20 877:16 905:8 914:21 921:28 935:7 956:14 958:8 965:20 973:7 975:5,13 976:6 977:16,20 982:8 983:4, 27 984:8 988:10 990:10 995:6,26 997:3,6,12,20 998:17 999:7.13 1014:26 1018:2 1020:17 1023:4 1024:18 1029:26 1032:28 1033:24 1044:16 1046:11 1050:25 1055:7 1060:14

understanding 804:16 805:20 813:26 814:3,15 817:21 822:12 831:5 846:24 855:1 878:1 886:8 889:28 926:27 929:19 931:12 954:14 960:5 961:8 983:23 988:9 1017:26 1020:24 1023:18 1029:27 1046:17 1047:3

understands 962:20

understood 817:13 844:4 851:16 867:12 976:12 1003:3 1013:3

undertaken 853:22 960:21

undertaking 939:3

undertook 817:6 852:8 854:16

underway 844:28 850:20 864:13 867:2,5

underwriting 1030:10 1032:22 1033:8,11

undone 1046:22

unethical 857:15

unfamiliar 908:11 917:2,14

Unlike 980:20

unopposed 1017:5,17

unreasonable 1021:13

unsecuritized 1043:15

untruth 868:25

unwavering 996:6

update 801:28

updates 943:23

updating 943:22

upper 1031:26

upset 1000:8,11 1047:5

urban 932:2

Users 959:11

utilities 930:28 979:14 995:22

utility 823:28 827:16,27 884:7 902:19 944:28 955:26 965:5 967:4 1017:23 1021:15 1022:13 1028:21 1044:8

utility's 827:19 828:2 979:19 1016:17 1020:25 1028:1

utilize 911:17 utilizing 909:11

V

vague 1047:16

valid 869:15

validate 934:9

validated 926:8 934:16 951:25

validating 924:3

validation 934:23

varies 932:2

variety 899:19 987:7 991:17

vary 812:22 863:5 939:28

vast 826:18 937:7

veg 824:28 834:24 877:1 933:17 989:5

vegetation 824:2,5 827:11 828:5 875:7 923:22 924:5,8,13,28 925:26 928:13 930:21, 27 931:14,19 951:6,8 953:5 963:4 975:7 988:15,17,18,22 989:17 991:1 993:1

vehicle 962:8,9

vehicles 849:16

venues 927:19 991:20

verification 924:26,27 925:3 926:1 927:10,11, 15,21,27 929:12 934:23,25 935:22 989:17,21

verified 925:11 930:8

verify 925:11 928:8

version 1000:25

versus 856:11,12 860:22 939:25 978:21 984:10,15 986:8 993:11 1021:16

Vesey 901:9

vice 809:25 813:13 814:12 819:20 891:7 900:18 950:10 1061:25

victim 1043:18

victims 845:18,28 846:22 847:9 870:18,28 871:11 873:5 882:4,7, 10,14,15,16 885:14,18 886:3,10,19 997:4 1050:23 1057:23 1058:1,2

victims' 1050:15

view 814:20 823:8 849:8,12,13 851:10 886:10 952:24 972:13 990:27 1018:19 1019:11 1021:14 1046:11

violate 989:7 1024:25

violating 1046:22

violations 815:3 816:2 822:20

visibility 904:2 992:18 1042:26

vision 883:17,28 884:6

1049:24 1051:8

visit 903:10 969:13

visual 983:19

volume 810:1 891:14, 17,25 892:17,19,27 893:18,20 931:18 975:21

voluntarily 906:20

vote 881:4,11

voting 1004:4,6

vouchers 916:6,8,18

vulnerabilities 909:27 918:5.16

vulnerability 903:9

vulnerable 895:23 902:3,5 903:18 919:1, 21

W

wages 897:19

wait 855:28 1055:17

walk 858:9

Walke 910:25

walking 924:20 929:14

wall 861:26 920:19 1008:25

wandered 943:1

wanted 806:8,25 807:15 862:5 989:4

warning 962:12

Washington 878:9

watershed 841:11

ways 847:8 868:19 887:1 898:3 991:17 1018:23

weather 800:10 899:8 901:6 940:17 946:2,3, 18 947:2,8,18,19 956:28 968:19 985:1,13 986:3 987:16

weather-driven

966:17

weather-related 898:20

weave 1052:28

web-based 845:10 853:20

Wednesday 806:6,10

weeds 849:22

week 855:22 873:13 972:22 1004:17 1069:25

weekend 992:14

weigh 900:23

weighty 844:9

welfare 857:26

Wells 800:16

Wells' 802:8 1063:19

Wells's 951:16

whatnot 1030:10

whatsoever 909:5

when-it-becamepublic 854:15

whistleblower 861:2,

wholistically 1052:13

whomever 980:19

wide 932:10

widely 932:2

wildfire 802:5,6 803:18 826:6,18 827:6 828:3, 10.14 831:2 835:4 836:11.24 837:9.22 870:19,28 875:21 881:3 882:7 886:9 891:9 892:13 901:25 920:10 926:20 927:4 934:5,11 935:1 937:5 938:11 949:2 950:19,22 952:7, 11 953:16,20 954:16,25 955:15,18 957:9 959:23 961:14 966:23 971:23, 26 972:2,20,23,26 973:3 974:15,21,23,24 975:1,8,18 976:20 977:17,23 978:2 979:1,

24 980:7,9,13 982:17,

21,22,25 985:11,14 991:28 992:3,7,25 993:4,10,13,19,27 994:3,4,20,22,25,26 995:13,19,28 996:5 997:4,23 998:2 1000:21,22 1001:1,3, 23,26 1002:4,17,18,27 1003:10 1006:4 1042:18 1044:7 1063:28 1064:12,17,25 1065:2,9,23

Index: vehicle..work

wildfires 807:11 840:27 841:3 873:6 964:13 977:6 997:6,14 1004:14,16

wind 985:4 986:2 990:16 992:17

winds 825:6 985:4

wires 963:2

wiring 963:18

wishes 948:18,19

WISP 954:1,2

witness' 879:13

witnesses 832:3 889:28 890:3,11,17,20 894:5,20 921:22 948:9, 15,18 979:27 981:21 1054:28 1059:4

wondering 1005:10 1021:21

word 1008:4 1013:20 1062:5,9

words 857:14 958:20 1023:22 1039:14

work 805:9,13 815:10 820:13,28 826:19,23 834:25 836:18 837:19 839:23 840:1,11,15,24 845:21 847:12 851:7 852:6 854:25 863:6 872:8 873:4 878:5 885:4 889:9 899:9,12 916:24 919:24,27 920:4 923:23,27 924:3,23,26 925:2,4,10,11 926:1 927:8,9,11,15,20,27 928:6 929:10,12,13,17, 20 930:8 931:18

933:21,22 934:8,10,23, 25 935:9,21,22 939:17 947:15 951:1,4,13,14, 19,21,26 952:15 953:2, 4,8,9,13,22,28 954:12, 19,21 955:3 956:16,26, 27 957:3,8,19 960:26 961:25 962:25 963:25 965:21 968:10,12 971:28 974:20 986:27 988:20,26 989:9,16,19, 20,22 991:24 995:28 996:19 997:13 998:11 1005:27 1007:21 1036:14 1044:23 1057:1,13 1069:6 worked 826:27 829:13 834:16 924:2,7 929:3, 17,25 953:8 988:26 1034:11 working 820:18 824:27 835:1 836:12,17 840:23 841:17 852:5 885:24 902:20 908:1,27 909:8, 21 910:6 914:4 915:28 916:20 919:3,17 939:14 945:20 966:2,4 968:4 969:2,11 970:2,16 983:12 991:22 995:26 998:15 1004:13,21 1005:3 1007:24 1008:5 1034:8 1068:25

workpaper 1012:23

works 910:26 944:1 962:20 1051:4

worried 1050:16

worse 1019:28

write 1038:8

written 812:25 817:1, 19 927:3

wrong 813:12

wrote 852:11

Wyspianski 922:7,17

X

X-01 1016:11

X-02 949:8

X-10 842:23,28

Υ

Index: worked..zones

Y-A-P 1009:18

Yap 921:26 922:10,11, 12,13 1008:13,15 1009:8,10,18,23 1010:2,18 1011:5,6,9 1014:6 1015:19 1035:1, 4,8,26 1045:3 1048:3 1058:24,28 1059:8 1060:28

year 816:2 826:20 845:12,14 865:19 871:16 872:22 881:9 887:16 909:2 913:20 914:7 925:16 927:26 933:24 940:27 951:2 952:10,19 954:4,7 968:20 991:14 993:20, 28 1008:2 1018:8 1019:20 1024:15 1025:10,14 1029:3,5,7 1030:11,24 1036:12 1037:16 1049:12,15 1051:11 1053:28 1063:15 1064:19

year's 911:18

years 816:23 841:13 842:17 909:3 965:20 1004:15 1046:19,20,26 1050:11 1053:28 1055:18

yes-or-no 835:11

Ζ

zones 954:6